

THE REVISIONIST CLARION

OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO

QUARTERLY NEWSLETTER ABOUT HISTORICAL REVISIONISM
AND THE CRISIS OF IMPERIAL POWERS

OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO

TOWARDS THE DESTRUCTION OF ISRAEL
AND THE ROLLING BACK OF USA

OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO

Issue Nr. 19 Winter 2006

<revclar -at- yahoo.com.au>

<http://geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo/clar/clarindex.html>

alternative archives

<http://vho.org/aaargh/engl/engl.html>

<http://aaargh.com.mx/engl/engl.html>

<http://litek.ws/aaargh/engl/engl.html>

OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO

I have spent the last 14 months researching historical revisionism and holocaust denial for a major upcoming project, and as a result have seen the destructive results denying the Holocaust can do.

Nick Morris, *New Criminologist*

CONTENTS

The UN Decides a Universal Ban on Revisionism, Robert Faurisson

Annan: Holocaust deniers 'bigots'

**Call by 19 Historians for the Repeal of Antirevisionist Law
and Several Statutory Clauses**

**Statement by Professor Robert Faurisson on the subject of
an appeal by nineteen historians**

Arab Role in Holocaust Overlooked by U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum

—————oooOOO§§§OOOooo—————

THE NEW MAGIC PERSIAN CARPET

Revisionism in Iran, in France and in the World

**Israel has created a real holocaust for Palestinians: Abdullah
Mohammad Sindi**

**"[This] is Discussed Only in Intellectually and Educationally
Retarded Milieus"**

World scholars back Iran's denial of Holocaust

A number of prominent world historians and scholars supported the recent remarks of President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad on Holocaust

Yad Vashem worried by Iranian Holocaust denial

Denial of Holocaust nothing new in Iran

Ties to Hitler led to plots against British and Jews, Edwin Black

Iran's Ahmadinejad calls on free debate on Holocaust

—————oooOOO\$\$\$OOOooo—————

"SALVADOR OPTION" DEATH SQUADS INTO ACTION

The Proposed Iranian Oil Bourse, By Krassimir Petrov

The Guerilla War for Iraq's Oil, Mike Whitney

Not guilty. The Israeli captain who put 17 bullets into a Palestinian schoolgirl, Chris McGreal

Mysterious 'booms' rattle homes, Raanan Ben-Zur

U.S. Admits: Phosphorus may have killed civilians in Iraq, By Guy Dinmore

Mark Glenn, No Beauty In The Beast

Dutch Treat, By Israel Shamir

Riot on Tel Rumeida street, by Aaron Zanthé

Progressivism, Skepticism, and Historical Revisionism: The Inalienable Right to Question History, by Kim Petersen

Holocaust 'Avengers' Reunite

The Tobacco and Holocaust Industries, Patrick H McNalley

French Obscurantism, Robert Faurisson

Harlem radicals probed, By Niles Lathem

Holocaust pillars already cracking, By Hannah Cleaver

NO VOTE !

The UN Decides a Universal Ban on Revisionism

Robert Faurisson

On November 1st, unanimously and without a vote, the representatives of the 191 nations making up the UN adopted — or let be adopted — an Israeli-drafted resolution proclaiming January 27th "International Day of Commemoration in memory of the victims of the Holocaust". Moreover, the resolution "**Rejects any denial of the Holocaust as an historical event, either in full or part**". Historical revisionism thus sees its existence acknowledged by the whole world, a fact proving that it has some life in it, but, at the same time, this decision means that the revisionists find themselves struck with the reprobation of all the countries of the world. As for the "State" of the Vatican, which has no seat at the UN, it had, as early as in 1992, declared: "There is no historical revisionism that can call into question the inhuman abyss of the Holocaust" (« *Non c'è revisionismo storico che possa rimettere in discussione l'abisso disumano dell'Olocausto* ») (*L'Osservatore Romano*, 7 November 1992).

The history of human societies and religions is rich in prohibitions, bannings, excommunications but, whereas, up to a recent past, the victims could, at least in principle, hope to find a refuge outside of their land or group of origin, here the condemnation is, for the first time ever, of universal character. It is thus confirmed that historical revisionism is a phenomenon of exceptional nature and also that the Jews, yet once more, have been able to obtain exorbitant privileges.

A sleight of hand by the Jews

It was through a sleight of hand that the Israeli delegation succeeded in getting this resolution passed. It proceeded in a manner like that of certain associations which, in France, under cover of a campaign against paedophilia, have obtained a law prohibiting, on the Internet, communication

relating to paedophilia and ... to revisionism! To begin, they asked: "Is paedophilia not a horror in itself?" The response was "yes". Their second step was to add: "Is paedophilia on the Internet not to be banned by a specific law?" The response, there again, was "yes". As a third step the associations concluded: "Let's fight, accordingly, to obtain a law against paedophilia and ... revisionism [which they called 'negationism']". For his part, the President of the General Assembly, the Swede Jan Ellasion, had the deftness on November 1st to ask orally whether anyone was opposed to the resolution aimed at commemorating the "Holocaust". No hands being raised, he declared, without prior recourse to a vote of any kind, that the resolution was thereby adopted, the text of which contained in one of its provisions the condemnation of any form of "Holocaust" revisionism. The draft was approved by the United States in utter disregard of the guarantees of freedom of opinion provided by the first amendment to its constitution. And, most remarkably, this Israeli text was accepted by the Arabo-Moslem countries, including Iran. All those present approved, or let pass with soft verbal restrictions, a resolution originating from the Jews that goes so far as to condemn the right of free research on a historical subject. The UN act assumes only a political and not a juridical character.

Still, since it provides that the Secretary General will have to report on the measures subsequently taken within the framework of the resolution, the revisionists will have reason to fear consequences for themselves of a judicial or administrative nature, for instance, as regards border and airport police, authorisation to enter and stay in certain countries or the issuing of visas. The resolution will serve morally to justify and facilitate extradition measures taken against revisionists. Precedents are not lacking, what with 1) the European arrest warrant; 2) the virtual handing over of revisionist René-Louis Berclaz by Serbia to Switzerland; 3) the handing over of revisionist Ernst Zündel by the United States to Canada, then by Canada to Germany; 4) the handing over of Belgian revisionist Siegfried Verbeke by the Netherlands to Germany; 5) the handing over of revisionist Germar Rudolf to Germany by the United States. In Austria, on November 11, the semi-revisionist David Irving, a British citizen, was arrested by traffic police on a motorway and is now in detention in Vienna. For any noted revisionist it is already risky to leave the confines of his home country. In doing so, he exposes himself to a request for extradition made to the country of transit by either Israel or Germany. There is at present a bill in committee at the Knesset that will authorise Israel to demand the handing over of any revisionist in order to bring him before a court, sitting in Jerusalem, that will apply the 1986 Jewish antirevisionist law against him.

The Jewish State's Offensive

A fortnight ago, Philippe Boloignon, United Nations correspondent for *Le Monde*, wrote a particularly informative article on the successes achieved at the UN by the Jewish State since June 2004 ("L'offensive de charme d'Israël à l'ONU rencontre un certain succès", *Le Monde*, 4 November 2005, p. 3). He listed six recent accomplishments of that State: 1) in June 2004, Kofi Annan called for an acknowledgement "that the United Nations' record on anti-Semitism has at times fallen short of our ideals"; 2) in October of the same year, a resolution including a condemnation of antisemitism was adopted; 3) in January 2005, a special session of the General Assembly marked the 60th anniversary of "the liberation of the death camps"; 4) in June, an Israeli ambassador was elected vice-president of the General Assembly — the first Israeli in fifty-three years; 5) in September, on a visit to the UN for a gathering of heads of state and government, Ariel Sharon shook hands with Pakistani president Pervez Musharraf, while the Israeli delegation made numerous new contacts; 6) in October, the Security Council was quick to condemn the Iranian calls, which were nothing new, for the Jewish State to be erased from the map.

The Jewish State's incomparable gall

These successes are all the more striking as no nation in the world has made fun of the UN like "Israel", a state that, however, owes its creation to ... the UN. The Jewish State, with an incomparable gall (in Jewish parlance: *chutzpah*), has thrown a record number of United Nations "resolutions" straight into the bin. In violation of international law, this State, founded on the colossal lie of the "Holocaust", practises colonialism, racism, apartheid, military occupation and torture. We may add that it possesses an arsenal of atomic weapons and on this score has, for example, in the last few years been supplied by Germany, free of charge, in the name of the said "Holocaust", with three ultra-modern submarines fitted out for nuclear armament. The collusion between, on the one hand, the Jewish State and armed forces and, on the other hand, the German State and armed forces has become such that the German mail service has just released a stamp, for the most regular rate of postage, depicting, on the left, the Israeli flag, then, on the right, the German flag and, finally, linking the two, a

strand of barbed wire, symbol of the perpetual "Holocaust". Germany is becoming the Jewish State's "Guantanamo".

On October 5, 2003, Israeli ambassador to the UN Dan Gillerman had warned the Security Council members that the God of the Jews was "watching" them and, on July 16 2004, annoyed at the behaviour of some Arab states, flung the remark at his colleagues in the General Assembly that things had "reached a point where the inmates are running the asylum". On the other hand, on October 31 of this year, he said that he was "moved" when presenting the "Holocaust Day" text, his country's first successful draft resolution ever. He declared: "I feel moved and privileged to present this historic resolution today, as an Israeli, a Jew, a human being and a child of a family of Holocaust victims". That is understandable. The next day, the "adoption" of his resolution marked the triumph of the "Holocaust" sham. For the occasion, one may say that as far as gall, dishonest procedure, spirit of domination and intolerance are concerned, the Jewish State has outdone itself.

This extraordinary UN resolution also constitutes proof that historical revisionism is a reality that can no longer be bypassed, denied or played down. Its notoriety has become global. Still, let us take care to recognise that the revisionist researchers who remain active are now but a handful and, with each passing year, their future grows darker.

17 November 2005

FROM BIGOTS WITH LOVE

Annan: Holocaust deniers 'bigots'

GENEVA, Switzerland (AP) -- U.N. Secretary-General Kofi Annan has said the world must remember the unique tragedy of the Holocaust and reject all attempts by "bigots" to deny the extermination of the Jews during World War II.

"It must be remembered, with shame and horror, for as long as human memory continues," Annan said Friday in a statement released to mark the first international day commemorating the victims of the Holocaust.

"Holocaust denial is the work of bigots," he said.

Annan was scheduled later Friday to meet with Holocaust survivors in the Swiss city of Zurich. The commemoration comes just three days after Iran said it would follow through with plans to organize a conference on what it terms the "scientific evidence" for the Holocaust.

The planned conference, which has drawn condemnation from Western leaders, is yet another step in hard-line President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's public campaign against Israel.

Ahmadinejad has called the Nazis' World War II slaughter of 6 million European Jews a "myth," and said the Jewish state should be "wiped off the map."

Without mentioning Iran by name, Annan said "we must reject their false claims whenever, wherever and by whomever they are made."

"Remembering is a necessary rebuke to those who say the Holocaust never happened or has been exaggerated," he said. "Millions of Jews and members of other minorities were murdered in the most barbarous ways imaginable. We must never forget those men, women and children, or their agony," Annan said.

Last year, the U.N. General Assembly commemorated the 60th anniversary of the liberation of the Nazi death camps with a special session, a stark change for a body that was often reluctant to address the extermination of the Jews during World War II.

Soviet troops liberated the largest death camp, Auschwitz, on January 27, 1945. Between 1 million and 1.5 million prisoners -- most of them Jews -- perished in gas chambers or died of starvation and disease there. Overall, 6 million Jews were killed in the Holocaust.

On Tuesday, Iran defended its plan to organize the conference on the Holocaust, though it has yet to set a time or place. It also was unclear who might attend.

Ahmadinejad has been issuing the highly inflammatory comments about Israel and the Holocaust in conjunction with the country's deepening confrontation with the West over its nuclear activities. The United States and its allies accuse Iran of seeking nuclear weapons. Tehran says the program is designed for electricity generation, and is within its right under the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty.

CNN.com, 27 Jan. 2006.

<http://www.cnn.com/2006/WORLD/europe/01/27/holocaust.annan.ap/index.html>

FRANCE

Call by 19 Historians for the Repeal of Antirevisionist Law and Several Statutory Clauses

Paris — In a text sent today to the AFP headed “Liberté pour l’histoire!” (Freedom for history!), nineteen leading historians have come out for the repeal of several statutory clauses concerning “events of the past”, legislation that, according to them, is “unworthy of a democratic regime”.

They refer to articles of the laws of July 13, 1990 (editor’s note: aiming to punish any racist, anti-Semitic or xenophobic act [**as well as any manifestation of “Holocaust” revisionism**]), January 29, 2001 (editor’s note: relating to the acknowledgement of the 1915 Armenian genocide), May 21, 2001 (editor’s note: aiming to acknowledge the slave trade as a crime against humanity) and February 23, 2005.

The last mentioned law’s controversial article 4 (in favour of repatriated French citizens) stipulates that “the school curricula shall recognise in particular the positive role of the French presence overseas, notably in North Africa”.

The text is signed by Jean-Pierre Azéma, Elisabeth Badinter, Jean-Jacques Becker, Françoise Chandernagor, Alain Decaux, Marc Ferro, Jacques Julliard, Jean Leclant, Pierre Milza, Pierre Nora, Mona Ozouf, Jean-Claude Perrot, Antoine Prost, René Rémond, Maurice Vaïsse, Jean-Pierre Vernant, Paul Veyne, Pierre Vidal-Naquet and Michel Winock [**most of whom are on the political left and several of whom are of Jewish origin**].

“Moved by the ever more frequent political interventions in the assessment of events of the past and by the legal proceedings affecting historians and thinkers, we see fit to recall the following principles”, they write.

According to them, “history is not a religion. The historian accepts no dogma, respects no prohibition, knows no taboos. History is not morality. The historian’s role is not to exalt or to condemn: he explains. History is not the slave of current affairs. The historian does not stick contemporary ideological outlines onto the past and does not bring today’s sensitivity into the events of former times”.

“History is not remembrance”, they continue. “The historian, in a scientific procedure, collects people’s memories, compares them with each other, confronts them with documents, objects, traces, and establishes the facts. History takes remembrance into account, it does not amount merely to remembrance. **History is not a juridical object. In a free country, it is the job neither of Parliament nor of the judicial authorities to define the historical truth [*emphasis mine, R. Faurisson*]**. The State’s policy, albeit motivated by the best intentions, is not the policy of history”.

“It is in violation of these principles that clauses of successive laws — notably those of July 13, 1990, January 29, 2001, May 21, 2001 and February 23, 2005 — have restricted the historian’s freedom, have told him, on pain of sanctions, what he must look for and what he must find, have prescribed him his methods and set down limits. We call for the repeal of these legislative provisions that are unworthy of a democratic regime”, they conclude.

Agence France Presse release of December 12, 2005.

Statement by Professor Robert Faurisson on the subject of an appeal by nineteen historians

[Nineteen French historians have just made a public call for the repeal of a certain number of laws, beginning with the anti-revisionist law of July 13, 1990, the text of which appeared in the Journal officiel de la République française on

July 14, 1990 under the signatures of François Mitterrand, Michel Rocard and some other Socialist ministers. This law, which was in large part inspired by an Israeli law of 1986, had been requested as early as in May of that year by a certain number of French personalities of Jewish origin grouped in Paris around chief rabbi René-Samuel Sirat, Pierre Vidal-Naquet and the late Georges Wellers. The historian Jean-Pierre Azéma had joined those personalities. On the political and parliamentary level, the true sponsor of the law was former prime minister Laurent Fabius. Owing to necessities of a political nature within the Socialist-Communist majority, L. Fabius and the Socialists left the prerogative of putting before parliament a bill against racism, antisemitism and xenophobia to Communist member Jean-Claude Gayssot but on condition that he add on an antirevisionist provision drafted by Fabius and his friends. The resulting Act is known today by the names "loi Gayssot", "loi Fabius-Gayssot" or "loi Rocard-Gayssot".]

Far from serving any ideology, the revisionists rigorously apply themselves merely to the methodical search for exactitude in the field of history. They can only be glad that nineteen French historians who, in their great majority, are on the political left and some of whom are of Jewish origin, at last feel compelled to demand the repeal of the "atrocious" Fabius-Gayssot Act (the word was that of Yves Baudelot, lawyer for *Le Monde*).

The Fabius-Gayssot Act of July 13, 1990 has been applied for fifteen years against a certain number of revisionists, amongst whom in particular — to mention but them — Pierre Guillaume, Robert Faurisson, Eric Delcroix, Alain Guionnet, Roger Garaudy, Jean-Louis Berger, Jean Plantin and also against publications of which some, overwhelmed with fines and orders to pay damages, have ceased to exist. Already laden with heavy sentences or currently facing prosecution are Vincent Reynouard, Georges Theil, Robert Faurisson and Bruno Gollnisch. All this goes on either with the approval of the media or in their silence.

With the exception of one of them, who has always shown courage, the historians who have finally decided to call for the repeal of certain laws, beginning with the "*loi Fabius-Gayssot*", have incurred a grave responsibility in formerly approving this law or in remaining stubbornly quiet when the French courts' convictions were raining down on revisionists. They stayed deaf to our appeals for help and deaf to our warnings when we cautioned them that one day or another this law would turn on them. Today their turnaround is a product of circumstances on which I shall soon expound in another short piece and that, sad to say, are not to their credit.

As for the French justice system and the role it has played in the repression of historical revisionism, it has, in the main, proved guilty of failing in its basic duties. Personally, if I am ever able to do so, I shall seek redress, as has been done in their own case by the victims of a recent scandal in which it has been possible to see, once again, to what abysmal depths our judicial system can sink in callously hounding innocent people on whom the media have set it.

Tuesday, December 13, 2005

HOW TO COUNTER THE LIGHTENING PROGRESS OF HOLOCAUST REVISIONISM IN THE MIDDLE EAST ?

TAKE AN OLD RECIPE : MAKE THE ARABS GUILTY

Arab Role in Holocaust Overlooked by U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum

Several prominent US Jewish academic and social organizations have planned a national colloquium to discuss remedies to the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum's failure to document the role Islamic groups played in the Holocaust.

New York, NY (PRWEB) January 13, 2006 -- Several prominent US Jewish academic and social organizations have planned a national colloquium to discuss remedies to the U.S.

Holocaust Memorial Museum's failure to document the role Islamic groups played in the Holocaust. This meeting will also discuss the Museum's silence in response to recent Holocaust denials and Anti-Semitic remarks attributed to Arab leaders. The U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum is an organization heavily funded by the United States government. "It is a documented fact that Arabs in the Islamic world had a great influence in the Holocaust. This is not opinion, it is not 'anti-Arab sentiment,' it is fact," commented Shelomo Alfassa, executive director of the International Society for Sephardic Progress (ISFSP).

The ISFSP is joining with Holocaust Museum Watch (hmwatch.org), AMCHA

—The Coalition for Jewish Concerns and other groups to cosponsor the colloquium.

The colloquium, entitled, "Should Arab Anti-Semitism be on the Agenda of the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum?" will consider the following points:

--The US Holocaust Museum has made no effort, in either its permanent or temporary exhibits, to educate Americans about the role top Islamic leaders played in the Holocaust.

--The Museum's exhibit does not display documents, photographs or information regarding the intimate relationship between Hitler and the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem; this includes the Mufti's role in the extermination of Jewish populations.

--The Museum fails to recognize or discuss the Holocaust-era pogrom known as "The Farhud," perpetuated by a pro-Nazi coup in Iraq in June 1941.

--The Museum's unspoken taboo on conducting programs or sponsored research regarding The Farhud, deportation of Jews from North Africa to concentration camps, and the interrelationship of the Nazis and Islamic leaders in Egypt, Syria, etc.

There will be a press conference January 18, 2006, at 2pm in the Edward R. Murrow room of the National Press Club in Washington D.C.. Speakers at the press conference include Kenneth Timmerman, the author of 'Countdown to Crisis: the Coming Nuclear Showdown with Iran', and executive director of the Foundation for Democracy in Iran; Chuck Morse, Massachusetts Republican Congressional candidate and author of 'The Nazi Connection to Islamic Terrorism'; and Shelomo Alfassa, executive director of the International Society for Sephardic Progress. That evening there will be a colloquium held at 7:30 p.m. in The National Synagogue, Congregation Ohev Sholom Talmud Torah, 1600 Jonquil Street NW, Washington, DC 20012.

Colloquium speakers include Congressman Elliot Engel (D-NY), Rabbi Avi Weiss of New York, president of AMCHA, Kenneth Timmerman, Chuck Morse, Shelomo Alfassa, and Edwin Black, the award-winning 'New York Times' best selling author of 'IBM and the Holocaust' and 'Banking on Baghdad.' Maurice Shohet, a leader of the Iraqi Jewish community who has written about his escape from Iraq, will discuss the need for the Museum to commemorate the 65th anniversary of the Farhud massacre on June 1, 2006. Mr. Fred Zeidman, chairman of the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum, has been invited to address these concerns. Approximately 250 persons are expected to attend the meeting. Press Conference: January 18, 2006 at 2pm. National Press Club, Edward R. Murrow Room 529 14th Street NW Washington, DC 20045 Colloquium: January 18, 2006 at 7:30 PM National Synagogue 1600 Jonquil Street NW Washington DC 20012 Contact: Shelomo Alfassa (347) 350-7695

PR web

<http://www.prweb.com/releases/2006/1/prweb332154.htm>

-----oooOOO§§§OOOooo-----

THE NEW MAGIC PERSIAN CARPET

Revisionism in Iran, in France and in the World

With his recent statements on "the myth of the Holocaust", the president of Iran has given new momentum to the development of historical revisionism, as is made plain by the following

exchange between the Managing Director of the Neda Institute of Political Sciences (Teheran) and the Frenchman Robert Faurisson.

**Dr Jawad Sharbaf, Managing Director, Neda Institute of Political Sciences (Teheran)
to Professor Robert Faurisson, December 19, 2005**

Dear Professor Faurisson

I take this opportunity to express Neda Institute of Scientific-Political Research and Studies' deep sorrow to you and all revisionists regarding the UN resolution on "Holocaust Day" [of November 1, 2005]. President Mahmud Ahmadinejad's recent remarks doubting the "Holocaust" have created a favourable situation for revisionism. Our assumption for the time being is that the President will undoubtedly do his best if you make contact and request assistance for organising an international conference on revisionism. Should you require any help in this regard, please do not hesitate to contact me.

With the best of good wishes,
Dr Jawad Sharbaf, Managing Director, Neda Institute

Professor Robert Faurisson to Dr Jawad Sharbaf, December 26, 2005

Dear Dr Sharbaf,

I heartily thank you for your message and your proposal concerning the organisation of an international revisionist conference. In November of 2000 I had the honour of being a guest for a week in Teheran at the invitation of an Iranian government agency. On that occasion, I made the acquaintance of your Institute where I was welcomed by Dr Soroush-Nejad and a group of professors, one of whom was then finishing the Persian translation of my *Mémoire en défense contre ceux qui m'accusent de falsifier l'histoire* (1980). For these last five years, during which we have maintained contact, I have noted that your country's political heads have been reluctant to denounce the lie of the alleged "Holocaust" of the Jews, a lie whose ravages, wrought for more than half a century now, and to the particular detriment of the Palestinian people, are a disaster that worsens from year to year. I was hoping that one day a high government official would have the courage to put it plainly to the world that that "Holocaust" was but a legend or a myth. On December 8, 2005, — a date that will be remembered — the President of your country, Mr Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, — a name that will go down in history — dared to voice doubts on the historical reality of the alleged "Holocaust". On December 12, he spoke of it as a "myth". Moreover, he spoke in defence of the revisionists' right to express themselves freely. On December 22, in Egypt, the general guide of the Moslem Brothers, Mohamed Mehdi Akef, also used the word "myth" in that regard but not without retracting in part two days later, potent and intimidating as that myth is. On December 23, an Iranian official, Mohamed-Ali Ramin, head of the association for the defence of the rights of Moslem minorities in the West, declared that your President wished to see the European governments let academics in their countries publish the results of their research into the "Holocaust".

On November 1st, unanimously and without a vote, the representatives of the 191 countries constituting the UN adopted an Israeli-drafted resolution proclaiming January 27th "International Day of Commemoration in memory of the victims of the Holocaust". Moreover, this text "**Rejects any denial of the Holocaust as an historical event, either in full or part**". Thus are targeted all those who, like the revisionists, demand a re-examination of the evidence and testimonies on which the argument of the alleged "Holocaust" of the Jews is based. In the main, the upholders of that argument affirm that, during the Second World War, the Germans 1) had a **policy of physical extermination of the Jews**; 2) had designed, built and used great slaughterhouses for humans called **gas chambers** (not to be confused with the crematory ovens, which had nothing criminal about them) and 3) caused, by this means and others, **the death of six million Jews**.

The proposition was adopted through a sleight of hand that I have described in a text of which you are aware entitled "The UN Decides a Universal Ban on Revisionism".

The accusation brought by the Jews against the German people constitutes a slander. Marked with the sign of Cain, that people has thus far had no other recourse than to lose itself in contrition for

a crime that it never committed. Sixty years after the war, Germany is still in a state of subjection and has not yet had the right to a peace treaty. The German (and Austrian) leaders ceaselessly ask the Jews' forgiveness and lay out colossal financial "reparations" to Jewish or Zionist organisations and the State of Israel. For sixty years, those countries' rulers have lived in dread of arousing the Jews' wrath; consequently they can be seen stifling any sign of historical revisionism. In Germany, in Austria but also in a good many other countries in Europe, the Jews have succeeded in getting special laws passed serving to protect their own version of the history of the Second World War from any challenge.

The imposture of the "Holocaust" is the sword and shield of the Jewish State, its no. 1 weapon. It enables the Jews and Zionists to indict the whole world: first Germany which, according to it, committed an abominable and unprecedented crime, then the rest of the world which had let that crime be committed. The Jewish extremists and the Zionists have gone on to charge Churchill, Roosevelt, Truman, Stalin, De Gaulle, Pope Pius XII, the International Committee of the Red Cross as well as all the countries that took part in the Second World War and even all the neutral countries, beginning with Switzerland. All are accused of having been indifferent to the tragedy and of having let the Jewish people be exterminated as alleged!

So it is that in taking a position today against the lie of the "Holocaust", Iran happens to be defending not only Palestine and the Arabo-Moslem world but also an entire part of the human race against a gigantic slander, blackmail and extortion operation.

You inform me that, thanks to President Ahmadinejad, the occasion may finally have arisen to envisage the holding of an international conference on revisionism.

You surely know that the revisionists were, in 2001, preparing to hold such a conference in Beirut from March 31 to April 2 of that year. But Israeli and American pressures became so threatening that Lebanese President Rafik Hariri had to forbid the gathering. **We should therefore be happy to turn to President Ahmadinejad and request his help in holding a conference in your country. However, in view of the circumstances, such a project seems, sad to say, unrealisable at this moment.** Allow me to explain why.

At present the main revisionists who, in 2001, would have participated in the Beirut conference are either in prison, in exile or in a precarious situation that forbids them from crossing national borders and from boarding a flight at an international airport.

We may take the appalling case of Ernst Zündel. Married to an American lady and living peacefully in the State of Tennessee, he was arrested outside his house on February 5, 2003 and thrown in jail under a pretext made of lies. Then he was delivered to Canada where, for two years, he languished in degrading conditions of solitary confinement in a high-security prison. Finally, he was handed over to Germany, where he is now in prison (in Mannheim) awaiting trial for revisionism. In Canada, as in Germany, revisionists are deprived of the right to defend themselves. In those countries, when a man accused of revisionism stands before a judge, the latter begins, in line with the routine, by having him swear to tell the truth. But if, in the following minute, the accused says, for example: "I affirm that the alleged Nazi gas chambers did not exist because the truth — which I can well demonstrate — is that they could not exist", the judge will interrupt him immediately. The Canadian judge will tell him: "Before this special court [christened 'Human rights tribunal'] truth is no defence". As for the German judge, he will tell him: "You have not the right to challenge obvious facts of common knowledge (*'offenkundig'*)". Thus neither the revisionist in question nor his lawyers can present a defence *on the merits of the case*. In Canada, judge Pierre Blais, presiding alone without a jury over proceedings against Ernst Zündel admitting of no appeal, the special court went so far as to examine anonymous witnesses in closed session. Then, in Mannheim, the chief judge dismissed in succession the four lawyers whom Ernst Zündel had chosen, and this because he suspected them of revisionism.

Still in the United States, near Chicago, the German citizen Germar Rudolf was recently kidnapped in the same style, torn from his American wife and their child and delivered to Germany; he is in prison in Stuttgart.

The Belgian Siegfried Verbeke was arrested last summer at Amsterdam airport and handed over by the Netherlands to Germany; he is in prison in Heidelberg.

The British historian David Irving was arrested in November while travelling in Austria and is now in prison in Vienna.

These four persons risk being sentenced to years of imprisonment, except perhaps David Irving if, as his lawyer lets it be understood that he will do, he retracts, shows repentance and pleads for the court's leniency.

Other revisionists are in prison in Germany or Austria, notably the barrister Manfred Roeder, the school headmaster Ernst G. Kögel and the chemist Wolfgang Fröhlich.

Germany has become Israel's "Guantanamo Bay" by the intermediary of a sort of judicial and police mafia which, in the United States and Canada, lashes out at revisionists (and at certain Arabs or Moslems) within the so convenient framework of the "fight against terrorism".

In Switzerland the revisionists Gaston-Armand Amaudruz (aged 84) and René-Louis Berclaz have recently got out of prison, but might well return there.

Some important revisionists are living in exile in difficult conditions. I shall refrain here from naming either them or the countries where they have found refuge.

There remains the case of those revisionists who are neither in prison nor in exile. Their existence is hardly more enviable. The police harass them, the courts convict them. To speak only of France, Jean Plantin (prosecuted in Lyon), Vincent Reynouard (prosecuted in Limoges) and Georges Theil (prosecuted in Grenoble, Limoges and Lyon) have been or are currently under diverse sentences, including that of imprisonment without remission. Bruno Gollnisch, member of the European parliament, is to be summoned before a court in Lyon simply for having said that he wished historians could express themselves freely on the problem of the Nazi gas chambers' existence! I myself am to appear on June 20, 2006 at the 17th chamber of the Paris criminal court for having given a telephone interview of revisionist substance to the Iranian television channel "Sahar"; charges were brought by Mr Dominique Baudis, president of the Conseil Supérieur de l'audiovisuel.

Fredrick Töben, an Australian national of German origin, had developed his revisionist activity in Australia and on the Internet. While travelling in Germany and seeking to inquire at the source about judicial repression of revisionism in that country, he soon found himself in prison there. Now back in Australia, he has been hit with a "gag order", that is, he is in principle bound to silence on pain of summary conviction for contempt of court.

In Poland, the Czech republic, and other countries of Europe, revisionists are also prosecuted and convicted.

In Sweden, Ahmed Rami carries on with indomitable courage a struggle for both Islam and revisionism which has earned him time in prison.

In Germany, the number of publications burned by the police because of revisionism is not officially known but it might be considerable. Likewise in Canada.

I shall not relate here the revisionists' exclusions from professions of all sorts in various countries or the family tragedies and suicides provoked by the repression. In Munich on the 25th of April 1995 the revisionist Reinhold Elstner burned himself to death as a protest, as he had written, against "the Niagara of lies" poured upon his people. The mainstream German press passed his heroic act over in silence and the police there, doing as ordered, confiscated the bouquets of flowers laid at the site of that sacrifice and proceeded to question those who, by that gesture of compassion, had shown their own anguish. In France, armed Jewish groups use violence with impunity even in the halls of the central courthouse in Paris. Personally, between 1978 and 1993, I suffered ten physical assaults on the part of Jews who, however, were never tried.

If the Jews and Zionists use physical violence and judicial repression in this way, it is because, on the level of scientific and historical argumentation, the revisionists have beaten them hands down. The drama of it for the Jews and Zionists is that they have been lying and that this fact is becoming known more and more. Certain Jews and even certain Israelis seem to be conscious of this. A minute few have had the courage to declare their scepticism concerning the reality of the "Holocaust" whereas

others have been content to speak out against "the Holocaust religion", "the Holocaust industry" or the "Shoah business".

In conclusion, I think that, until things have changed somewhat, an international conference is, unfortunately, impossible. But, in accord with an idea put forth by professor Arthur Robert Butz, I shall say that we hope to see President Ahmadinejad create in Iran an international centre for revisionist studies whose first task would be to propagate historical revisionism's attainments in the Arabo-Moslem world via the Internet or any other medium. Meanwhile, we request that Iran make repeated appeals to the Western world for the freeing of our prisoners of conscience.

In any case, we for our part consider that as long as in the United States, Canada, nearly all of Europe and as far away as Australia the revisionists are subjected either to special laws or tribunals, underhanded police procedures, or methodical vilification by media in the service of certain Jewish or Zionist pressure groups, the Western world will have ever less right to impose lessons of lawfulness, morality or democracy on others.

Yours respectfully,

Robert Faurisson

HYPOCRITICAL LIARS

Israel has created a real holocaust for Palestinians:

Abdullah Mohammad Sindi

On December 18, the Mehr News Agency conducted an interview with Dr. Sindi to learn his views on why Western countries were so outraged when the Iranian president said that if the Westerners are sincere and believe in the Holocaust, then why don't they give part of their land to the Jews and asked why the Palestinians should have to pay the penalty for the West's crimes. Following is the text of the interview:

Q: Iranian President Mahmud Ahmadinejad said that he thinks that the Holocaust is a myth. However, he also said some European countries insist that millions of innocent Jews were killed during the Second World War by Hitler, and asked why the Europeans don't give part of their land to the Jews if they are correct. What is your view?

A: I agree wholeheartedly with President Ahmadinejad. There was no such a thing as the "holocaust". The so-called "holocaust" is nothing but Jewish/Zionist propaganda. There is no proof whatsoever that any live Jew was ever gassed or burned in Nazi Germany or in any of the territories that Nazi Germany occupied during World War Two. The holocaust propaganda was started by the Zionist Jews in order to acquire world-wide sympathy for the creation of Israel after World War Two. I detailed all of this in my book (The Arabs and the West: The Contributions and the Inflictions)

I also wrote a detailed article entitled "*The Holocaust is a Typical Zionist Myth*" (<http://abbc.net/sindi/typic.htm>)

President Ahmad Nejad is 100% correct and 100% logical when he stated that if the European countries keep insisting that Nazi Germany gassed and burned 6 million live Jews, then Germany or Austria should be the real location for this rogue state of Israel. In fact, this illegal and illegitimate state of Israel is the one that created a real holocaust against the Palestinian people, both Muslim and Christian.

Q: If they are right, surely they can prove that the Holocaust really took place. Why do they shun any discussion of the Holocaust?

A: The Western people, both Europeans and Americans, who think they have freedom of speech, cannot freely discuss the "holocaust". There is a big conspiracy in the West to keep everyone silent from freely discussing the "holocaust". In fact, anyone who dares to deny the "holocaust" openly in the Western media will be in deep trouble. Accordingly, there is no real freedom in the West. The freedom in the West stops when it comes to discussing the "holocaust" freely. The Jews and the Zionists

control the Western media and the publishing houses, both in Europe and the USA, and they prevent anyone from expressing a free opinion on the so-called "holocaust". I agree with President Ahmadinejad that no one in the entire West can prove any of the Jewish/Zionist lies on the "holocaust".

Q: Why has the Holocaust become a dogma while the killing of other people across the world goes unnoticed?

A: The Western governments and media are hypocritical liars. They keep talking constantly about their own Western victims or Israeli victims in any situation, real or imagined, including kidnapping. But these so-called freedom-loving Westerners do not care a bit about their own colonial and imperialist wars that cause the death of millions of innocent Muslims and others around the world.

Q: Why have revisionists been banned from discussing the Holocaust and why are those who express any doubts treated like heretics?

A: Many revisionists in the so-called free West, such as Ernest Zundel and Dr. David Irving, have been banned and viciously attacked throughout the West for publicly expressing their free opinions on the so-called "holocaust". Israel is an extension of the West and all Western governments and media support it blindly 100%. While anyone in the West has the right to publicly say or write anything critical about anything, no one in this so-called "free" and "democratic" West dares to attack Israel or deny any of its lies, including the lies of the so-called "holocaust". Anyone who attacks Israel or its lies is either banned, attacked, labeled as racist, or lose his job and career. In short, Israel controls the West, and not the other way around. The Jews and the Zionists rule the world by proxy. That is exactly what former Malaysian Prime Minister Mahathir Mohamed said in October 2003 during the 10th Islamic Summit Conference in Malaysia.

Dr. Abdullah Mohammad Sindi is a Saudi Professor of Political Science who taught at King Abdulaziz University in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. He has also taught in the USA at the University of California in Irvine, California State University at Pomona, Cerritos College, and Fullerton College. The author of several publications, both in Arabic and English, Dr. Sindi now lives and works in California where obtained BA, MA, and Ph.D. degrees in the 1960s and 1970s. He is the author of the book The Arabs and the West: The Contributions and the Inflictions.

ZIONIST COUNTER OFFENSIVE

Columnist for London Arabic Daily on Arab & Iranian Leaders Who Deny the Holocaust: "[This] is Discussed Only in Intellectually and Educationally Retarded Milieus"

In a column that was published on December 26, 2005 in the London daily Al-Hayat, columnist Hazem Saghiya harshly attacked Arab and Iranian leaders who denied the Holocaust. Those he singled out included Muslim Brotherhood leader Mahdi 'Akef, Hamas leader Khaled Mash'al, and Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad. The following are excerpts, in the original English:

"Mahdi 'Akef has joined Khaled Mash'al, who, in his turn, had joined [Iranian President] Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, in denying the existence of the Nazi holocaust that targeted the European Jews. The issue is no longer tackled or discussed, except in intellectually and educationally retarded milieus. When the denial is being uttered by Arabs and Muslims, this adds another dimension, which is the inability to achieve any progress in reality, then proceed to contest history with myth.

"For the millionth time, the truth must be reiterated: the stance towards the Holocaust is not linked to the stance towards Israel. Those who connect the two are either staunch Zionists who consider that the attitude towards the Hebrew State is automatically the same towards the Holocaust, and vice versa; or Jewish haters who consider that acknowledging the Holocaust is tantamount to supporting Israel, and leave no space for contradicting it.

"As it was previously mentioned, there is no longer a need to discuss this settled

issue, despite what an Iranian official said when he dubbed [Ahmadi]nejad's opinion as 'an academic point of view,' and despite 'Akef's muddy sources ranging from David Irving to Roger Garaudy.

"Most importantly, the 'culture' of denying the Holocaust - which is, among other things, the outcome of lack of education - has grown to occupy a dominant position in public Arab and Islamic life. Although the issue was about to come to an end and be confined to narrow margins that gather utter fanaticism with utter retardation, the heavy, poisoned Iranian rain blew on us and was welcomed, quite avidly, by the eager Arab deserts.

"The issue is now no longer restricted to narrow margins. The reason is that [Ahmadi]nejad, regretfully and painfully, is the President of the Republic elected by millions of Iranians. As for Mash'al, he is one of the symbols of the organization that bit at Palestinian municipalities, and may now bite at its Parliament too, in case legislative elections take place, confusing the world over the way to avoid such a stalemate. As for 'Akef, he is the rising star in Egypt, as his Muslim brethren have secured more than a quarter of the Parliament's seats. They could even have achieved more in better electoral circumstances.

"Ushered by some writings of the former Syrian Defense Minister Mustapha Tlas, or some letters and instructions of Osama bin Laden and Ayman Al-Zawahiri, the library of Hamas, Islamic Jihad, and Hizbullah abounds with long excerpts drawn from *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, *The Jewish Peril*, and other yellow pages intermingled with mythical visions about martyrdom and graves.

"This means that we are not to be envied at all. The ailment is swelling up from the heart of the societies to the decision makers therein. It is no coincidence that the elements of the bloc spreading and disseminating the above mentioned 'ideas' are those same elements who promise us salvation from occupations and darkness to a brighter and more glowing horizon. It is also no coincidence that the same bloc represents an anti-modern sensitivity coupled with a certain regression to what has [been] tried many times before, in power as well as in opposition..."

Memri (Israeli Intelligence, or sort of) December 30, 2005, No.1062
<http://memri.org/bin/latestnews.cgi?ID=SD106205>

THEY MADE A MYTH

World scholars back Iran's denial of Holocaust

London, January 5 (IranMania) - A number of world scholars and journalists have expressed support for Iranian President **Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's** remarks on the Holocaust, IRNA said. The president last month said that the Holocaust was a "myth" fabricated by the West and Europe. **Israel Shamir**, a leading Russian-Israeli intellectual and journalist, wrote to say he admired the stance of the Iranian president with regard to the Holocaust, Mehr News Agency reported.

In a letter expressing support for President Ahmadinejad's view, he lauded the Iranian nation and leadership as "dignified" while blasting the Israeli regime as "bloodthirsty killers." Shamir visited Iran in 1973 and 1974. His varied assignments as a freelance writer has brought him to Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia during the last stages of the war in South East Asia.

While in Moscow in 1989-1993, he reported for the Israeli daily *Haaretz* but was sacked for publishing an article calling for the Palestinians refugees to be allowed to return and rebuild their ruined villages, IRNA added. They have made a myth of the Holocaust and because of their view they have opposed `revisionists' on the event, Shamir noted in his letter.

Another scholar, German in origin, Dr **Fredrick Toben**, in a separate letter called the Holocaust a "lie" and described the event as a "legend." An Australian citizen, Fredrick, has also edited a revisionist journal called `Truth Missions' which was later renamed Adelaide Institute newsletter, IRNA noted. He said he has personally visited Auschwitz, burrowed himself under the ruins of the alleged gas chamber, but was unable to find the four holes in the roof which were supposedly used to

throw in gas pellets, IRNA stated. He was found guilty of "denying the Holocaust" by a German court in 1999 in Berlin.

Ahmad Rami, a former Moroccan officer, in a letter also announced his full support for the remarks made by Iranian President Ahmadinejad on the Holocaust, calling it a "big lie." Living in exile in Sweden as a political dissident, he criticized the information monopoly of the Zionists in Sweden and launched *Radio Islam* there aimed at spreading information about Zionism, Jewish racism and the so-called Holocaust.

In a letter to Iran's President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, German lawyer **Horst Mehler** [Mahler] denied the Holocaust, saying that it was aimed to damage the German people, IRNA said. "Mr Ahmadinejad has helped the revisionists. The Holocaust has never happened and is considered the biggest lie throughout history. The Germans should fight the fabricated story of the Holocaust to protect their country," said Mehler in his letter. Many historians have been forced to keep silent and many of them have been jailed for telling the truth (denying the Holocaust), he noted in the letter. Mehler courageously denied the Holocaust at the opening of his trial in the Berlin State Court. "It is a lie that we systematically murdered six million Jews," he said in the court. Prosecutors warned the defendant he faced further charges if he continued with such statements since a law in Germany punishes anybody denying the Holocaust.

IranMania, January 05, 2006.

<http://www.iranmania.com/News/ArticleView/Default.asp?NewsCode=39332&NewsKind=Current%20Affairs>

GREAT SOLACE

A number of prominent world historians and scholars supported the recent remarks of President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad on Holocaust

The former social scientist, **Serge Thion** kicked out of his job for revisionism, declared his own and his colleagues approval of Ahmadinejad's outlook and referred to his comments as logical, fair and courageous.

"I wish to assure you that French and international revisionist scholars will support entirely the Iranian President **Ahmadinejad**.

They found his speeches remarkably accurate, his ideas very sound, and his proposals very rational and just.

"We find a great solace in the courageous behavior of the highest Iranian authorities," added Thion.

The Florida-based American author and critic of the policies of US administration, **John Kaminski**, appreciated President Ahmadinejad for what he said.

"As an American citizen, I feel ashamed of the approach of my country throughout the world, in particular towards Iran and Venezuela. I have to admit that we feel unsafe at home.

"Best wishes to the Iranian president. May we all have the courage to continue to speak the truth under any circumstances," added Kaminski.

Dana I. Alvi from a Polish- American committee said, "I have personally experienced World War II in Warsaw, Poland. Certainly, the experiences of the Jews under the German occupation have now been propagandized.

"Certainly, they have suffered as most people in those times and places. The experience of the Jews (the Holocaust) has been just one part of the overall tragedy of the World War II. I believe that Poles suffered the greatest losses. Until now, Poles do not have any control over their own country."

An Australian-based analyst of Middle East issues, **Mohammad Hejazi**, called for a conference to be held in Tehran on the matter, to give the world top revisionists, who believe that Holocaust has been exaggerated, a chance to express their relevant opinions.

A British Historian and Holocaust revisionist, **David Irving**, currently imprisoned and awaiting his trial in Austria on charges of denying holocaust, is one of the proponents of Ahmadinejad's views on holocaust.

Irving has so far been beaten by Jewish groups in Britain and all over the world. He has been banned from visiting the Commonwealth countries and once as he intended to enter Australia to meet

a family member, a group of Jews pressurized the Australian government to prevent him from entering the country.

The Canadian publisher of German origin and one of the most staunch Holocaust deniers, **Ernst Zündel**, [Zündel] who has been exiled from Canada after residing there for 40 years is another individual supporting the president's approach.

Zündel is now in prison in Germany waiting to stand trial on charge of questioning the assassination of six million Jews during the Holocaust.

Another German holocaust denier, a former Reuters reporter and author of several books on holocaust, **Michael Hofmann**, [US citizen] considering himself as a historian and researcher of fundamental historical facts, expressed his support for Ahmadinejad's outlook in an analysis appearing at his personal website.

He termed the president's remarks as sacred, given that many revisionists are currently imprisoned in Germany, France and Belgium for having spoken against Holocaust.

The French researcher and holocaust critic, **Henry Rock**, [= Roques] declared his full support for the scientific remarks of President Ahmadinejad and called for further assessment of Holocaust and research on it.

A group of other researchers referred to the comments of Iranian president on Holocaust as "Christmas Message from a Courageous Muslim".

Meanwhile, a number of domestic figures and Iranian expatriate authors also expressed their support for freedom of expression in Iran and the president's remarks on Holocaust.

IRNA (Islamic Republic News Agency) 4 Jan. 2006.

<http://www.irna.ir/en/news/view/line-17/0601049802170943.htm>

ENTRENCHED

Yad Vashem worried by Iranian Holocaust denial

By DPA

Yad Vashem said yesterday it viewed with "growing concern" what it termed Iran's continued Holocaust denial, and called on the international community to act "to prevent genocidal intentions from becoming genocidal capabilities". "Reports that the Association of Islamic-Journalists of Iran is convening an international conference of Holocaust deniers to 'examine in depth this myth' illustrate how deeply entrenched Holocaust denial is in radical Islamic circles," Yad Vashem Chairman Avner Shalev said in a statement.

Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, in a series of remarks starting in October, has denied the occurrence and extent of the Holocaust several times, at one point calling the Nazi genocide of Europe's Jews a "fairy tale." He said that if Europeans were responsible for the Jews' massacre, then they should relocate the Jewish state to their continent.

"Iran has embraced such charlatans as **David Irving**, who was found by a British court to be an 'anti-Semite' and 'racist' whose 'falsification of the record was deliberate,' as well as **Robert Faurisson** and **Roger Garaudy**," Shalev said.

"These sham historians - totally discredited in the West - find a responsive audience in Iran, where senior officials have called the factual events of the Holocaust a 'matter of opinion'," he continued. Shalev said the United Nations and most of the world have recognized the importance of Holocaust remembrance as "a safeguard against the breakdown of the basic human values that underpin our civilization".

"The dismissal of the veracity of the Holocaust and its legacy represents a clear rejection of those values," he said.

Ha'aretz, 12 Jan. 2006.

<http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/669181.html>

HITLER DID IT

Denial of Holocaust nothing new in Iran Ties to Hitler led to plots against British and Jews

Edwin Black

Mahmoud Ahmadinejad has shot to the forefront of Holocaust denial with his rabble-rousing remarks last month. But it's more like self-denial. The president of Iran need only look to his country's Hitler-era past to discover that Iran and Iranians were strongly connected to the Holocaust and the Hitler regime, as was the entire Islamic world under the leadership of the mufti of Jerusalem.

Iran's axis with the Third Reich began during the prewar years, when it welcomed Nazi Gestapo agents and other operatives to Tehran, allowing them to use the city as a base for Middle East agitation against the British and the region's Jews.

Key among these German agents was Fritz Grobba, Berlin's envoy to the Middle East, who was often called "the German Lawrence," because he promised a Pan-Islamic state stretching from Casablanca to Tehran.

Relations between Berlin and Tehran were strong from the moment Hitler came to power in 1933. At that time, Reza Shah Pahlavi's nation was known as Persia. The shah became a stalwart admirer of Hitler, Nazism and the concept of the Aryan master race. He also sought the Reich's help in reducing British petro-political domination.

So intense was the shah's identification with the Third Reich that in 1935 he renamed his ancient country "Iran," which in Farsi means Aryan and refers to the Proto-Indo-European lineage that Nazi racial theorists and Persian ethnologists cherished.

The idea for the name change was suggested by the Iranian ambassador to Germany, who came under the influence of Hitler's trusted banker, Hjalmar Schacht. From that point, all Iranians were constantly reminded that their country shared a common bond with the Nazi regime.

Shortly after World War II broke out in 1939, the Mufti of Jerusalem crafted a strategic alliance with Hitler to exchange Iraqi oil for active Arab and Islamic participation in the murder of Jews in the Mideast and Eastern Europe. This was predicated on support for a pan-Arab state and Arab control over Palestine.

During the war years, Iran became a haven for Gestapo agents. It was from Iran that the seeds of the abortive 1941 pro-Nazi coup in Baghdad were planted. After Churchill's forces booted the Nazis out of Iraq in June 1941, German aircrews supporting Nazi bombers escaped across Iraq's northern border back into Iran.

Likewise, the mufti of Jerusalem was spirited across the border to Tehran, where he continued to call for the destruction of the Jews and the defeat of the British.

His venomous rhetoric filled the newspapers and radio broadcasts in Tehran. The mufti was a vocal opponent of allowing Jewish refugees to be transported or ransomed into Jewish Palestine. Instead, he wanted them shipped to the gas chambers of Poland.

In the summer of 1941, the mufti, with the support of key Iranian military and government leaders, advocated implementing in Iran what had failed months earlier in Iraq. The plan once again was for a total diversion of oil from the Allies to the Nazis, in exchange for the accelerated destruction of the Jews in Eastern Europe and the Nazis' support for an Arab state. Through the Anglo-Iranian Oil Co., Iran had already been supplying Hitler's forces in occupied Czechoslovakia and Austria.

Now, the mufti agitated to cut off the British and the Allies completely and supply Germany in its push against Russia.

In October 1941, British, USSR other allied forces invaded Iran to break up the Iran-Nazi alliance. Pro-Nazi generals and ministers were arrested, and the shah's son was installed in power. The mufti scampered into the Italian embassy, where he shaved

his beard and dyed his hair. In this disguise, he was allowed to leave the country along with the rest of the Italian delegation.

Once the mufti relocated permanently to Berlin, where he established his own Reich-supported "bureau," he was given airtime on Radio Berlin. >From Berlin and other fascist capitals in Europe, the mufti continued to agitate for international Jewish destruction, as well as a pan-Islamic alliance with the Nazi regime.

He called upon all Muslims to "kill the Jews wherever you see them." In Tehran's marketplace, it was common to see placards that declared, "In heaven, Allah is your master. On Earth, it is Adolf Hitler."

When the mufti raised three divisions of Islamic Waffen SS to undertake cruel operations in Bosnia, among the 30,000 killers were some volunteer contingents from Iran. Iranian Nazis, along with the other Muslim Waffen SS, operated under the direct supervision of Heinrich Himmler and were responsible for barbarous actions against Jews and others in Bosnia. Recruitment for the murderous "Handschar Divisions" was done openly in Iran.

Iran and its leaders were not only aware of the Holocaust, they played both sides. The country offered overland escape routes for refugee Jews fleeing Nazi persecution to Israel -- and later fleeing postwar Iraqi fascist persecution -- but only in exchange for extortionate passage fees.

Thousands of Jews journeyed to Israel via Iran both during the Holocaust and during the years after the fall of Hitler, when Arab leaders, especially in Iraq, tried to continue Germany's anti-Jewish program. Iran profited handsomely.

Since the shah's downfall, Iran has become a center for organized international Holocaust denial and has helped elevate the endeavor from fringe hate speech to a state-approved pseudo-intellectual debate.

In international forums and on state-controlled radio, Iranian university experts and journalists help validate the revisionist views that Jews were never gassed or murdered in great numbers during the Holocaust.

Indeed, Iran has become a refuge for the biggest names in European Holocaust denial. When in 2000, revisionist author Jürgen Graf was sentenced in Switzerland to 15 months in prison for Holocaust falsification, Graf fled to Tehran "at the invitation of a group of Iranian scholars and university professors who are sympathetic to Holocaust revisionism," according to the Institute for Historical Review, a denial clearinghouse.

What's more, in May 2000, Iran's embassy in Vienna granted asylum to Austrian Holocaust denier Wolfgang Fröhlich, who testified as a so-called expert witness during Graf's 1998 trial. This saved Fröhlich from Austria's severe anti-Holocaust denial statutes. Fröhlich argued that evidence proved no Jews were killed by Zyklon B gassing. [***False information : Fröhlich spent two years in jail, was freed and is back in jail for another 4 years. In Austria, for thoughtcrime.***]

Earlier, about 600 journalists and 160 members of the Iranian parliament signed petitions supporting French revisionist Roger Garaudy, who was fined \$40,000 by French authorities for his book claiming the Holocaust was a myth. When Garaudy landed in Iran, the country's supreme spiritual leader, Ayatollah Sayyad Khamenei, granted him an audience and lauded his work.

Iran has played a leading role in the Holocaust drama and now tries to deny it. That should be very hard in a nation that was named for Hitler's master race.

Edwin Black is the author of Banking on Baghdad about the Nazi-Arab alliance. Contact us at insight@sfchronicle.com.

San Francisco Chronicle 8 January 2006.

<http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/c/a/2006/01/08/INGODGH99Q1.DTL>

NO NEED TO COMPLICATE

Iran's Ahmadinejad calls on free debate on Holocaust

Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad on Saturday called on a free debate on the Holocaust. "The West should be free-minded enough to allow a free international debate on the real dimensions of the Holocaust," Ahmadinejad said in a press conference in Teheran.

The Iranian president caused international uproar after he called for the eradication of Israel, branded the Holocaust as a fairy tale and demanded a relocation of Israel to Europe or America.

"I stand firm on what I said but I am head of the executive branch and not a scholar, so let scholars get to the truth and not shut them down," Ahmadinejad said.

"Instead of coming up with a scientific reply, they (West) branded me as war-monger and used other attributes suiting them much more," the president added.

Ahmadinejad said that if the Holocaust - the term generally applied to the mass extermination of six million Jews in Europe by Nazi Germany - was true, then those responsible for it should bear the consequences and not make Palestinians suffer for it. If not, then the West should drop the issue.

He reiterated the Iranian demand on holding a referendum on the future fate of Palestine.

"The situation is very clear with no need to complicate it: Palestinians must have an existence right and should therefore decide by themselves about their political future," Ahmadinejad said.

Khaleej Times, 14 Jan. 2006

http://www.khaleejtimes.com/DisplayArticle.asp?xfile=data/middleeast/2006/January/middleeast_January348.xml§ion=middleeast&col

MORE NEWS AND on the Persian initiative in *Conseils de révision*, hiver 2006.

—————oooOOO\$\$\$OOOooo—————

IRAQ

"SALVADOR OPTION" DEATH SQUADS INTO ACTION

Max Fuller, author of "For Iraq, the Salvador Option Become Reality" (Centre for Research on Globalisation), recently posted a well-documented and detailed analysis of extrajudicial killings in Iraq: "Crying Wolf: Media Disinformation and Death Squads in Occupied Iraq". See *Gazette du Golfe et des banlieues*, 56, winter 2006.

According to Fuller, the large majority of such killings are the responsibility of new Iraqi intelligence and security services trained and put in place by US "counter-insurgency" specialists who have previously exercised their specialty in Vietnam and Latin America; thus the term "Salvador Option" in the title of his lengthy study. "However, instead of placing the blame squarely on the apparatus of the new Iraqi state, the mainstream media has almost exclusively chosen to shift the emphasis away, resorting to a number of standardised literary devices. The first device is to frame extrajudicial killings in the context of a wider panoply of supposed retaliatory sectarian violence... The second device is to state or imply that the security forces are closely associated with largely unaccountable Shia militias, especially the Badr Brigade... Most importantly, reports variously stress that the government, Interior Ministry and police are under sectarian Shia control..."

Of course, one of the favorite old tactics is to blame the enemy: "Other devices include mentioning the Interior Ministry's claims of insurgents donning police or commando uniforms or implying that if the security forces are involved in torture and murder it is a reflection of the fact that it is composed of reconstituted members of the former state who know only a culture of violence and intimidation; this is clearly at odds with those reports that regard the security forces as entirely Shia dominated. Wilder devices talk about security forces' frustration or blame Zarqawi for attempting to inflame sectarian tensions. Whilst all of these devices are employed in various combinations, notably absent from every account is any serious examination of the new Iraqi state or, assiduously avoided, the role of the occupying powers, leaving the most thoughtful of journalists to wonder with Beaumont ["Observer" foreign editor, Peter Beaumont] whether the Iraqi state is 'stumbling towards a policy of institutionalised torture' or whether human-rights abuses are conducted by 'rogue elements' within the security apparatus ([murdered journalist] Salihee's investigation represents the one exception, with the emphasis placed firmly on the organs of the state, supported by solid primary evidence)..."

For Fuller, there is little doubt concerning the central responsibility of the new Iraqi Special Police Commandos, often referred to as the "Wolf Brigade", and their American mentors: "A key figure in the development of the Special Police Commandos was James Steele, a former US Army special forces operative who cut his teeth in Vietnam before moving on to direct the US military mission in El Salvador at the height of that country's civil war. Steele was responsible for selecting and training the small units (or death squads) who were boasted to have inflicted 60% of the casualties caused in that 'counter-insurgency' campaign (Manwaring, "El Salvador at War", 1988, pp. 306-8). Principally, the tens of thousands of victims were civilians. Another US contributor was the same Steven Casteel who as the most senior US advisor within the Interior Ministry brushed off serious and well-substantiated accusations of appalling human right violations as 'rumor and innuendo'. Like Steele, Casteel gained considerable experience in Latin America, in his case participating in the hunt for the cocaine baron Pablo Escobar in Colombia's Drugs Wars of the 1990s, as well as working alongside local forces in Peru and Bolivia (Maas, <http://www.petermaass.com/core.cfm?p=1&mag=123&magtype=1>)..."

With "mounting evidence of Anglo-American involvement in the bombing campaigns targeting Iraqi civilians", including "the brief arrest of two British SAS men found with a car packed with explosives" and mentioned in "Intelligence", Fuller speculates a little "on the implementation of their wider strategy. Discounting Al-Qaida and Zarqawi in Iraq as fabrications designed for easy media consumption (Centre for Research on Globalisation, <http://globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=viewArticle&code=AKL20050930&articleId=1024>), we are left with a situation in which someone is targeting Shias, mainly through the planting of bombs around mosques and at religious ceremonies, and someone is targeting Sunnis, mainly through extrajudicial executions carried out by parties that look a lot like the police but have become linked with the Shiite Badr Brigade in the popular imagination."

"It is impossible that the Iraqi resistance could account for this pandemic of fratricidal violence, whatever Adnan Thabit [an ex-Baathist, Sunni military intelligence officer and CIA coup-plotter] might say about insurgents in police uniforms. It is equally impossible that SCIRI [Supreme Council for Islamic Revolution in Iraq] and the Badr Brigade could account for much of it in a milieu dominated by CIA assets and US military forces. What is possible is that both sides of the apparent sectarian violence are run as part of a huge CIA-lead intelligence operation designed to split Iraq at the seams. I tentatively suggest that the intelligence apparatus at the Interior Ministry is contriving attacks on Sunnis and that British and US special forces in conjunction with the intelligence apparatus at the Iraqi Defence Ministry are fabricating insurgent bombings of Shias. Overseeing the entire operation is the 'cream' of CMAD [Collection, Management and Analysis Directorate] under the direction of top-level US intelligence asset Mowaffak Rubaie, a man already experienced at participating in bombing campaigns, undoubtedly working hand in glove with the CIA and the National Security Council in the US."

Fuller's thoroughly-researched "speculation" has clear echoes in the international press. According to the "New York Times" (1129, Sunnis Accuse Iraqi Military of Kidnappings and Slayings, Dexter Filkins): "As the American military pushes the largely Shiite Iraqi security services into a larger role in combating the insurgency, evidence has begun to mount suggesting that the Iraqi forces are carrying out executions in predominantly Sunni neighborhoods. Hundreds of accounts of killings and abductions have emerged in recent weeks, most of them brought forward by Sunni civilians, who claim that their relatives have been taken away by Iraqi men in uniform without warrant or explanation. Some Sunni men have been found dead in ditches and fields, with bullet holes in their temples, acid burns on their skin, and holes in their bodies apparently made by electric drills. Many have simply vanished."

According to the "Los Angeles Times" (1129, Killings Linked to Shiite Squads in Iraqi Police Force; With loyalties to banned paramilitary groups, the fighters have kidnapped, tortured and slain

Sunnis, officials and witnesses say, Solomon Moore): "US officials have long been concerned about extrajudicial killings in Iraq, but until recently they have refrained from calling violent elements within the police force 'death squads' - a loaded term that [quite rightly, according to Fuller] conjures up the US-backed paramilitaries that killed thousands of civilians during the Latin American civil wars of the 1970s and 1980s. But US military advisors in Iraq say the term is apt, and the Interior Ministry's inspector general concurs that extrajudicial killings are being carried out by ministry forces. 'There are such groups operating - yes, this is correct,' said Interior Ministry Inspector General Nori Nori..."

"Unlike the Iraqi army, which has a relatively close relationship with US military units -- sharing bases, conducting joint operations and receiving training from thousands of US officers -- Iraq's police force has operated more independently since the transfer of sovereignty in late June 2004. In a recent interview, Army Maj. Gen. Rick Lynch, a US military spokesman, said 700 U. military personnel and private contractors were training Iraq's 111,000-member police force. 'The priority was first placed on building the Iraqi army and at the same time allowing the Iraqi police force to evolve,' Lynch said..."

But the paramilitary brigades, "known for their effectiveness at counterinsurgency operations and their brutality", can't be operating "independently" under a US military occupation if they "conducted large-scale counter-terrorism operations in Ramadi, Mosul, Fallouja and Baghdad. A 12,000-man commando force was widely deployed this year under the interim administration of Prime Minister Iyad Allawi as a response to insurgent bombings and assassinations of policemen and Shiite civilians..."

The Interior Ministry's intelligence chief, Ali Hussein Kamal, was a bit more clear on the subject: "'The killings that are happening are on two sides,' Kamal said. 'There are Shiite and Sunni killings happening. These are not simple people committing these acts. Their methods, the weapons used -- the criminals doing these killings are using in their operations cars and weapons used by the Iraqi government.'"

As with the Bush White House's supposed Iraqi WMD, the United Nations hasn't been fooled by the "insurgents masquerading as police" line (1205 UN, Iraq's government abuses human rights, UN official warns): "Iraq's new government has committed major human rights abuses, including holding people with no charge in secret prisons, according to the human rights chief for the United Nations Assistance Mission in Iraq. Also, the US military's detention of thousands of people without due process of law constitutes an abuse of its UN mandate, John Pace said." It looks like the "democracy" being brought to Iraq by the Bush White House is "Salvador Option" democracy which calls for the physical elimination of a lot people who "vote wrong".

Intelligence, N. 470, 12 December 2005, p. 1.

BEWARE THE IDES OF MARCH (+ one week)

The Proposed Iranian Oil Bourse

By **Krassimir Petrov**

I. Economics of Empires

A nation-state taxes its own citizens, while an empire taxes other nation-states. The history of empires, from Greek and Roman, to Ottoman and British, teaches that the economic foundation of every single empire is the taxation of other nations. The imperial ability to tax has always rested on a better and stronger economy, and as a consequence, a better and stronger military. One part of the subject taxes went to improve the living standards of the empire; the other part went to strengthen the military dominance necessary to enforce the collection of those taxes.

Historically, taxing the subject state has been in various forms-usually gold and silver, where those were considered money, but also slaves, soldiers, crops, cattle, or other agricultural and natural resources, whatever economic goods the empire demanded and the subject-state could deliver. Historically, imperial taxation has always been direct: the subject state handed over the economic goods directly to the empire.

For the first time in history, in the twentieth century, America was able to tax the world indirectly, through inflation. It did not enforce the direct payment of taxes like all of its predecessor

empires did, but distributed instead its own fiat currency, the U.S. Dollar, to other nations in exchange for goods with the intended consequence of inflating and devaluing those dollars and paying back later each dollar with less economic goods-the difference capturing the U.S. imperial tax. Here is how this happened.

Early in the 20th century, the U.S. economy began to dominate the world economy. The U.S. dollar was tied to gold, so that the value of the dollar neither increased, nor decreased, but remained the same amount of gold. The Great Depression, with its preceding inflation from 1921 to 1929 and its subsequent ballooning government deficits, had substantially increased the amount of currency in circulation, and thus rendered the backing of U.S. dollars by gold impossible. This led Roosevelt to decouple the dollar from gold in 1932. Up to this point, the U.S. may have well dominated the world economy, but from an economic point of view, it was not an empire. The fixed value of the dollar did not allow the Americans to extract economic benefits from other countries by supplying them with dollars convertible to gold.

Economically, the American Empire was born with Bretton Woods in 1945. The U.S. dollar was not fully convertible to gold, but was made convertible to gold only to foreign governments. This established the dollar as the reserve currency of the world. It was possible, because during WWII, the United States had supplied its allies with provisions, demanding gold as payment, thus accumulating significant portion of the world's gold. An Empire would not have been possible if, following the Bretton Woods arrangement, the dollar supply was kept limited and within the availability of gold, so as to fully exchange back dollars for gold. However, the guns-and-butter policy of the 1960's was an imperial one: the dollar supply was relentlessly increased to finance Vietnam and LBJ's Great Society. Most of those dollars were handed over to foreigners in exchange for economic goods, without the prospect of buying them back at the same value. The increase in dollar holdings of foreigners via persistent U.S. trade deficits was tantamount to a tax-the classical inflation tax that a country imposes on its own citizens, this time around an inflation tax that U.S. imposed on rest of the world.

When in 1970-1971 foreigners demanded payment for their dollars in gold, The U.S. Government defaulted on its payment on August 15, 1971. While the popular spin told the story of "severing the link between the dollar and gold", in reality the denial to pay back in gold was an act of bankruptcy by the U.S. Government. Essentially, the U.S. declared itself an Empire. It had extracted an enormous amount of economic goods from the rest of the world, with no intention or ability to return those goods, and the world was powerless to respond- the world was taxed and it could not do anything about it.

From that point on, to sustain the American Empire and to continue to tax the rest of the world, the United States had to force the world to continue to accept ever-depreciating dollars in exchange for economic goods and to have the world hold more and more of those depreciating dollars. It had to give the world an economic reason to hold them, and that reason was oil.

In 1971, as it became clearer and clearer that the U.S. Government would not be able to buy back its dollars in gold, it made in 1972-73 an iron-clad arrangement with Saudi Arabia to support the power of the House of Saud in exchange for accepting only U.S. dollars for its oil. The rest of OPEC was to follow suit and also accept only dollars. Because the world had to buy oil from the Arab oil countries, it had the reason to hold dollars as payment for oil. Because the world needed ever increasing quantities of oil at ever increasing oil prices, the world's demand for dollars could only increase. Even though dollars could no longer be exchanged for gold, they were now exchangeable for oil.

The economic essence of this arrangement was that the dollar was now backed by oil. As long as that was the case, the world had to accumulate increasing amounts of dollars, because they needed those dollars to buy oil. As long as the dollar was the only acceptable payment for oil, its dominance in the world was assured, and the American Empire could continue to tax the rest of the world. If, for any reason, the dollar lost its oil backing, the American Empire would cease to exist. Thus, Imperial survival dictated that oil be sold only for dollars. It also dictated that oil reserves were spread around various sovereign states that weren't strong enough, politically or militarily, to demand payment for oil in something else. If someone demanded a different payment, he had to be convinced, either by political pressure or military means, to change his mind.

The man that actually did demand Euro for his oil was Saddam Hussein in 2000. At first, his demand was met with ridicule, later with neglect, but as it became clearer that he meant business, political pressure was exerted to change his mind. When other countries, like Iran, wanted payment in other currencies, most notably Euro and Yen, the danger to the dollar was clear and present, and a punitive action was in order. Bush's Shock-and-Awe in Iraq was not about Saddam's nuclear capabilities, about defending human rights, about spreading democracy, or even about seizing oil fields; it was about defending the dollar, ergo the American Empire. It was about setting an example that anyone who demanded payment in currencies other than U.S. Dollars would be likewise punished.

Many have criticized Bush for staging the war in Iraq in order to seize Iraqi oil fields. However, those critics can't explain why Bush would want to seize those fields—he could simply print dollars for nothing and use them to get all the oil in the world that he needs. He must have had some other reason to invade Iraq.

History teaches that an empire should go to war for one of two reasons: (1) to defend itself or (2) benefit from war; if not, as Paul Kennedy illustrates in his magisterial *The Rise and Fall of the Great Powers*, a military overstretch will drain its economic resources and precipitate its collapse. Economically speaking, in order for an empire to initiate and conduct a war, its benefits must outweigh its military and social costs. Benefits from Iraqi oil fields are hardly worth the long-term, multi-year military cost. Instead, Bush must have gone into Iraq to defend his Empire. Indeed, this is the case: two months after the United States invaded Iraq, the Oil for Food Program was terminated, the Iraqi Euro accounts were switched back to dollars, and oil was sold once again only for U.S. dollars. No longer could the world buy oil from Iraq with Euro. Global dollar supremacy was once again restored. Bush descended victoriously from a fighter jet and declared the mission accomplished—he had successfully defended the U.S. dollar, and thus the American Empire.

II. Iranian Oil Bourse

The Iranian government has finally developed the ultimate "nuclear" weapon that can swiftly destroy the financial system underpinning the American Empire. That weapon is the Iranian Oil Bourse slated to open in March 2006. It will be based on a euro-oil-trading mechanism that naturally implies payment for oil in Euro. In economic terms, this represents a much greater threat to the hegemony of the dollar than Saddam's, because it will allow anyone willing either to buy or to sell oil for Euro to transact on the exchange, thus circumventing the U.S. dollar altogether. If so, then it is likely that almost everyone will eagerly adopt this euro oil system:

The Europeans will not have to buy and hold dollars in order to secure their payment for oil, but would instead pay with their own currencies. The adoption of the euro for oil transactions will provide the European currency with a reserve status that will benefit the European at the expense of the Americans.

The Chinese and the Japanese will be especially eager to adopt the new exchange, because it will allow them to drastically lower their enormous dollar reserves and diversify with Euros, thus protecting themselves against the depreciation of the dollar. One portion of their dollars they will still want to hold onto; a second portion of their dollar holdings they may decide to dump outright; a third portion of their dollars they will decide to use up for future payments without replenishing those dollar holdings, but building up instead their euro reserves.

The Russians have inherent economic interest in adopting the Euro - the bulk of their trade is with European countries, with oil-exporting countries, with China, and with Japan. Adoption of the Euro will immediately take care of the first two blocs, and will over time facilitate trade with China and Japan. Also, the Russians seemingly detest holding depreciating dollars, for they have recently found a new religion with gold. Russians have also revived their nationalism, and if embracing the Euro will stab the Americans, they will gladly do it and smugly watch the Americans bleed.

The Arab oil-exporting countries will eagerly adopt the Euro as a means of diversifying against rising mountains of depreciating dollars. Just like the Russians, their trade is mostly with European countries, and therefore will prefer the European currency both for its stability and for avoiding currency risk, not to mention their jihad against the Infidel Enemy.

Only the British will find themselves between a rock and a hard place. They have had a strategic partnership with the U.S. forever, but have also had their natural pull from Europe. So far, they have had many reasons to stick with the winner. However, when they see their century-old partner falling, will they firmly stand behind him or will they deliver the coup de grace? Still, we should not forget that currently the two leading oil exchanges are the New York's NYMEX and the London's International Petroleum Exchange (IPE), even though both of them are effectively owned by the Americans. It seems more likely that the British will have to go down with the sinking ship, for otherwise they will be shooting themselves in the foot by hurting their own London IPE interests. It is here noteworthy that for all the rhetoric about the reasons for the surviving British Pound, the British most likely did not adopt the Euro namely because the Americans must have pressured them not to: otherwise the London IPE would have had to switch to Euros, thus mortally wounding the dollar and their strategic partner.

At any rate, no matter what the British decide, should the Iranian Oil Bourse accelerate, the interests that matter—those of Europeans, Chinese, Japanese, Russians, and Arabs—will eagerly adopt the Euro, thus sealing the fate of the dollar. Americans cannot allow this to happen, and if necessary, will use a vast array of strategies to halt or hobble the operation's exchange:

Sabotaging the Exchange-this could be a computer virus, network, communications, or server attack, various server security breaches, or a 9-11-type attack on main and backup facilities.

Coup d'état-this is by far the best long-term strategy available to the Americans.

Negotiating Acceptable Terms & Limitations-this is another excellent solution to the Americans. Of course, a government coup is clearly the preferred strategy, for it will ensure that the exchange does not operate at all and does not threaten American interests. However, if an attempted sabotage or coup d'etat fails, then negotiation is clearly the second-best available option.

Joint U.N. War Resolution-this will be, no doubt, hard to secure given the interests of all other member-states of the Security Council. Feverish rhetoric about Iranians developing nuclear weapons undoubtedly serves to prepare this course of action.

Unilateral Nuclear Strike-this is a terrible strategic choice for all the reasons associated with the next strategy, the Unilateral Total War. The Americans will likely use Israel to do their dirty nuclear job.

Unilateral Total War-this is obviously the worst strategic choice. First, the U.S. military resources have been already depleted with two wars. Secondly, the Americans will further alienate other powerful nations. Third, major dollar-holding countries may decide to quietly retaliate by dumping their own mountains of dollars, thus preventing the U.S. from further financing its militant ambitions. Finally, Iran has strategic alliances with other powerful nations that may trigger their involvement in war; Iran reputedly has such alliance with China, India, and Russia, known as the Shanghai Cooperative Group, a.k.a. Shanghai Coop and a separate pact with Syria.

Whatever the strategic choice, from a purely economic point of view, should the Iranian Oil Bourse gain momentum, it will be eagerly embraced by major economic powers and will precipitate the demise of the dollar. The collapsing dollar will dramatically accelerate U.S. inflation and will pressure upward U.S. long-term interest rates. At this point, the Fed will find itself between Scylla and Charybdis-between deflation and hyperinflation-it will be forced fast either to take its "classical medicine" by deflating, whereby it raises interest rates, thus inducing a major economic depression, a collapse in real estate, and an implosion in bond, stock, and derivative markets, with a total financial collapse, or alternatively, to take the Weimar way out by inflating, whereby it pegs the long-bond yield, raises the Helicopters and drowns the financial system in liquidity, bailing out numerous LTCMs and hyperinflating the economy.

The Austrian theory of money, credit, and business cycles teaches us that there is no in-between Scylla and Charybdis. Sooner or later, the monetary system must swing one way or the other, forcing the Fed to make its choice. No doubt, Commander-in-Chief Ben Bernanke, a renowned scholar of the Great Depression and an adept Black Hawk pilot, will choose inflation. Helicopter Ben, oblivious to Rothbard's America's Great Depression, has nonetheless mastered the lessons of the Great Depression and the annihilating power of deflations. The Maestro has taught him the panacea of every single financial problem-to inflate, come hell or high water. He has even taught the Japanese his own ingenious unconventional ways to battle the deflationary liquidity trap. Like his mentor, he has dreamed of battling a Kondratieff Winter. To avoid deflation, he will resort to the printing presses; he will recall all helicopters from the 800 overseas U.S. military bases; and, if necessary, he will monetize everything in sight. His ultimate accomplishment will be the hyperinflationary destruction of the American currency and from its ashes will rise the next reserve currency of the world-that barbarous relic called gold.

About the Author: Krassimir Petrov (Krassimir_Petrov@hotmail.com) has received his Ph. D. in economics from the Ohio State University and currently teaches Macroeconomics, International Finance, and Econometrics at the American University in Bulgaria.

01/19/06 "Gold Eagle"

http://www.gold-eagle.com/editorials_05/petrov011606.html

See also on the same wavelength :

**The Real Reasons Why Iran is the Next Target:
The Emerging Euro-denominated International Oil Marker**
by William Clark

www.globalresearch.ca 27 October 2004

The URL of this article is: <http://globalresearch.ca/articles/CLA410A.html>

OILY CHARACTERS

The Guerilla War for Iraq's Oil

Mike Whitney

January 2, 2006 *"Iraqi oil...will be a legitimate and a permanent target of the armed resistance plans to liberate Iraq and defeat the invaders. The armed resistance will use every possible means militarily and technically to prevent the occupier from stealing Iraq's oil and use its revenues with anyone, under any circumstances, on the national and international levels. On this basis, every one who collaborates with the occupier, such as employees, merchants, middlemen, whether Iraqis, Arabs or non-Arabs will be watched and targeted without any hesitation."* [Baath Arab Socialist Party Communiqué Iraq May 13th 2004](#)

A war is raging in Iraq that will determine the outcome of the present occupation as well as the shape of future conflicts. It is the war for control of Iraqi oil. Currently, America is losing the conflict in stunning fashion with little hope for change in the near future. This week the Iraqi Oil Ministry announced that oil production "has reached a post-war low" and that the "exports of crude, which had run at an average of about 1.6 million barrels per day since the end of the 2003 war, dropped to 1.2 mbpd in November and 1.1 mbpd in December." ([Al Jazeera](#)) All the indicators point to continuing difficulty with production due to the escalating violence.

At times, the export of oil has been completely cut off in both the northern and southern regions making it impossible to benefit from Iraq's prodigious natural wealth. **The Iraqi resistance has grown increasingly skillful in sabotaging pipelines** and facilities despite the extraordinary efforts to protect them from attack. This is truly the face of 21st century warfare; disparate cells of armed guerillas disrupting critical energy supplies that sustain the global economy. Currently, the resource war is concealed behind a propaganda smokescreen created by the establishment media. Their task is to characterize the conflict as a war on terror and to limit their coverage to the random incidents of violence by fanatical jihadis. It's rare when the media reports on the guerilla war that has subsumed Iraq and which threatens a worldwide economic downturn.

There's simply no way that the Bush administration can prevail in its original intention of controlling Iraq's oil if a small army of guerillas focus their energies on disrupting production. Millions of dollars of infrastructure can be destroyed in a flash by one determined fighter with a bomb or a Kalashnikov. The success of the armed resistance is quantifiable in terms of the reduction in oil exports. In 1990, Saddam was exporting 3.5 million barrels per day. During the 1990s, there was a gradual decline due to sanctions and neglect. Since the invasion of 2003, the oil sector has taken a nosedive directly attributable to the blowing up of pipelines. Production is now at an all time low, less than half of what it was just prior to the invasion. The development of oil fields and the transport of petroleum are proving to be incompatible with the unpredictable outbursts of violence.

Oil Production: "Heading backwards"

"The general integrity of Iraqi infrastructure appears to us to be heading backwards rather than forwards," London-based Barclay's Capital said in a report issued last month. ([Jim Krane Associated Press](#)) Gone are the optimistic predictions, like those of Paul Wolfowitz and Dick Cheney, who expected that Iraq would pay for its own reconstruction with its lavish oil revenues. Instead, what we see is the chilling rictus of new type of warfare that is likely to sweep across the region swallowing up vital resources in columns of black smoke. The attacks on facilities have discouraged foreign investors from committing to long-term investment or development. Many of the major players remain skeptical that the US-led occupation will be able to stabilize the situation in the near future. Industry analysts expect little change in the overall security situation in 2006.

Additionally, the IMF has demanded that the Oil Ministry remove price-supports for the highly-subsidized Iraqi domestic supplies. This has only increased the public's outrage with the ongoing occupation. The IMF authorized a loan of \$685 million to Iraq in December with the predictable "vice-like" provisions that require Iraq to follow its structural adjustment programs. **In effect, these provisions put Iraqi resources under the direct control of transnational corporations who can then decide the terms under which those resources are sold.** The growing opposition to the occupation and the increasingly adept Iraqi resistance provide the foundation for a

long and costly conflict. Iraq is the first clear example of asymmetrical warfare in the new century; small groups of rebels who target crucial energy supplies, wreaking havoc and crippling industry. As America continues to tighten its grip on the world's dwindling hydrocarbon resources, we can expect that the successes of the Iraqi resistance will offer a model to the other disparate groups who have no chance of beating the United States in open battle, but hope to bring the empire to its knees by making the costs of war too great to sustain.

<http://www.uruknet.info/?s1=1&p=19193&s2=03>

BEAUTIFUL JEWISH HUMANISM

Not guilty. The Israeli captain who put 17 bullets into a Palestinian schoolgirl

Chris McGreal in Jerusalem

An Israeli army officer who fired the entire magazine of his automatic rifle into a 13-year-old Palestinian girl and then said he would have done the same even if she had been three years old was acquitted on all charges by a military court yesterday.

The soldier, who has only been identified as "Captain R", was charged with relatively minor offences for the killing of Iman al-Hams who was shot 17 times as she ventured near an Israeli army post near Rafah refugee camp in Gaza a year ago.

The Guardian, November 16, 2005

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/israel/Story/0,2763,1643573,00.html>

ARE THEY EXPERIMENTING NEW WEAPONS ?

Mysterious 'booms' rattle homes

Residents report hearing loud blasts in different parts of country, claim their homes shook as result; IDF says in response no unusual military activity that may have caused blasts detected, Seismology Institute says no earthquakes recorded; Rita from Herzliya: I don't buy it. They should just tell us what is causing these shockwaves and blasts

Raanan Ben-Zur

Just three weeks after dozens of readers from across Israel told Ynet about unusually loud "[booms](#)" and tremors throughout the night, residents again reported hearing loud boom-like sounds in different parts of the country Tuesday, mainly in coastal regions, claiming their homes shook as a result.

Police officials confirmed people reported they heard "explosions," but added that the source remains unknown.

The IDF said in response that no unusual military activity that may have caused the "explosions" was detected, and the Seismology Institute said no earthquakes were recorded.

Rita, a resident of Herzliya in central Israel, said, "Suddenly the entire house began to shake; even our cat felt it and began to act in a peculiar manner. It lasted for a few seconds. It was as if someone was forcefully rattling the home's windows and doors."

'I don't buy it'

However, she said she did not hear any explosions.

"The rumbling was similar to last month's incident, but then it took place at nighttime and we were able to hear the blasts, which were strong," she said.

"Last time they said it was ultra-sonic booms from planes that flew over the Gaza Strip. I don't buy it. They should just tell us what is causing these shockwaves and blasts. It is getting a bit scary because we do not know what the source is."

Most of those who reported of the blasts reside in the Sharon region, in central Israel; they said the shockwaves came from the direction of the sea.

Last month Ynet readers offered several explanations for the mysterious blasts - from an alien

invasion to underground nuclear tests.

The IDF said at the time the blasts may have resulted from a rare combination of IAF activity over Gaza and a unique weather conditions.

An Israel Air Force officer said at the time, "this is an unusual phenomenon in which cold and warm layers are alternately formed in the air, and the sound waves move like a ping pong ball between the ground and layers.

(11.22.05, 23:19)

Ynetnews.com (Israeli source)

<http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-3173415,00.html>

CONVENTIONAL LIGHT

U.S. Admits: Phosphorus may have killed civilians in Iraq

By **Guy Dinmore** in Washington

The US military on Wednesday acknowledged it might have killed civilians in the Iraqi city of Falluja with white phosphorus munitions during the battle against insurgents a year ago. The Pentagon insisted civilians had not been targeted, however, and that it had avoided unnecessary casualties by evacuating the city before the offensive. White phosphorus, which is fired by artillery or mortars, can be used as an incendiary device or to create a smokescreen. While it is not classified as a chemical weapon, the chemical is covered by Protocol III of the 1980 Convention on Conventional Weapons, which prohibits the use of incendiary weapons against military forces located within concentrations of civilians – as was the case with the insurgents in Falluja. The US is party to the convention but, unlike a number of its allies, including the UK, it has not signed Protocol III.

Last week, Italy's Rai 24 news channel broadcast a documentary that alleged many civilians had been burned to death by the incendiary devices during the assault. It showed bodies burned to the bone inside clothes that remained intact. Narmin Uthman, Iraq's acting human rights minister, said an Iraqi team would investigate the claims. "The documentary offers no compelling evidence as to how the people in the various images actually died," Lieutenant-Colonel Barry Venable, a Pentagon spokesman, told the FT. He said he was not aware of any US inquiry into the issue. Asked if it was possible civilians had been killed by white phosphorus, he replied: "It would not be out of the realm of the possible." The UK defence ministry said the British army only used white phosphorus for smokescreens

The Financial Times, 17 NOVEMBER 2005.

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article11056.htm>

THE USEFUL IDIOTS

Mark Glenn, *No Beauty In The Beast*

"The day will come when your enemies will build an embankment against you and encircle you in on every side. They will dash you to the ground, you and the children within your walls. They will not leave one stone upon another, because you did not recognize the time of God's coming".

These words have been with mankind for over 2,000 years, and yet, as a warning, they appear to be some of the most (if indeed not the most) disregarded pieces of advice in history. These cautionary words were uttered by a freedom fighter from the Palestinian town of Nazareth a few days before he was executed for daring to stand up against a group of racial supremacist gangsters known as the Sanhedrin, a warning given to mankind for all time that these men, their agenda, and the corporate body that they encompassed was a beast to be feared and watched carefully. Now, some 20 centuries later, the remarkable civilization that was the fruit of this incredible man's war of liberation, the Christian West, is firmly in the grip of this beast, and yet! t the members of this civilization do not recognize this to be the fact.

"No Beauty in the Beast...Israel Without Her Mascara" is an argument that is taking place among the most momentous events of mankind's history today. In its essence, it puts forward the argument that what the world is witnessing today with regards to the events in the Middle East and the manner in which the Christian West has been seduced into involving itself in the slaughter of 1.5 billion Muslims is the extension of the same battle that took place in the Palestinian town of Jerusalem 2,000 years ago between a freedom fighter named Jesus of Nazareth and the founders of the modern day ideological movement known as Zionism. Today, this beast of Judeo-ethnocentrism against which Christ waged his war of liberation — the beast which for 1900 years remained dormant and subterranean — has now been resurrected from the ashes where it remained safely isolated from the rest of mankind and is at present devouring everything in its path to power. With the re-creation of the state of Israel that was destroyed some 2,000 years previous and the much prophesized "return of the Jews" to the Holy Land, the world is now witnessing the fulfillment of the prophecies concerning the end times and the ultimate battle between the forces of good and evil. The ascendancy of this same supremacist agenda known as Zionism that was opposed by Christ and which plays so prominently in current events today is the lynchpin in understanding the cryptic description of the Beast of the book of the Apocalypse, and, just as was predicted by seers thousands of years ago, the future of humanity hangs in the balance in exactly the same manner as it did some 20 centuries past.

With hundreds of quotes, originating not only from antiquity but also from modern history and from some of the most important players in recent human events, the picture that is fleshed out within the scope of this work is that of the very same event for which much of the world has been waiting now for literally thousands of years; the rise of the Antichrist agenda and its eventual defeat, all of which is indeed taking place today before the eyes of a sleeping world. The thesis posited here is that the Beast of the Apocalypse, much discussed in fundamentalist Christian circles and even more so within the world of the maniacal apostasy known as Christian Zionism is not as much a man as it is a system fueled by an ideology. The cryptic '7-headed beast' of the book of Revelations is the state of Israel, an entity that has been resurrected from the ashes of 2,000 years of history and whose existence has been founded upon the same supremacist thinking that was defeated by a Palestinian freedom fighter known as Jesus of Nazareth. This supremacist agenda known as Zionism, the poison of which has spread like a virus throughout the Western world and which has resulted in the death of the civilization that was founded upon the liberating message of Christianity is the self same 'leaven of the Pharisees' about which Christ warned humanity but which nevertheless has been swallowed like a deadly poison by so many of his followers who champion the state of Israel as well as the Jews living there as being 'God's Chosen People'.

Although an argument made within the confines of a semi-religious context, its premise is historical, political, and sociological as well. It is not so much a "Christian" work as much as it is an exercise in 'connecting the dots' of events past, present, and future, and of thus painting a picture that has remained obscure for centuries. There is something for everyone in this work, whether they consider themselves to be religious or not, particularly since all men and women are now caught up in these events, and this being the case, the better these events are understood, the better all will fare.

Excerpts from "No Beauty in the Beast...Israel Without Her Mascara."

...'Indeed, in watching as Christians have taken the bait with respect to the 'Islamic threat' which the Zionist agenda has put forth since 9/11, one can imagine the howls of laughter that have been taking place in the offices of the various groups tied to Israel, including AIPAC, JINSA, the ADL and JDL. All things considered, this must have been what their great great grandfather Lenin meant when he said almost a century ago that 'the useful idiots will fall into our hands like a ripened fruit'...'Through the Eyes of a Muslim"

...'Here they were on this night, July 4 th, Independence Day, standing solidly behind the man who lied to them about the reasons for America's entry into the present war being fought in erecting the Israeli empire, King George, the man responsible for the deaths of thousands of sons and daughters serving in the American military and who has promised to send even more to die in the coming years, and yet they cheer. This man and his coterie who silently sent back to Israel the nest of spies, 200 or more, who played an indispensable role in the deaths of 3,000 Americans on September 11 th sits atop his throne receiving the adulations of a compliant and conquered American people. They hoop and holler over their ancestors having thrown! off the shackles of a foreign power 2 centuries past, and yet drink themselves silly over the fact that they have become the useful idiots of a foreign power, Israel, whose thirst for supremacy and blood makes what was 'British tyranny' in 1776 look like paradise. Even now, as the next terrible event is being planned that will dwarf what took place on 9/11, these individuals who today inform on their friends and family to the Zionist thought police and who

would have been the hated loyalists in America's war against Great Britain 200 years ago refuse to see the obvious for what it is. It is something that, out of respect for the dead, should be put on hold for a while, this celebration of Independence Day in America. Not only out of respect for those who gave their lives fighting for this thing known as freedom 200 years ago in America, but more importantly, out of respect for those in the Middle East who are fighting for it today and who are being rewarded with nothing but scorn and derision by Americans for their efforts.' ..." Independence Day"

...'As such, let the world finally rid itself of the sickness of racial narcissism as well as its ugly twin sister, racial hatred, which has been the author of so much suffering. Let humanity once and for all drive a wooden stake into the heart of all political thought that embraces the heresy of master-race ideology, and let mankind finally grasp the reality that all holocausts and institutions of human suffering are vile, even those which bear the distinction of being one less than six million.' " One Less Than Six Million"

...'American Christianity would be wise to consider what is the real animus driving the opposition to this movie. In reading between the lines of their arguments, it is revealed that what the New Sanhedrin fears more than anything else is a reversal of fortune for their agenda. In truth, the reason for the current apoplexy over the release of Mel Gibson's movie 'The Passion' is rooted in the fact that such a work threatens to undermine much of the success that these individuals have achieved in the last few decades in de-moralizing and de-Christianizing American society.' "The New Sanhedrin"

...'And so in the midst of this, I come to understand why there is this thing known as suicide bombers. I come to understand a father's rage, who must spend the rest of his life trying to forget the last image in his memory of a 2-year old son, the face of an angel whose brains had been deliberately blown out and who died with his eyes open. I come to understand the rage of a father who knows that all his children live under a sentence of death, a sentence issued by racial supremacists who bow before no moral precepts outside of that which they have created for the furtherance of their own interests. It becomes perfectly clear why one day, a father who fears every moment of everyday that he will get "the call" telling him that his child has just been ! murdered decides he will take no more. A father, after desperately trying to get to the hospital in the hopeless effort of arriving before his young son dies, who then decides one day that his hunger and thirst for justice can wait not a moment longer and who then makes the front page of every newspaper throughout America and the West by blowing himself up in order to kill the Israeli soldiers who did this thing. I come to understand the desperation of the Palestinian people, who know that they cannot seek redress of grievances from a Christian world that has been captured by the Whore of Babylon, these supposed followers of the Prince of Peace who have allowed themselves to be used as pawns in the program of exterminating a race of people considered by the Jews to be animals.' " Those Who Hunger and Thirst for Justice"

...'Pretty soon, the memories of the women and children he and his fellow Christian soldiers gunned down in cold blood would be gone. The images that initially haunted his dreams of limbless civilians, the sand niggers who just happened to be in the wrong war at the wrong time would be drowned out in the flurry of ribbons and accolades that he and his fellow defenders of truth, justice and the American way would receive as a result of such unprecedented bravery. Little does he know, as the saying always goes. Little does he realize that those four souls he sent to Allah were in reality his best friends. Little does he (as well as the rest of those inhabiting the formerly Christian West) realize that those 1.5 billion souls that they have been seduced ! into exterminating for the benefit of the Zionist agenda are the only things standing between themselves and their own eventual extermination. In snuffing out their lives, he just brought one step closer the destruction of all the good things for which his country and culture have made the pretenses of standing throughout the last 20 centuries. Little did he know that with every Iraqi and Afghani and Palestinian slaughtered today, the real enemies to not only America, but indeed to the entire Christian world are one step closer to realizing that dream that they have nursed out of the bowels of Hell for over 2,000 years...' " No Beauty in the Beast"

...'And so today, let the world recognize the fact that the prisoner who was hung on that tree in Jerusalem continues to preach his message of liberation and to warn humanity about what it will face under the totalitarianism of the Sanhedrin Mafia. Let humanity view his passion and death as a warning concerning the bloodthirstiness of mankind's eternal enemy, the Sanhedrin, a beast whom he revealed to be the seed of Cain and the embodiment of evil in human form. In his three years of fighting, he gave mankind a glimpse of the beast that it would one day face towards the end of time, by

tearing away the mask of inhumanity that is today the lawlessness and tyranny of the Zionist agenda. As such, let the world remember that his three years of fighting, as well as the 3 hours of his torture, cry out loud the theme that he wishes to bestow upon all his brothers and sisters. That word, that battle cry which came forth from history's forgotten Braveheart, and which has echoed throughout these 2,000 years as both a blessing and a warning on his fellow man, speaks volumes about the past, present and future of humanity...and that one word... is freedom.' " History's Forgotten Braveheart"

" No Beauty in the Beast...Israel Without Her Mascara" in its 300 pages is sure to be a controversial argument in decoding the cryptic descriptions given about the end times. Besides revealing that modern day Judeo-ethnocentrism is the main culprit responsible for the bulk of mankind's present troubles, it is also an accusation against the Christian world who has acquiesced to the demands of their arch-enemies, the modern day Pharisees espousing the logic of Cain known as Zionism. And if these two items do not generate enough controversy in and of themselves, then the manner in which the Muslim world is depicted surely will, and particularly given the amount of propaganda that has been spewed against them by the Zionist controlled media infrastructure. The 3rd leg upon which this argument is founded is rooted in the defense of those who are the adherents of the religion of Islam, for truly they are the only viable entity that is resisting this beast in any meaningful way.

Mark Glenn, the author of this work, is an American Christian of Middle Eastern descent and a former teacher. His writings, exposing Zionism for the bloodthirsty animal that it is as well as debunking the lies told about the adherents of the Islamic religion have appeared on as many as 500 various outlets and publications worldwide, including internet, periodicals and newspapers.

Price : \$20.00 US funds Shipping: Inside U.S.: \$3.00; Outside U.S. \$6.00 (surface mail)

<http://www.notmywords.com/nobeauty.htm>

BAND WIND OVER THE NETHERLAND

Dutch Treat

By Israel Shamir

In the Netherlands, the scary name of Israel Shamir almost caused a government crisis, as the Jewish Lobby accused the Dutch Prime Minister of being friendly to the Israeli dissident writer. Here is the article in De Telegraaf, the Dutch newspaper of record, carrying the attack:

<http://www.cidi.nl/news/2005/121105a.html>

Here is my response to the newspaper:

On November 12, 2005, the leading Dutch newspaper *De Telegraaf* published an article *Op kruistocht met de duivel* by a Jewish writer Joost De Haas attacking me, in the good company of the Dutch PM Dries van Agt and the wonderful Dutch lady, a friend of Palestine Greta Duisenberg. The last two were condemned for keeping in touch with me, while I was proclaimed guilty of being at the same congress as the US right-wing anti-war activist David Duke. Alas, this Talmudic construction of secondary impurity collapses at the first fact check. I had no honour and pleasure to meet with Mr van Agt or Mrs Duisenberg. As for the congress in Ukraine I attended, it was not organised by "extreme right", but by the largest Ukrainian private university, well recognised by UNESCO and Dutch Universities. As a matter of fact, I sat at the presidium of the congress, but not next to David Duke, as de Haas claims, but next to the Palestine Ambassador in Ukraine, His Excellency Walid Zakut. Here is an official photo of this occasion: [image]

Mr Duke was just one of many participants of the congress, next to many writers, diplomats, members of parliament. Anyway his views are quite similar to those of the late Dutch politician Pym Fortuyn. However, de Haas had no problem meeting with Pym Fortuyn and writing about him. Neither was he ostracised by other Dutch politicians and media. There is no problem for any Dutch

politician who would meet with Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon, though he personally is guilty – not of some unpleasant right-wing views, but of outright war crimes, from mass murder in Qibie to Sabra and Shatila to siege of Beirut to massacre of Jenin. Thus Mr. Duke is chosen as the object of de Haas, hate for something else – for his stubborn objection to the US-led war in the Middle East. Likewise, Mr de Haas and others of his ilk never minded Pym Fortuyn, for he was an obsessive Islamophobe, and it fitted into their plans for encouraging strife between the Christians and the Muslims in Europe and elsewhere.

The rest of de Haas, piece is equally sloppy and dishonest. He says I wrote about the *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*. Big deal! So did Umberto Eco. Writers are, and will be interested in this political pamphlet. In a bout of anti-Communist rage, de Haas claims that the *Protocols* were published and used in the Communist Soviet Union. It was the other way around! The Soviet authorities punished the possession of this book by heavy terms of imprisonment, and even by death. It was, contrary to de Haas' claims, banned in Tsarist Russia as well. So, the man really does not know what he writes about.

His complaint that my writing appears on some right (and left-) wing sites can't be taken seriously by anybody who ever used the Internet. Everything is linked in the World Wide Web, and just one step separates de Haas writing from mine on this or any other site. However, I do not mind: as a flower does not check credentials of the bee coming to collect its nectar, I rely upon various websites, left, right, green and multicoloured, to deliver my message to as many people as possible, and this message is: "there will be no peace until Jews are considered equal to non-Jews, in Palestine and elsewhere". Now Jews are not equal: they may have nuclear weapons, while their neighbours are forbidden, they may travel everywhere in the whole of Palestine, while a goy has to use special roads. Jews are not equal in Netherlands, either: Pym Fortuyn was proclaimed (after his untimely death) "not bad a guy" for he was good to Jews. Never mind what he said about the Muslims. Jews are not equal in neighbouring Denmark, whose Queen Margareta said recently: "We have to show our opposition to Islam and we have to, at times, run the risk of having unflattering labels placed on us". But what about opposition to Judaism? One would be crucified for this very thought.

De Haas condemns me for my objection to Judaism. It was good that Spinoza who had similar views did not survive to the present time. But Spinoza was among the first Jews who rebelled against Judaism for a good reason – until advent of freedom in Netherlands, even in the 14th century, such Jewish heretics were burned at the stake by decision of autonomous Jewish rabbinical authorities. Such persecution persists: in the Jewish state, propagation of Christianity is punishable by five years of jail, while outside of Israel, de Haas and others of his ilk are doing all they can to make the life of a Jewish heretic unbearable.

De Haas objects to my call to my fellow Israelis to accept Christ. He does not even mention, nor object to, to the official plan of Israeli government to convert 300,000 Israeli Christians to Jewish faith within next five years, though this plan was made public and the Ministry of Immigrant Absorption received budgeted funds for it. Why is it permissible to spend taxpayer's funds to remove people from the church, and it is forbidden to convince others to come to the Church? Alas, de Haas and his ilk hate the Church and Christ as much as they hate Muslims. Not in vain did his friend and associate Abe Foxman of the notorious ADL recently publish a hysterical piece on "excessive Christian influence" in the US.

De Haas claims I consider the Jews as "Christ-killers". To the contrary, I wrote in *Galilee Flowers*, that there is no more reason to blame modern Jews for that crime than to blame French for killing of Joan of Ark. But we may disprove those of de Haas ilk who are proud of killing Christ and consider it their right duty well done.

De Haas claims I called Jews "bacilli". Let him quote verse and chapter from my writings; he won't find it. But he will find without effort that our previous Prime Minister, whom he met and publicly admired, Mr Barak, called the native non-Jewish Palestinians a "virus" in an official interview in the *Ha'aretz* newspaper, and *Telegraaf* did not call upon the Dutch prime minister to cancel *his* visit.

He notes that my book *Galilee Flowers* (called in French *The Other Face of Israel*) was banned by a French court. This is true. I find this verdict of the French court a compliment for me, as I have now joined the great list of authors whose books were burned and banished in France, from Voltaire to Baudelaire, from Nabokov to Joyce, from Wilhelm Reich to Vladimir Lenin, and I hope to come back to the French readers out of this bonfire as their books did. However, I find this court decision extremely shameful for France, as instead of hatred and war, my books call for peace and equality. Not in vain, my *Galilee Flowers* (buy it from

<http://www.booksurge.com/product.php3?bookID=G PUB02699-00003> has the subtitle *The Case for Israel and Palestine United in Love to Their Land*. But this dream of peace can't be achieved until there is full equality, until a Muslim and a Christian gets the same treatment as a Jew.

Yes, I do feel betrayed by the French court. When Spinoza was condemned by Rabbinical authorities, he knew that the Christian Netherlands wouldn't deliver him into their tender clutches. The French court reverted back into the days of Pedro the Cruel who would surrender a Jewish heretic to the Synagogue for its quick justice. The French judges easily rejected the demands to ban Salman Rushdie or Oriana Fallaci in the name of freedom of thought. But why does this freedom stop at the Jewish door? Last week when I was in France, many ex-Jews who came to Christ met me and expressed their serious concern. Is Christianity dead? Is the Church dead? Is there no counterbalance to the Jewish influence? It is symptomatic that the attack on me, published in the day of my publisher's trial by the French left-wing weekly *Politis* was penned by a Frenchman, **Jean-Yves Camus**, a recent convert into Judaism? Though he spoke at length about my baptism, he forgot to refer to his apostasy.

De Haas makes a lot of mileage out of old hat, that I supposedly do not live in the Holy Land but in Sweden, and that my name is something else. This silly stuff was first published by the ADL-financed Expo website, whose ties with Israeli intelligence were made public. Then it was republished by its sister publication of *Searchlight*, which proudly describes itself as a "Jewish antifascist magazine". Somehow this nonsense never made it to Israel. There, the right-wing, extremely nationalist daily *Maariv* recently published a five-full-pages-long exposé of my modest self, which included interviews with my elderly mother, a prominent member of an Israeli nationalist party, and everybody who had ever met me. But even this hostile article did not lower itself to publish such obvious nonsense. In my home in Israel, I receive visitors daily, including those from the Netherlands; I feel comfortable enough, and if I am to be tried for my betrayal of the Jewish cause, for my belief in equality of Jew and goy, I'd rather be tried in Israel than in once-Christian Europe.

As for the names I supposedly use, I shall quote the Talmud, which I know better than de Haas: "R. Joseph b. Judah was known as Joseph of Huzal and as Issi b. Gur Aryeh and as Issi b. Gamaliel and as Issi b. Mehalalel. What was his real name? Issi b. Akabia" (Pesachim 113b) Likewise, I may be known as Samir in Jordan, or Irmias in Sweden, or Mirokami in Japan, or Smirnov in Russia, and even as Jersma in the Netherlands, while my real name is Israel Adam Shamir; it is quite irrelevant; as irrelevant as the "real name" of Leon Trotsky (Bernstein) or of Ariel Sharon (Schneidman) or of André Maurois (Wilhelm Herzog) or of Salman Rushdie when he was in hiding in Bienfait, Saskatchewan, living under an assumed name. Usually such a discussion is not considered *comme il faut*, especially referring to Israel, where even an ex-Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu keeps an American passport on another name. But apparently this game is crooked, and what is forbidden to one side, is permitted to the opponent.

P.S. And here is my letter to my Dutch (and not only Dutch...) friends who are so permanently scared that run for cover at the first attack of the adversary; who had asked me to place a link or to bless their activity until they came to recognise that I am not a lightning rod, nor an insurance policy against such an attack. Then they ask me to resign, to remove the link or to submit to questioning. This is a letter for those innocents who still think that I may serve them as their pet Jew in order to deal with the Palestinian tragedy; here I spell out my disagreement with this role.

To my Dutch friends:

I have received the article in the *Telegraaf* and have written a response which you may attempt to publish. I have a problem, and it is not with de Haas' writing, but with you. He is an obvious enemy, and he considers me an enemy. He stands for the subjugation of Palestine, for war in Iraq, for the destruction of Iran, for exclusion of Islam, he is against the Church and Christ; and he probably curses apostates like me during his morning prayer as his faith demands. I really do not care about his views. I am upset about the weak knees of our friends. You are too fearful, submitting at first demand. You won't say "boo" to a goose, let alone the Jewish lobby. As a result, you are forever carrying out our adversaries, agenda, busily condemning the Iranian President and the Malaysian Prime Minister whenever they stray from the narrow path drawn by the enemy. Instead of condemning Israel for stopping Mohammed Mahathir from praying in the Al-Aksa Mosque, you object to his talk of Jewish power. Instead of condemning Israeli threats of bombing Iran, you discuss the Iranian President's words. Instead of discussing the real issue of the equality of Jew and non-Jew, you ask me for my biography. You retreat too easily. Now you wish to sacrifice me in order to keep an immaculate appearance. In vain: when you show your weakness, it will be exploited to the full. A young Cabbalist Joseph della Reina trapped Satan in a magic pentagram (so sayeth a story told in Safed, in Galilee), and asked him to release the captive soul of Messiah. Satan agreed on one condition: let della Reina light a small candle in his honour. Reina thought of it — a small sacrifice! And lit the candle. Immediately Satan was free, and he

threw della Reina all the way from Safed to Tiberias. His tomb is still shown to pious pilgrims. This is a lesson for you, for us all — do not bend even a little tiny bit, for otherwise you will end like Joseph della Reina. This is unless the enemy is right and you do not deserve equality.

Israel Adam Shamir
18 nov 2005

GLIMPSES OF REAL LIFE

From: Elias Davidsson : The brown Nazi shirts in Hebron

Riot on Tel Rumeida street

by Aaron Zanthé

Nov 26th 05 Hebron, West Bank

"Hey Arab", three members of a Jewish settler militia had cornered a young man walking home from the university. "You are not a man", they prominently displayed the automatic weapons in their hands, "you are a dog". The ranking militia member, wearing a kippa, a checkered collared shirt and dark sunglasses, continued, "and your mother is a [expletive] dog". The Palestinian man, named Ra'id, backed off as the three Israelis made threatening gestures at him. He responded, "is that right? Am I a dog?". Myself and two of my compatriots got between them with a video camera and asked if they wanted to repeat themselves on record. Of course not, they said, "it's for him, not for the television".

This was Tel Rumeida early Saturday evening, a neighborhood in the West Bank city of Hebron. A few metres up the street there was a size-able crowd of uninvited guests, a couple hundred Israeli fanatics who were bussed in from their colonies on West Bank land. The advertisements promoting the events of the day explained that the people were coming to express their support for the Zionist "pioneers" of Hebron on the day that Abraham buried Rachel in the Jewish tradition. On the street this came to mean a state of siege in a Palestinian neighborhood, with all the dark skinned residents locked in their houses. Many of them observed from their windows and rooftops as pioneering Israelis staged an all-out race riot.

My colleagues and I started escorting Ra'id to his home amidst the provocation of members of the settler militia. We moved quickly because having a Palestinian man out in the open air was attracting a lot of attention, mainly glares and spit. I put my arm around him to be able to stay close as we moved through the crowd as fast as we could without running. The group received a couple of comments along the lines of, "oh, the poor baby needs to be walked home, eh?". We had been accompanying Palestinians to walk home safely all day, but it wasn't until we were in the middle of the crowd that I realized that the situation had escalated. The settlers began chanting something that I now understand to be "death to Arabs" and their eyes were set on us.

I was doing my best to separate the settlers from Ra'id when a settler man charged at me and started kicking and shoving us towards a wall. The Israeli army had cordoned off a part of the area and one of the soldiers came and held back the aggressive settlers long enough for us to make our escape. By the time we were on the other side of the soldier line the settlers had taken over the entire top end of Tel Rumeida street. The Israelis were throwing stones at the Palestinians in their houses and banging on the green doors, some of them mocking the Islamic prayer call and making sheep noises. A group of settlers positioned themselves next to the checkpoint down the street, yelling obscenities at the Palestinians passing through.

Groups of fanatics, many of them with side arms and automatic weapons, were running around to the back ends of Arab homes, throwing stones through people's windows and threatening to kill them if they go outside. I took a picture of the hostile mob and some forty of them surrounded me and threw stones at me. "I hope god burns all the Arabs in hell", somebody screamed in my direction, "[...] don't take another picture or we'll kill you". The settler mob surrounded and besieged various housing complexes on Tel Rumeida street, shouting and throwing objects. Military police, including a special forces unit, had been on patrol in the area throughout the day in heavy numbers, but they pulled a disappearing act during most of the actual riot. The police took one young settler away in handcuffs and the army blocked off a single street, but for the most part, Israeli authorities neglected to control their citizens.

Settlers broke into a house on Shuhaddah street and attempted to break into several others. Visited the house the next day and spoke to a man named Issa al-Bayat and his family. His wife, Fawziyyah, brought out sage tea and the couple explained that the door to their home was forced open by six early twenty-somethings. Issa was away from the house at his construction job and Fawziyyah was home with two small daughters. They said that they were very scared and that the settler youth remained in the house for fifteen minutes, breaking things and screaming in Hebrew and broken Arabic. I asked them about what kind of things specifically the settlers were shouting and Fawziyyah replied evasively, "very bad words". Issa elaborated that they were Muslims and could not repeat what the settlers said to them precisely, but that the Jewish colonists tried to order the family out of the house. The settler youth went back outside and within minutes they were throwing projectiles through the rear windows. Fawziyyah called the police and they told her on the spot that they weren't going to show up. No big surprise. Non-enforcement of the law on West Bank colonists has been documented in detail by the Israeli human rights group Bt'selem. Tel Rumeida in particular is a heavily affected neighborhood. Tel Rumeida, a small area, has only two main roads; Tel Rumeida street and Shuhaddah street. There are five army posts on these two streets that are usually manned and two posts on rooftops which are sometimes manned. Anyone who walks longer than a block in this community is liable to be asked to show his ID, to be searched or detained by soldiers at one of these posts on the ground. At the end of Tel Rumeida street there is a military checkpoint, painted to look like the bricks on the surrounding walls, that residents must pass through anytime they want to leave the neighborhood and visit the rest of the city. But why the security blanket?

The small neighborhood is also home to two Israeli settlements blocks, called Ramat Yeshai and Beit Hadassah, both of which enjoy a certain amount of notoriety for aggressive behavior even amongst the Israeli mainstream. Both colonies are entirely subsidized by the state of Israel and their members largely do not work to earn a living. Even the roads in Tel Rumeida look like Apartheid. Only Israelis are allowed to drive on the roads; Palestinians must walk. In an letter to the international groups working in Hebron, spokesmen for the settlements explained themselves [*News from within*, September-October 2005]. "Divine justice has brought the Jews back to their homes and property in Israel and here to Hebron", they explained that "this act of G-D serves as a mighty beam of light in the struggle of the free world against Arab-Islamic terror". "The first target of their murderous attacks is Israel, yet beyond Israel stands their real target - the entire world". The letter also refers to the children's school in Tel Rumeida as "the Islamic Jihad school". Well said, David Wilder. The school in question, called Cordova, is located across the street from Beit Hadassah. Kids walking home from Cordova are frequently targeted for assault by the settlers on the other side of the street, as documented by the Tel Rumeida Project in conjunction with the International Solidarity Movement. Both groups make it a project to accompany the Cordova children on their way home from school and intervene in the event of settler provocation. It's been an honor to live and work with these groups in Tel Rumeida for the past week. My experience here has been dramatic but it is only one example of the pressure on Palestinians by the state of Israel to leave their land. Today I spoke with Norwegian journalist Thomas Mandal, who summed up the events of Saturday beautifully, "It was a mob, the only comparable thing that I have seen is a football riot, it was that same kind of mentality, except that sports was replaced by religion and that the mob had guns".

BEYOND UNACCEPTABLE

Progressivism, Skepticism, and Historical Revisionism: The Inalienable Right to Question History

by Kim Petersen

"[I]t has been a truism for years, indeed centuries, that it is precisely in the case of horrendous ideas that the right of free expression must be most vigorously defended."

-- Noam Chomsky

"The principle of free thought is not free thought for those who agree with us but freedom for the thought we hate."

-- US Supreme Court Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes in *United States v. Schwimmer*

The statements of Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad have a penchant for attracting the opprobrium of the western world.

On 14 December, Ahmadinejad, whose comments were carried live on Iranian state television's Arabic-language satellite channel *Al-Alam*, spoke to thousands of people in the southeastern Iranian city of Zahedan.

Controversially, he declared, "Today, they have created a myth in the name of Holocaust and consider it to be above God, religion and the prophets."

He then asked Europeans, "If you committed this big crime, then why should the oppressed Palestinian nation pay the price?"

Ahmadinejad has a proposal though: "If you committed the crime, then give a part of your own land in Europe, the United States, Canada or Alaska to them so that the Jews can establish their country."

Flood of Denunciations

Predictably, Israeli government official Mark Regev described Ahmadinejad's remarks as "outrageous", as did Austrian Chancellor Wolfgang Schüssel. US State Department deputy spokesman Adam Ereli called Ahmadinejad's remarks "appalling and reprehensible." Democratic Senator John Kerry jumped into the fray saying that questioning of the Holocaust "is beyond unacceptable."

In Germany, where Holocaust denial is a crime, politicians unanimously denounced the Iranian president's remarks, calling them "completely unacceptable."

Even French extreme-right leader Jean-Marie Le Pen found Ahmadinejad's remarks "shocking" and disavowed himself of them.

Leaders at a EU summit in Brussels, Belgium released a statement describing Ahmadinejad's comments as "wholly unacceptable" and that they had "no place in civilized political debate."

Ramifications

In an assault on freedom of speech, the EU leaders warned that Iran might face sanctions because of Ahmadinejad's remarks. Iranian officials went into damage control mode. They said that Ahmadinejad's comments had been misunderstood. Iranian Interior Minister Mostafa Pur Mohammadi clarified Ahmadinejad's comments to mean that those people who had victimized the Jewish people should atone for the victimization -- a sensible proposition.

Why Iranians might face sanctions for the statements of one individual citizen, albeit the elected leader of the country is perplexing. Are Americans responsible for the pronouncements of President George W. Bush? Are Israelis responsible for the pronouncements of Prime Minister Ariel Sharon? Insofar as some of people freely choose such characters to be their leaders then, yes, there must be some culpability. But such leaders are not chosen by every member of society.

What about freedom of speech? Is freedom of a speech a universal principle or is it to be delimited by Big Brother -- therefore, destroying the notion of freedom of speech?

The preamble to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) adopted and proclaimed by General Assembly resolution 217 A (III) of 10 December 1948 calls for "the advent of a world in which human beings shall enjoy freedom of speech and belief" which is among "the highest aspiration of the common people."

UDHR Article 19 decrees:

Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.

Just as Ahmadinejad's right to freedom of speech is guaranteed by the UDHR, so is the free speech of his detractors. Everyone is free to denounce what he says but all free-speech advocates should be opposed to attempts to suppress what he may say.

Anti-Censorship

Previously, I wrote an article that dealt with earlier comments of Ahmadinejad that riled one reader. [1] The reader, who didn't wish to get into a public debate, is affiliated with an anti-censorship organization but nonetheless stated the "correct course of action" would be to remove the article he found disagreeable. His affiliation and contradictory demand should be sufficient grounds to debate any further arguments the reader had. He had none. It was a veiled protest against points of view he did not like. His polite protest:

Mr. Petersen's response to the news explosion after Mahmoud Ahmadinejad called on

Muslim activist youth to "wipe Israel off the map" falls into a pattern of partisan rationalization for atrocities which is one of the sadder embarrassments of Left history. I am sure the slander and depredations of the Roma under Stalin's reign of terror were rationalized and dismissed with adroit ideological verbiage [sic], by the US Communist Party, and other progressives. I am afraid Mr. Petersen falls into similar error. His reasoning is outright fractured: 60 years ago, he argues, in a profoundly cynical observation, David Ben Gurion said he would expect Palestinians to seek to violently drive Jews out of the Holy Land. From this Mr. Petersen reasons that a hardline, oppressive theocratic extremist, inciting mass communal hatred and violence now, toward Israeli Jews must be OK. He draws several very similar false "parallels" in support of the same conclusion -- that calling for the wiping of a nation off the map can't be violently prejudicial or even anti-Israel. But of course Ahmadinejad was a genocidal incitement, as even a 1-year-old could see. It takes real sophistication to construct an argument to the contrary, and a great deal of resolute self-indoctrination to believe that argument. That is a disturbing misuse of intellectual and verbal gifts.

My writing is unequivocal: I deplore all crimes against humanity, especially the insidious crimes of ethnic cleansing and genocide and that includes the ethnic cleansing and genocide carried and being carried out in my country of birth, Canada. Ahmadinejad's ineloquent statements were in response to ethnic cleansing and genocide. Hyperbolically ascribing Ahmadinejad's call to being a "pattern of partisan rationalization for atrocities which is one of the sadder embarrassments of Left history" is but to declaim assertively.

Ben Gurion's profound "cynicism" belongs to him. I merely quoted him to reveal the Zionist mindset -- not my own.

The illegitimate violent entity that occupies Palestine and calls itself Israel is much more deserving of the litany of adjectives: "hardline," pandering to "oppressive theocratic extremists," and "inciting mass communal hatred and violence" toward non-Jews. Why should the rights of the ethnic cleansers and supporters of ethnic cleansing take precedence over the victims of this ethnic cleansing? This is morally contemptible and backwards.

Israel is illegitimate. Any well reasoned "humanity check" could not arrive at any other conclusion than that the establishment of a state on the territory of an indigenous people through brutal violence and murder is a hideous dagger thrust into the heart of a morality-based world.

Israel does not belong on the map -- at least not in its present form. As for the terminology of "wiping" it off the map, the reader concluded that such a statement indicates genocide; therefore, by sound deduction, the reader should also consider that the wiping of Palestine off the map was and is genocide. Nevertheless, the reader incorrectly interpreted Ahmadinejad's statement: "A call to 'Wipe Israel off the Map' is an open call for genocidal slaughter." By definition, to wipe a state off the map does not imply to wipe a people off a map. People are usually not found on maps. The elimination of a geographic designation is not genocide. Maybe an extremely precocious one-year-old child might consider this as "genocidal incitement" but logical adult analysis reveals otherwise.

To build a case for someone being opposed to the state of Israel, one must first establish that there is such an entity that can legitimately lay claim to statehood in the circumscribed geographical region; otherwise such claims are completely baseless.

"Myth in the name of Holocaust"

Ahmadinejad's denouncers never refuted through argumentation or presented facts to counter what he had said. The best attack that his detractors could muster was to hurl abuse and vent their disagreement. Epistemologists recognize this is as an intellectually bereft form of refutation. It must be pointed out, however, that Ahmadinejad's statements were also assertions.

Ahmadinejad's assertion of a "myth in the name of Holocaust" is vague. What did he mean by a myth? That a genocide in which Jews perished during World War II never happened? Unlikely. There are few people who actually deny that the Nazis victimized Jews in large numbers. What is termed "Holocaust denial" is, in fact, the questioning of the historical veracity of the numbers of Jews murdered and the method by which the victims were killed.

On the day of the American 9-11 (there is, of course, the Chilean 9-11 which saw a ruthless, US-backed right-wing junta overthrow an elected government), it was initially reported that there were over 6,000 fatalities. Subsequently this figure decreased until the current fatality count of 2,986.

That massive numbers of people including Jews who perished during WWII is a great tragedy for humanity. However, that the WWII holocaust has been appropriated as a uniquely or predominately Jewish cataclysm is mendacious and reprehensible in its disrespect to the other victims of Nazism. Even if the 6 million figure so often cited as the total of Jewish deaths during WWII is accurate, it is still dwarfed by the deaths of citizens of the Soviet Union, which is over 23 million. [\[2\]](#)

But the six million figure of Jews killed by Nazis during WWII is challenged by some people. For this, Holocaust skeptics such as French academician Robert Faurisson, British historian David Irving, and long-time Canadian resident but German citizen Ernst Zündel have been variously ostracized, beaten, deprived of residence, deported, and jailed. People are imprisoned for the sin of doubting! Such is the vehemence attached to denying the six million number.

Philosopher and sociologist Jean-Michel Chaumont likened Holocaust uniqueness to a kind of "intellectual terrorism": a terrorism of the mind that allows for physical terrorism to be excused. [3]

The notion of Jewish exceptionalism stems from the biblical designation of Israelites being the Creator's "chosen people" -- which is tantamount to racism. This Jewish exceptionalism has been exploited to carve out an exclusive niche of WWII victimization. This is contrary to progressive principles that hold egalitarianism to be a universal and fundamental tenet.

Why can this number not be questioned? Will intense scrutiny not verify the verisimilitude of the number? [4] What kind of society is it that tells its citizenry that certain "truths" are beyond reproach and off limits to open-minded or skeptical enquiry?

Professor of political theory Norman Finkelstein questions the Jewish uniqueness and asks what gives them a "claim upon those others." [5] The Holocaust industry, according to Finkelstein "has become an outright extortion racket ... [conducting a] double shakedown of European countries as well as legitimate Jewish claimants ..." [6]

Jews were persecuted and killed during WWII. The photographic evidence, eyewitness and survivor accounts of Nazi captivity are undeniable. Sure photographs can be faked and false tales conjured up, but the sheer scale of such a massive coordinated mendacity required argues against this. The incarceration and killing of Jews and other victims is a most shameful act in human history. But to compound one shameful act with further crimes against humanity only perpetuates and accentuates further acts of shamefulness.

That the Nazis killed large numbers of Jews during WWII is a historical black mark on the Nazi regime. Accuracy and, more importantly, veracity are also important. But to intentionally inflate or deflate the numbers dishonors the sacrifice of the victims.

The Jewish victims are also dishonored by some people appropriating exclusivity to WWII victimhood. They are dishonored by some people railing against any inquiry, rationale or otherwise, into history that deviates from a designated truth. This militates against a fundamental plank of human rights: the freedom of expression.

Furthermore, the focus on numerical accuracy distracts from the memory of the WWII victims. The focus has been distracted from the horrors of war perpetrated by the Nazis [7] to a focus on the numbers and freedom of speech. Assertions by one side in a dispute must not be reciprocated with counter assertions but instead skewered with verifiable facts and well reasoned argument.

That Jews in large numbers were among the Nazi victims during WWII is no myth. That authorities in society would abuse the human rights of individuals simply for exercising their freedom to speak thoughts that are different from the views proclaimed by governments, serves well the aims of those who seek to mythologize the victims of WWII.

In the spirit of openness and free speech, each person's words must be allowed to stand for all others to contemplate and judge for themselves. There is no need to censure anyone's speech or thoughts. Free speech and historical revisionism must not become a vehicle to divert attention away from current crimes against humanity.

Most horrendously, the misuse of Jewish victimhood as a shield, whereby Zionist Jews could unleash atrocities upon Palestinians similar to those atrocities that Jews suffered under Nazism to implement their own malevolent *Lebensraum* strategy, utterly abases the memory of the victims as well as disgraces the long- and oft-violated "Never again" mantra. The ethnic cleanser-occupier must end the occupation. The ethnic cleanser-occupier must allow for the international right-of-return. Just as the Jews sought and received apology and reparations for Nazi war crimes, the ethnic cleanser-occupier must sincerely apologize and indemnify the Palestinian victims and vacate stolen territory.

Kim Petersen, Co-Editor of *Dissident Voice*, lives in the traditional Mi'kmaq homeland colonially designated Nova Scotia, Canada. He can be reached at: kim@dissidentvoice.org.

ENDNOTES

[1] Kim Petersen, "[Anti-Israel?](#)" *Dissident Voice*, 27 October 2005

[2] [Wikipedia cites](#) 23,100,000 Soviet fatalities (one million of which are Jews); the department of history at the [John Jay College of Criminal Justice reports](#) 28 million USSR fatalities (19 million of which are civilian). There are other much higher numbers for Soviet fatalities during WWII that must be skeptically viewed at this time.

[3] Quoted in Norman Finkelstein, *The Holocaust Industry* (Verso, 2000), 47.

[4] "[The Diminishing Numbers of Alleged Dead in Auschwitz](#)," [vancouver.indymedi.org](#), 15 August 2004. The article indicates a drop from an original high figure of nine million Jews killed during WWII to 900,000 cited in the Jewish paper *Aufbau* and 135,000-140,000 according to the International Tracing Service of the Red Cross.

Excerpted from the controversial book *Did Six Million Really Die*, "[The Jews And The Concentration Camps: A Factual Appraisal By The Red Cross](#)," reports that a 1,600-page, three-volume Report prepared by a team headed by Frédéric Siordet of the International Red Cross "found no evidence whatever at the camps in Axis occupied Europe of a deliberate policy to exterminate the Jews." There is no charge of genocide in the report.

Two-and-half million victims have disappeared from the plaques at an Auschwitz concentration camp. Assuming that most of the disappeared victims are Jewish then the persistence of the unchanged six million figure is bizarre. The [plaque originally displayed](#) at Auschwitz in 1948 read: "Four million people suffered and died here at the hands of the Nazi murderers between the years 1940 and 1945." The [1990 plaque](#) at Auschwitz reads: "For ever let this place be a cry of despair and a warning to humanity, where the Nazis murdered *about one and a half million* men, women and children, *mainly Jews* from various countries of Europe. Auschwitz-Birkenau 1940-1945." [Italics added]

To come to a conclusion about the accuracy of the claim of six million Jews killed during WWII, critical thinking is in order. The burden of proof for the six million claim lies on the claimant. Given the extraordinary number claimed, this demands extraordinary evidence. To support a claim it should be falsifiable; that is, there must be a way to prove the claim false -- in the present case by providing evidence of fewer than 6 million deaths (or more). In addition, all evidence must be considered. Critical thinkers must therefore ask why some government officials and supporters of the six-million-Jews-killed number close doors to inquiry. As long as such is the case then the claims arising from Holocaust theorists must be greeted with the appropriate and requisite skepticism.

The present author takes no position as to what the accurate number of Jews killed during WWII is. I have not conducted the in-depth research required to formulate a definitive opinion. The purpose in this article is to defend skeptical inquiry and freedom of speech and direct the focus back from the past to where it belongs: the crimes against humanity today. For this reason, the question of numbers is relegated to an endnote.

[5] Norman Finkelstein, *op. cit.*, 47.

[6] *Ibid*, 89.

[7] It is recognized that the defeated side does not solely commit atrocities during war, as war usually brings out the worst in humanity on all sides.

Dissidentvoice.org December 19, 2005

<http://www.dissidentvoice.org/Dec05/Petersen1219.htm>

MURDERERS' CONGRESS

Holocaust 'Avengers' Reunite

(AP) A group of elderly Holocaust survivors came forward with accounts of a death squad they formed after World War II to take revenge on their Nazi persecutors, recounting a brazen operation in which **they poisoned** hundreds of SS officers.

In a Friday broadcast on Israel Channel Two TV, the survivors, some of whom fought in the Warsaw Ghetto uprising, recalled hunting down SS officers in the dead of night. **Disguised as British or American officers**, they would drag the SS men out of their homes and execute them, they said.

In their largest operation, the group, code-named "the Avengers," received a large amount of arsenic from Paris and laced loaves of bread fed to hundreds of SS officers imprisoned in an American camp after the war.

They said they were also planning a broad operation in Dachau and Nuremberg, but the Jewish leadership in what would soon become Israel forced them to abandon the plan.

"I didn't see myself as a murderer, not then and not today," group member Simcha Rotem told Channel Two.

The broadcast focused on a rare reunion of the group that took place earlier this month in a Tel Aviv suburb. Sixty years after the end of World War II, with most of several dozen "Avengers" either dead or in their late 70s and 80s, Rotem told *The Associated Press* they gave into family pressure to recount their experiences to their children, grandchildren and other relatives.

Over the years, reports of such Jewish death squads have surfaced and several books have been written. The Israeli government has often turned a blind eye to the reports. Earlier this year, it refused a request from Poland to extradite a suspected death squad member.

Aaron Breitbart, senior researcher at the Simon Wiesenthal Center in Los Angeles, said the tale of the bread-poisoning plot is plausible.

"This is not a story that somebody is telling out of a hat. There was such a plan. We just don't know how close they got to carrying it out", Breitbart said.

With just a handful of Avengers left, and their actions part of history, the elderly survivors feel they have **nothing to lose** by speaking publicly about their operations.

One of the few surviving members of the fighters in the Ghetto Uprising, Rotem spent the entire war battling the Nazis. At the end of the war, he went to Bucharest where he met Nava (Abba) Kovner, the head of a group of Avengers from eastern Poland who spent most of the war as partisan fighters.

"I don't remember how I found out that they were thinking of this idea, but I also had this idea," Rotem said. "We walked around for two or three hours and we agreed to things and we began to work. It was very simple."

Rotem, 81 and living in Jerusalem, took charge of a plan to poison to death 28,000 SS officers imprisoned by the Americans in Dachau and Nuremberg in Germany.

"I wanted to finish off the SS officers who were held by the Americans ... unfortunately we did not succeed," Rotem said.

But another plan, carried out in part by Joseph Harmatz, largely succeeded. Harmatz found work at a bakery that supplied bread to American-run prisoner camps.

He said he received arsenic in rubber bottles from Paris, which he then used to poison 3,000 loaves of bread. About 2,280 SS men ate the bread, he said, but couldn't say how many, or if any, died. News reports at the time said more than 200 people were hospitalized, but made no mention of deaths.

"We fled (the Nazis) and we took revenge," the elderly Harmatz told Channel Two in a raspy voice. "We saw ourselves as obligated not to leave Europe so we could settle accounts with the Germans.

Michael Bar-Zohar, author of a book, *The Avengers*, published in 1967, said the bread poisoning was the group's biggest operation.

They also considered an attempt to poison the water supply of five German cities but decided against it, Bar-Zohar said. Rotem confirmed they had other plans that were called off because they were afraid of killing innocent people.

The group, which included about 40 members, was largely made up of Jews who spent the entire war fighting the Nazis, Bar-Zohar said.

"Their spirit hadn't been broken by the concentration camps," Bar-Zohar said. The camp survivors "didn't have the will or desire to avenge."

24 Dec. 2005

http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2005/12/24/world/main1165149.shtml?CMP=OTC-RSSFeed&source=RSS&attr=World_1165149

SMOKE SIGNALS

The Tobacco and Holocaust Industries

Patrick H McNalley

Norman Finkelstein wrote a serious and humorous expose of the holocaustindustry which infuriated the hoaxoco\$t jet set and cost him the crappy little teaching job elite Jewry had up to then tossed his way. Revenge is mine sayeth the holocaust elite. What really ticked them off must have been that Norman showed how today's self-chosen Moseses had embezzled the pants and skirts off the Solomon Sixpackers of the Jewish sheeple and left them with squat after skimming off their fats fees from the billions they squeezed out of the hapless Swiss. Norman stands squarely within the tradition of the great muckrakers exposing the shenanigans of low lifers in high places. Amazing that his book, indispensable for serious observers of elite Jewry's demeanor, misdemeanors, and crimes, slipped under the radarscreen of our vigilant joosmedia censors. His latest book exposing Harvard's tenured turd, caught-with-his-pants-down plagiarizer, and brazen liar, Alan Dershowitz got shot down even after con tracts had been signed with the publishers' and just before the doggy do-do was scheduled to hit the fan in the Law School office of a famous professor.

A worrisome aspect of the hoaxoco\$t industry is that it is not just a peripheral private enterprise phenomenon like pimps, prostitutes, pornographic movies, street beggars, etc. In fact, it is the fastest growing (dis)service industry in the American economy and has many similarities to the tobacco industry.

Holocaust-tobacco both started with aggressive full-time private sector lobbies and succeeded in "capturing" and even creating powerful, permanent federal government agencies. The "capture theory"

comes from the political science study of government regulation. "Capture theory" argued that private sector self-interest groups would want to take over the government agencies and subvert them from defending the public interest. Actually, the holocaustomaniacs were super-successful because they did not simply capture agencies but created them.

Tobacco-holocaust both have serious negative externalities of consumption and production, i.e. the folks who suck that stuff in come to suffer from debilitating addictions, e.g. the need for a holocaust victim of the month or a hoaxocost denier of the week deported. Tobacco causes major lung problems for smokers and even those who only breathe in the fumes, especially children. However, a Canadian government official argued that smoking has a good side in that it reduces social expenditures because people die off earlier. Apparently said official had in mind Woody Allen's observation that death is a way of cutting down on expenses.

But holocaustofried brains are a much more dangerous social and psychological problem than lung cancer. Holocaustotoxification is especially perilous for Germans in particular and all Gentiles in general. The holyhoaxers' mind poisoning creates a vicious circle that works like this: elite Jewry goes berserk [All in a nicely restrained and pseudo-civilized manner, mind you!] and they infect their Jewish sheeple with massive doses of fake victimhood, psychotic fear of an imminent Auschwitz rerun, and the fierce need for preemptive revenge for imaginary sufferings. Cattle-goyimsucking in the hollowcost fumes go limp with mind-deadening guilt paralysis and begin to believe that they were somehow responsible for 6,000,000,000 [N.B. Holocaust people are very loose with zeroes.] of the Self-Chosen going up in chimney smoke or being buried alive or whatever the current story happens to be. Then the goyim feel a need to atone for their alledged past criminal behavior by finding all those bodies to whom their ancestors had not given a proper kosher burial, but their grief is intensified at being unable to find any bodies at all. Meanwhile, the holocaustomaniacs are shouting at them that their endemically auntie-Semitistic ancestors had already diabolically dug up the bones, burned them, and scattered the ashes to the four winds in order to hide their crimes which G-d had already foreordained so that the Self-Chosen Sheeple could return to the Self-Promised Land.

Now the only words crazier than the immediately preceding crazy paragraph are the hoaxocost sermons preached in the government sponsored Church of the Holocaust. Well, actually they are equally crazy because they are exactly the same. Clearly tobacco-holocaust industrial output is very dangerous for your health.

At the instigation of friendly lawyers, some folks have already brought several successful class action law suits against the tobacco industry and won truckloads of cash. I personally always felt that that was unfair because smokers voluntarily bought the cancer sticks and nobody put a gun to their head to make them smoke. Furthermore, for years scientists had been openly discussing the dangers of smoking. I guess nobody believes in freewill any more. At any rate, that brings us to the humongous differences between tobacco and holocaust.

Open discussion of the holocaust is prohibited. You don't think so? Just try it even in the UAssA! Forget about ten European countries that will throw you in the slammer! So you have no way to fight off the hoaxocost toxicfumes pervading public discourse. What's more, the power of the tobacco industry is steadily falling, while the hoaxocost (dis)service industry grows stronger as fictive Awshuck victims continue to crawl out of the woodwork. Virtually the only freedom left is in a Stoic, Christian, Hegelianinner space of spiritual solitude where one can still tell the holocaustomaniacs to insert their filthy blood libel up an anatomical aperture of their own choosing.

JAILHOUSE ROCK

French Obscurantism

Yesterday, January 3, by decision of the high court of Lyon (6th chamber, where press-related cases are heard; presiding judge: Fernand Schir), **Mr Georges Theil**, a former elected official from the Front National, was found guilty, under the Fabius-Gayssot Act (July 13, 1990), of a revisionist "questioning". Before a reporter's camera he had uttered some words on the technical impossibility of the Nazi gas chambers' existence and operation.

He was sentenced to the following:

- Six months' imprisonment without remission;
- €10,000 fine;

- Payment of the cost of having extracts of the judgement published in the dailies *Libération* and *Le Progrès* (Lyon);
- Remittance of €3,000 to each of the eleven plaintiffs;
- Remittance of €1,000 to each of the eleven plaintiffs to cover their legal costs;
- Payment of €90 in procedural fees.

G. Theil, through the intermediary of his Counsel, Maître Eric Delcroix, is to lodge an appeal.

I shall recall that on October 5, 2005, by decision of the high court of Limoges, François Cassasus-Builhé presiding, the same G. Theil had been convicted on the same grounds for having sent to a few persons a copy of the little book that he published in 2002, under the name Gilbert Dubreuil, entitled: *Un cas d'insoumission / Comment on devient révisionniste* ("A Case of Insubmission / On becoming a revisionist"). **[an English edition of the illegal book is expected soon - N]**

He was then sentenced to the following:

- Six months' imprisonment without remission;
- Five years' prohibition of standing for public office;
- Payment of the cost of having extracts of the judgement published in the dailies *Le Monde*, *Le Figaro*, *Le Populaire du Centre* and *L'Echo de la Haute-Vienne*;
- Confiscation of the objects under seal (that is, the computers, books and documents previously seized at his house by the police);
- Payment to each of the various plaintiffs of the following sums: €7,000 and €350 plus €1 and €350 plus €1,000 and €350 plus €1,000 and €350 plus €1 and another €350;
- Payment of €90 in procedural fees.

G. Theil has begun remitting large sums to the plaintiffs. He has, in this case as well, lodged an appeal through the intermediary of Maître E. Delcroix. That action will be heard on February 3, 2006 at the court of appeal of Limoges.

I should like to point out that the cost of court-ordered publication of judgements in a certain number of newspapers can be quite heavy. As for the various expenses entailed by the preparation and hearing of these cases, I know that they have been considerable.

I have learnt, just this instant, that the text of the Schir decision is said to be one of exceptional length for such a case: 48 pages.

G. Theil's mailing address: BP 50-38, 38821 GRENOBLE CEDEX 2

**Robert Faurisson
January 4, 2006**

A COMPLETE DEFAMATION, FULL OF LIES

Harlem radicals probed

By **Niles Lathem** *Post* Correspondent

Washington – A small left-wing group operating out of a storefront in Harlem has drawn scrutiny from international terrorism investigators for joining in a global fund-raising drive for the "armed resistance" in Iraq, The Post has learned.

The Manhattan-based Freedom Socialist Party is one of two American groups whose name is part of the European-based consortium of radical organizations known as the Anti-Imperialist Camp, which is openly raising money for anti-U.S. groups in Iraq, according to literature from the organization.

"Everybody's got the right to exercise free speech. But people who actively support and send money to terrorists who are targeting American soldiers are crossing the line and ought to be dealt

with," said Rep. Sue Kelly (R-N.Y.), whose House Armed Services subcommittee on Terrorism and Unconventional Threats has investigated the group.

Stephen Durham, a former candidate for the New York State Assembly and spokesman for the Freedom Socialist Party, denied that his group is violating any U.S. laws.

But Durham said that he is in "solidarity with the Iraqi resistance politically," and added that he cannot condemn the brutal tactics, including hostage beheadings and carbomb attacks, employed by terrorists like Abu Musab al-Zarqawi.

New York Post article
Monday, December 26, 2005

See the reply

http://www.antiimperialista.org/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=4045&Itemid=55

A POOR JOB

Holocaust pillars already cracking

By Hannah Cleaver in Berlin (Filed: 14/01/2006)

Cracks are emerging in Germany's holocaust memorial, the [celebrated field of grey concrete pillars](#) which has become one of Berlin's most visited landmarks, just six months after it opened.

The memorial, designed to be an eternal mark of Germany's guilt and apology for the holocaust, is the size of three football pitches and sited symbolically over Hitler's bunker, next to the Brandenburg Gate and Reichstag.

There are 2,711 pillars of varying height, the biggest of which is 16ft tall and weighs 16 tons. Now it has been revealed that at least 13 of them are cracked, while many have chunks knocked off the edges and corners.

Uwe Neumaerker, chairman of the Memorial Foundation, said: "Cracks in concrete are not really anything unusual - we can tolerate them up until 0.15mm in width."

He acknowledged that some were wider than that and added: "We have shown the faults to the relevant firm and have five years' worth of guarantee."

The columns will be examined next month, when the winter is considered to be over, and the smaller cracks will be filled in with a special mortar.

In the worst cases individual columns might have to be replaced but Mr Neumaerker said he did not expect this to be necessary.

As for the missing chunks on corners he said this could be put down to general wear and tear. [...]

The Telegraph

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/main.jhtml?xml=/news/2006/01/14/wcrack14.xml>

=====
This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information go to:

http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107_shtm . If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

Our address : [revclar -at- yahoo.com.au](mailto:revclar-at-yahoo.com.au)

OTHER AAARGH MONTHLY PUBLICATIONS

<http://www.geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo>

El Paso del Ebro

Das kausale Nexusblatt

Il Resto del Siclo

Conseils de Révision

La Gazette du Golfe et des banlieues (multilingual) <http://ggb.0catch.com>

O revisionismo em lingua português

Armenichantage (Armenian blackmail)