

BIG SECRET

The point we keep missing

The biggest problem with the world is Americans

By John Kaminski

There is a toxic quality to war that affects the inner life of individuals and, as a collective consequence, the society itself. In the degradation and dehumanization of the individual lies the destruction of all mankind.

— Butler Shaffer

Americans have a great and terrible secret they hide within themselves, ever pretending that it doesn't exist. But in the deepest, darkest part of their hearts, if they're honest, they know with certainty that it does.

But, oh, do they deny it! Americans couch this denial in all manner of panicky rationalizations — religious, political, psychological, astrological, you name it ... any pretense will do in order to deny what's really going on, in order to avoid the crushing guilt for what is nothing less than inexcusable, semiconscious savagery.

This secret is so big and so dark it can't be confronted all at once. Better to take it one little piece at a time, and then let the idea of its overwhelming magnitude sink in just a little bit at a time, so that by the time you confront all the aspects of this multi-faceted horror, some of you who read this can perhaps begin to publicly admit this terrible secret and perhaps even begin to take positive steps to correct the stupendous damage this secret has caused — and is causing right this very minute.

One little piece at a time. Let's start with Iraq, since that seems to be the one place in the world at present where the needless bleeding is the worst.

Listen to this carefully, Americans. Do you understand that the death toll in Iraq over the past 13 years numbers in the high hundreds of thousands? And do you understand that America is responsible for virtually all of those deaths? Let's say 99 percent of them.

I can hear all those denial switches clicking on out there as I ask this question. Hey we're fighting terror! Those Muslims are savages! Saddam deserved what he got! We're trying to bring democracy to an uncivilized country!

I'll repeat it as a statement. Iraqis murdered since 1991? 800,000 to 1.5 million. American lives lost because of combat initiated by the United States? 1,000 or so in the past two years, 10,000 more in the intervening years since the first Gulf War introduced deadly depleted uranium ammunition onto the world's stage, and fatally poisoned so many of our own troops.

11,000 Americans dead! Around a million Iraqi lives suddenly snuffed out for reasons you can bet most of them really never understood. And worse, future generations of both Iraqis and Americans doomed to complicated lives of cancer and birth defects. And that's just in this one single country, in this one continuous military action.

Americans are responsible for every single one of these murders, every single one of these tragedies. And not a single one of these sad stories — these shocking terminations of unsuspecting, productive and innocent lives — ever represented any threat to the physical security of the United States.

Can you comprehend that? Is anything getting through?

Americans, in their selfish, willful blindness, are responsible for thousands of deaths all around the world — EVERY YEAR! And they have been for more than a hundred years.

No, you can't get away with saying we're fighting a war, and war is hell. This is not a war in Iraq! Saddam had no airforce, and we made him destroy all his missiles. Then we embraced the lies told about him in order to begin a multi-year campaign of mass murder. Iraq never had a chance. It was never a fair fight.

Let me ask you this. We went to war in Iraq to get rid of Saddam. Saddam is long gone. Why are we still killing people? Why are we still sacrificing our own soldiers? And why are we planning more wars?

You know the answer. It's in the deepest, darkest part of your heart. We didn't go to war against Iraq to overthrow a tyrant. We invaded Iraq because we wanted to steal a country's precious resources, because we, in the blatant hubris of our soulless blindness, believe we can steal for any reason.

This is how Americans come to support mass murder of innocents for reasons that are lies. This has been going on full bore since at least the late 1890s, when American obliterated a million Filipinos to keep them safe from the Spanish. All this of course is not counting the 60 million Native Americans we exterminated throughout the 19th century, because they were savages, less than human.

And this is of course the paradigm that civilization has followed ever since, the British in India, the French in Indochina, the Belgians and the Portuguese in Africa, and all of the above and more throughout the bloody conquest of the Western Hemisphere.

Some literature asserts that even Hitler was impressed with the extermination policies of the white Europeans as they raped and pillaged their way across an undeveloped continent. Of course the more germane comparison these days is the way the Israelis have cut down the hapless Palestinians over the last half century, using their "holy" books to declare anyone in their voracious path to be less than human, and therefore eligible to be murdered without a second thought.

But Americans need to realize — and admit — that Israelis learned this heartless attitude from us. I even heard the esteemed Jewish theologian Michael Lerner — darling of the Zionist gatekeeper liberals — use this argument: how can Americans complain what the Israelis are doing to the indigenous inhabitants of their accursed chunk of Middle Eastern sand when the Americans set the example for the world to follow by exterminating the entire indigenous population of North America, and have behaved the same way throughout the rest of the world ever since? He has a point.

And this is the great and terrible secret all Americans hide within themselves, and palliate their guilt with such lame rationalizations as manifest destiny or "we need that oil."

Fact is, none of those Palestinians, nor any of those Iraqis, would have died without the tacit approval of Americans. It wouldn't have happened.

Sure, you can sit back and pontificate that those Islamic "savages" would have killed each other anyway, but you can't prove that, and you can prove that it has been American manipulation, first and foremost, that has allowed that vicious bunch of Israelis to go into that region and become a raging terminal cancer. I supposed it's only poetic justice that now Israel, with its pervasive political control of the U.S. political system, can manipulate events to the point where it can get America to come into the same region and do its dirty work, as is happening now in Iraq.

Iraq is not now nor ever has been a threat to the physical security of the United States. But **Americans lie to themselves** — we all know about THOSE lies — and arbitrarily declare that Iraq can bomb us with imaginary drones in 45 minutes, so we better bomb them first.

Same with Palestine. Palestinians were never a threat to anyone. But like Iraqis, they had something we wanted. And it is the great and terrible secret of every American that we will permit — and even cheer — the mass murder of innocents simply because we want to rob them of what is rightfully theirs.

Ask your preacher about that. I'm sure he'll produce a suitable Biblical quote that approves of the murder of anybody who has something you want.

But we can't blame this on a worldwide Jewish conspiracy, nor on the Jesuits, the elusive Illuminati, nor even the London-based global banking aristocracy. This is about the American people, and their continuing willingness to look the other way while those who are all too willing to prey on the innocent kill people for profit and pass the price savings on to the blind cowards who sputter half-baked maxims about terror and security while they sit in their easy chairs in their stiflingly boring and braindead American suburbs.

And contemplate the horrid fact that both presidential candidates endorse this indefensible policy of war based on lies, guaranteeing exactly the kind of future so chillingly outlined in Schwarzenegger's "Terminator" movies.

We no longer need an excuse to kill anyone with impunity. Of course, in our materialistic American coma we have apparently become too dumbed down to realize that what happens "out there" will soon happen "in here," but by the time that the neocon self-immolation of America finally dawns on us, it will be way too late to do anything about it. Perhaps it already is.

As the globalized American war machine spreads its flaming hell around the globe, the heart of the devil (enthusiastically cheered on by many who call themselves preachers) beats loudly in inane American hamlets among those silent morons who simply refuse to acknowledge what is being done in their names, who simply refuse to realize that what is happening to those faceless strangers so far away is one day soon also going to happen to us, right here.

For more information go to <<http://www.johnkaminski.com/>>
<skylax@comcast.net>

THE FIRST CRACKS

Time to consider Iraq withdrawal

Financial Times

September 10 2004 - This week a macabre milestone was passed in Iraq. More than 1,000 American soldiers have now been killed since the US-led invasion of the country began nearly 18 months ago. The overwhelming majority lost their lives after President George W. Bush declared major combat operations over in his now infamous "Mission Accomplished" photo-opportunity in May last year.

In that time, an unknown number of mostly civilian Iraqis, certainly not less than 10,000 and possibly three times that number, have perished, and hundreds more are dying each week. After an invasion and occupation that promised them freedom, Iraqis have seen their security evaporate, their state smashed and their country fragment into a lawless archipelago ruled by militias, bandits and kidnappers.

The transitional political process, designed to lead to constituent assembly and general elections next year, has been undermined because the nervous US-dominated occupation authority has insisted on hand-picking various permutations of interim Iraqi governors, mostly exiles or expatriates with no standing among their people. Whatever Iraqis thought about the Americans on their way in - and it was never what these emigré politicians told Washington they would be thinking - an overwhelming majority now views US forces as occupiers rather than liberators and wants them out.

The aftermath of a war won so quickly has been so utterly bungled, moreover, that the US is down to the last vestiges of its always exiguous allied support, at the time when Iraq needs every bit of help it can get. The occupation has lost control of big swathes of the country. Having decided that all those who lived and worked in Iraq under Saddam Hussein bore some degree of collective guilt, Washington's viceroys purged the country's armed forces, civil service and institutions to a degree that broke the back of the state, marginalised internal political forces, sidelined many with the skills to rebuild Iraq's services and utilities and, of course, fuelled an insurgency US forces have yet to identify accurately, let alone get to grips with.

There are signs that US officials are beginning to "get it" - in the phrase Donald Rumsfeld, US defence secretary, patronisingly used this week to characterise Iraqis' grasp of the security situation. But if they are increasingly aware that what they have created in Iraq is a disaster, they seem at a loss to know what to do about it.

The core question to be addressed is this: is the continuing presence of US military forces in Iraq part of the solution or part of the problem?

As occupying power, the US bears responsibility for Iraq under international law, and is duty-bound to try to leave it in better shape than it found it. But there is no sign of that happening.

The time has therefore come to consider whether a structured withdrawal of US and remaining allied troops, in tandem with a workable handover of security to Iraqi forces and a legitimate and inclusive political process, can chart a path out of the current chaos.

Faced with a withdrawal timetable, Iraqis who currently feel helpless will know that the opportunity to craft a better future lies in their hands.

Take security. Iraqi forces are being rebuilt to take over front-line tasks. This is slow work, but that is not the real problem. It is that those forces already trained cannot stand alongside a US military that daily rains thousands of tonnes of projectiles and high explosives on their compatriots. Each time there is a siege of Fallujah or Najaf, with the US using firepower that kills civilians by the hundred, these Iraqi forces melt away. Until eventual withdrawal, there would have to be a policy of military restraint, imposed above all on those US commanders who have operated without reference to their own superiors, let alone the nationally sovereign Iraqi government.

Politically, if next year's elections are to have any chance of reflecting the will of the Iraqi people, the process must be opened up. Last month's national conference or proto-assembly was monopolised by expatriate politicians aligned with the interim government of Iyad Allawi. The only way national coalitions can be woven from Iraq's religious and ethnic patchwork is by including the opposition to the occupation. That means negotiating with the insurgents, probably through religious leaders of the stature of Ayatollah Ali al-Sistani. It also means an

amnesty, which should help Iraqi authorities acquire the legitimacy to crush jihadist and other hold-outs.

Ideally, the US would accompany withdrawal by stating it has no intention of establishing bases in Iraq, and instead wishes to facilitate regional security agreements. That would be more stabilising than the current policy of bullying neighbours such as Iran and Syria, whose borders with Iraq the US in any case cannot control.

None of this will be less than messy. But whether Mr Bush or John Kerry wins the upcoming election, the US will eventually have to do something like this. Chaos is a great risk, and occupiers through the ages have pointed to that risk as their reason for staying put. But chaos is already here, and the power that is in large part responsible for it must start preparing now to step aside and let the Iraqis try to emerge from it.

<http://news.ft.com/cms/s/1a93c6de-02ca-11d9-a968-00000e2511c8.html> >

RUNNING AWAY

Fritjof Meyer withdraws from the public debate

Robert Faurisson

In May of 2002 Fritjof Meyer, editor-in-chief of the magazine *Der Spiegel*, published in the monthly *Osteuropa*, whose editorial commission is headed by Rita Süßmuth, former president of the Bundestag, an article (p. 631-641) entitled "Die Zahl der Opfer von Auschwitz. Neue Erkenntnisse durch neue Archivfunde" ("The number of victims of Auschwitz. New figures through the discovery of new archives"). Rejecting the figure of 4,000,000 victims (the official one until 1990) and that of 1,500,000 (no less official, but steadily revised since 1995), he boldly proposed the "presumed" figure of 510,000 dead, of whom probably 356,000 killed by gassing. He stated that this "genocide" had "most likely" been perpetrated "predominantly" (*überwiegend*) outside the camp, in the "White Farm" or "Bunker I" and the "Red Farm" or "Bunker II". For the guardians of the holocaustic faith this latter assertion contravened the dogma holding that the gassings had been carried out, very predominantly, in the four great crematories of Auschwitz-Birkenau.

From July 2002 revisionist publications announced this spectacular revision coming from an author who in his study had condemned what is called Nazi barbarism. In November *The Journal of Historical Review* presented an account by Mark Weber on pages 24-28 of its issue dated May-August of that year. In February 2003 the first issue of Germar Rudolf's *The Revisionist* carried an essay on the subject by Carlo Mattogno (p. 30-37). In Germany itself, the review *Nation und Europa* launched and maintained a long revisionist campaign on the theme of F. Meyer's "revisionism". Wieland Körner dealt with the matter in a brief work entitled *Die neue Sicht von Auschwitz* ("The New View of Auschwitz"), January 2004, Durchblick-Bücher, PF 33 04 04, D 28334 Bremen.

Some orthodox authors indeed found it necessary to break the silence at their end. In *Die Welt* of 28 August 2002 Sven Felix Kellerhof opened fire by bemoaning the fact that a "key witness of the liberal left" had lost his way and gone to the aid of the "Holocaust deniers". There followed a controversy, with F. Meyer protesting his good intentions and "antifascist" convictions. In turn Franciszek Piper, the Polish communist and former curator of the Auschwitz Museum, entered the fray. F. Meyer made a rejoinder. The affair began to grow nasty. Certain revisionists cleverly forced the German judicial authorities to explain their failure to prosecute F. Meyer. Their answer: the author had doubtless come forth with reduced figures but he had done so without minimising the gravity of the crime (for the full text of the Lüneberg public prosecutor's reply, see *Recht und Wahrheit*, n° 11 & 12, p. 16-17, published in Tenerife).

Eventually, with the business nonetheless taking a more and more irksome turn for him, the editor-in-chief of *Der Spiegel* preferred to throw in the towel. In a short piece dated 12 February he stated that, in view of the profit that the revisionists had garnered from his article and their intention in future to persist in "instrumentalising" his arguments, he preferred to withdraw from the public debate. In closing, he called for a mobilisation against fascists wherever they might be. He confided his decision to the "Information Service against Rightwing Extremism" (*Informationsdienst gegen Rechtsextremismus*) directed by Albrecht Kolthoff who, for

his part, in a text of 23 February, said that, although he understood F. Meyer's decision, he lamented it.

<<http://www.idgr.de/texte/geschichte/ns-verbrechen/fritjof-meyer/meyer-040212.php>>

6 September 2004

US GESTAPO

Dear US citizen

the concentration camp of the new regime is ready to accommodate you ! All inclusive, comes with the usual SS specialized units ! Enjoy !

Tuesday, August 31, 2004

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY CONCENTRATION CAMPS: Locations and Executive

There over 800 prison camps in the United States, all fully operational and ready to receive prisoners. They are all staffed and even surrounded by full-time guards, but they are all empty. These camps are to be operated by FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) should Martial Law need to be implemented in the United States and all it would take is a presidential signature on a proclamation and the attorney general's signature on a warrant to which a list of names is attached. **Ask yourself** if you really want to be on Ashcroft's list.

The Rex 84 Program was established on the reasoning that if a "mass exodus" of illegal aliens crossed the Mexican/US border, they would be quickly rounded up and detained in detention centers by FEMA. **Rex 84 allowed many military bases to be closed down and to be turned into prisons.**

Operation Cable Splicer and Garden Plot are the two sub programs which will be implemented once the Rex 84 program is initiated for its proper purpose. Garden Plot is the program to control the population. Cable Splicer is the program for an orderly takeover of the state and local governments by the federal government. FEMA is the executive arm of **the coming police state** and thus will head up all operations. The Presidential Executive Orders already listed on the Federal Register also are part of the legal framework for this operation.

The camps all have railroad facilities as well as roads leading to and from the detention facilities. Many also have an airport nearby. The majority of the camps can house a population of 20,000 prisoners.

Currently, the largest of these facilities is just outside of Fairbanks, Alaska. The Alaskan facility is a massive mental health facility and can hold approximately 2 million people.

Now let's review the justification for any actions taken...

Executive Orders associated with FEMA that would suspend the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. These Executive Orders have been on record for nearly 30 years and could be enacted by the stroke of a Presidential pen:...

EXECUTIVE ORDER 10990

allows the government to take over all modes of transportation and control of highways and seaports.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 10995

allows the government to seize and control the communication media.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 10997

allows the government to take over all electrical power, gas, petroleum, fuels and minerals.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 10998

allows the government to seize all means of transportation, including personal cars, trucks or vehicles of any kind and total control over all highways, seaports, and waterways.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 10999

allows the government to take over all food resources and farms.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11000

allows the government to mobilize civilians into work brigades under government supervision.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11001

allows the government to take over all health, education and welfare functions.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11002

designates the Postmaster General to operate a national registration of all persons.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11003 allows the government to take over all airports and aircraft, including commercial aircraft.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11004 allows the Housing and Finance Authority to relocate communities, build new housing with public funds, designate areas to be abandoned, and establish new locations for populations.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11005

allows the government to take over railroads, inland waterways and public storage facilities.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11051

specifies the responsibility of the Office of Emergency Planning and gives authorization to put all Executive Orders into effect in times of increased international tensions and economic or financial crisis.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11310

grants authority to the Department of Justice to enforce the plans set out in Executive Orders, to institute industrial support, to establish judicial and legislative liaison, to control all aliens, to operate penal and correctional institutions, and to advise and assist the President.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11049

assigns emergency preparedness function to federal departments and agencies, consolidating 21 operative Executive Orders issued over a fifteen year period.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 11921

allows the Federal Emergency Preparedness Agency to develop plans to establish control over the mechanisms of production and distribution, of energy sources, wages, salaries, credit and the flow of money in U.S. financial institution in any undefined national emergency. It also provides that when a state of emergency is declared by the President, Congress cannot review the action for six months. The Federal Emergency Management Agency has broad powers in every aspect of the nation. General Frank Salzedo, chief of FEMA's Civil Security Division stated in a 1983 conference that he saw FEMA's role as a "new frontier in the protection of individual and governmental leaders from assassination, and of civil and military installations from sabotage and/or attack, as well as prevention of dissident groups from gaining access to U.S. opinion, or a global audience in times of crisis." FEMA's powers were consolidated by President Carter to incorporate the...

National Security Act of 1947

allows for the strategic relocation of industries, services, government and other essential economic activities, and to rationalize the requirements for manpower, resources and production facilities.

1950 Defense Production Act

gives the President sweeping powers over all aspects of the economy.

Act of August 29, 1916

authorizes the Secretary of the Army, in time of war, to take possession of any transportation system for transporting troops, material, or any other purpose related to the emergency.

International Emergency Economic Powers Act

enables the President to seize the property of a foreign country or national. These powers were transferred to FEMA in a sweeping consolidation in 1979.

Where are these camps?

ALABAMA Opelika - Military compound either in or very near town.

Aliceville - WWII German POW camp - capacity 15,000 Ft. McClellan

(Anniston) - Opposite side of town from Army Depot; Maxwell AFB (Montgomery)

- Civilian prison camp established under Operation Garden Plot, currently operating with support staff and small inmate population.

Talladega - Federal prison "satellite" camp.

ALASKA Wilderness - East of Anchorage. No roads, Air & Railroad access only. Estimated capacity of 500,000 Elmendorf AFB - Northeast area of Anchorage - far end of base. Garden Plot facility.

Eielson AFB - Southeast of Fairbanks. Operation Garden Plot facility.

Ft. Wainwright - East of Fairbanks

ARIZONA Ft. Huachuca - 20 miles from Mexican border, 30 miles from Nogales Rex '84 facility.

Pinal County - on the Gila River - WWII Japanese detention camp. May be renovated.

Yuma County - Colorado River - Site of former Japanese detention camp (near proving grounds). This site was completely removed in 1990 according to some reports.

Phoenix - Federal Prison Satellite Camp. Main federal facility expanded.
Florence - WWII prison camp NOW RENOVATED, OPERATIONAL with staff & 400 prisoners, operational capacity of 3,500.
Wickenburg - Airport is ready for conversion; total capacity unknown.
Davis-Monthan AFB (Tucson) - Fully staffed and presently holding prisoners!! Sedona - site of possible UN base.

ARKANSAS Ft. Chaffee (near Fort Smith, Arkansas) - Has new runway for aircraft, new camp facility with cap of 40,000 prisoners Pine Bluff
Arsenal - This location also is the repository for B-Z nerve agent, which causes sleepiness, dizziness, stupor; admitted use is for civilian control. Jerome - Chicot/Drew Counties - site of WWII Japanese camps Rohwer
- Descha County - site of WWII Japanese camps Blythville AFB - Closed airbase now being used as camp. New wooden barracks have been constructed at this location. Classic decorations - guard towers, barbed wire, high fences. Berryville - FEMA facility located east of Eureka Springs off Hwy. 62. Omaha - Northeast of Berryville near Missouri state line, on Hwy 65 south of old wood processing plant. Possible crematory facility.

CALIFORNIA Vandenburg AFB - Rex 84 facility, located near Lompoc & Santa Maria. Internment facility is located near the oceanside, close to Space Launch Complex #6, also called "Slick Six". The launch site has had "a flawless failure record" and is rarely used. Norton AFB - (closed base) now staffed with UN according to some sources. Tule Lake - area of "wildlife refuge", accessible by unpaved road, just inside Modoc County. Fort Ord - Closed in 1994, this facility is now an urban warfare training center for US and foreign troops, and may have some "P.O.W. - C.I." enclosures. Twentynine Palms Marine Base - Birthplace of the infamous "Would you shoot American citizens?" Quiz. New camps being built on "back 40". Oakdale - Rex 84 camp capable of holding at least 20,000 people. 90 mi. East of San Francisco. Terminal Island - (Long Beach) located next to naval shipyards operated by ChiCom shipping interests. Federal prison facility located here. Possible deportation point
Ft. Irwin - FEMA facility near Barstow. Base is designated inactive but has staffed camp.
McClellan AFB - facility capable for 30,000 - 35,000
Sacramento - Army Depot - No specific information at this time.
Mather AFB - Road to facility is blocked off by cement barriers and a stop sign. Sign states area is restricted; as of 1997 there were barbed wire fences pointing inward, a row of stadium lights pointed toward an empty field, etc.
Black boxes on poles may have been cameras.

COLORADO Trinidad - WWII German/Italian camp being renovated. Granada - Prowers County - WWII Japanese internment camp Ft. Carson - Along route 115 near Canon City

CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE No data available.

FLORIDA Avon Park - Air Force gunnery range, Avon Park has an on-base "correctional facility" which was a former WWII detention camp.
Camp Krome - DoJ detention/interrogation center, Rex 84 facility Eglin AFB - This base is over 30 miles long, from Pensacola to Hwy 331 in De Funiak Springs. High capacity facility, presently manned and populated with some prisoners. Pensacola - Federal Prison Camp Everglades - It is believed that a facility may be carved out of the wilds here.

GEORGIA Ft. Benning - Located east of Columbus near Alabama state line. Rex 84 site - Prisoners brought in via Lawson Army airfield.
Ft. Mc Pherson - US Force Command - Multiple reports that this will be the national headquarters and coordinating center for foreign/UN troop movement and detainee collection.
Ft. Gordon - West of Augusta - No information at this time. Unadilla - Dooly County - Manned, staffed FEMA prison on route 230, no prisoners. Oglethorpe - Macon County; facility is located five miles from Montezuma, three miles from Oglethorpe. This FEMA prison has no staff and no prisoners. Morgan - Calhoun County, FEMA facility is fully manned & staffed - no prisoners. Camilla - Mitchell County, south of Albany. This FEMA facility is located on Mt. Zion Rd approximately 5.7 miles south of Camilla. Unmanned - no prisoners, no staff.
Hawkinsville - Wilcox County; Five miles east of town, fully manned and staffed but no prisoners. Located on fire road 100/Upper River Road Abbeville - South of Hawkinsville on US route 129; south of town off route 280 near Ocmulgee River. FEMA facility is staffed but without prisoners.

McRae - Telfair County - 1.5 miles west of McRae on Hwy 134 (8th St). Facility is on Irwinton Avenue off 8th St., manned & staffed - no prisoners. Fort Gillem - South side of Atlanta - FEMA designated detention facility. Fort Stewart - Savannah area - FEMA designated detention facility

HAWAII Halawa Heights area - Crematory facility located in hills above city. Area is marked as a state department of health laboratory.

Barbers Point NAS - There are several military areas that could be equipped for detention / deportation. Honolulu - Detention transfer facility at the Honolulu airport similar in construction to the one in Oklahoma (pentagon-shaped building where airplanes can taxi up to).

IDAHO Minidoka/Jerome Counties - WWII Japanese-American internment facility possibly under renovation. Clearwater National Forest - Near Lolo Pass - Just miles from the Montana state line near Moose Creek, this unmanned facility is reported to have a nearby airfield. Wilderness areas - Possible location. No data.

ILLINOIS Marseilles - Located on the Illinois River off Interstate 80 on Hwy 6. It is a relatively small facility with a cap of 1400 prisoners. Though it is small it is designed like prison facilities with barred windows, but the real smoking gun is the presence of military vehicles.

Being located on the Illinois River it is possible that prisoners will be brought in by water as well as by road and air. This facility is approximately 75 miles west of Chicago. National Guard training area nearby.

Scott AFB - Barbed wire prisoner enclosure reported to exist just off-base. More info needed, as another facility on-base is believed to exist.

PeKin - This Federal satellite prison camp is also on the Illinois River, just south of Peoria. It supplements the federal penitentiary in Marion, which is equipped to handle additional population outside on the grounds.

Chanute AFB - Rantoul, near Champaign/Urbana - This closed base had WWII - era barracks that were condemned and torn down, but the medical facility was upgraded and additional fencing put up in the area. More info needed.

Marion - Federal Penitentiary and satellite prison camp inside Crab Orchard Nat'l Wildlife Refuge. Manned, staffed, populated fully. Greenfield - Two federal correctional "satellite prison camps" serving Marion - populated as above. Shawnee National Forest - Pope County - This area has seen heavy traffic of foreign military equipment and troops via Illinois Central Railroad, which runs through the area.

Suspected location is unknown, but may be close to Vienna and Shawnee correctional centers, located 6 mi. west of Dixon Springs.

Savanna Army Depot - NW area of state on Mississippi River.

Lincoln, Sheridan, Menard, Pontiac, Galesburg - State prison facilities equipped for major expansion and close or adjacent to highways & railroad tracks.

Kankakee - Abandoned industrial area on west side of town (Rt.17 & Main) designated as FEMA detention site. Equipped with water tower, incinerator, a small train yard behind it and the rear of the facility is surrounded by barbed wire facing inwards.

INDIANA Indianapolis / Marion County - Amtrak railcar repair facility (closed); controversial site of a major alleged detention / processing center. Although some sources state that this site is a "red herring", photographic and video evidence suggests otherwise. This large facility contains large 3-4 inch gas mains to large furnaces (crematoria??), helicopter landing pads, railheads for prisoners, Red/Blue/Green zones for classifying/processing incoming personnel, one-way turnstiles, barracks, towers, high fences with razor wire, etc. Personnel with government clearance who are friendly to the patriot movement took a guided tour of the facility to confirm this site. This site is located next to a closed refrigeration plant facility.

Ft. Benjamin Harrison - Located in the northeast part of Indianapolis, this base has been decommissioned from "active" use but portions are still ideally converted to hold detainees. Helicopter landing areas still exist for prisoners to be brought in by air, land & rail. Crown Point - Across street from county jail, former hospital. One wing presently being used for county work-release program, 80% of facility still unused. Possible FEMA detention center or holding facility. Camp Atterbury - Facility is converted to hold prisoners and boasts two active compounds presently configured for minimum security detainees. Located just west of Interstate 65 near Edinburgh, south of Indianapolis.

Terre Haute - Federal Correctional Institution, Satellite prison camp and death facility. Equipped with crematoria reported to have a capacity of 3,000 people a day. FEMA designated facility located here.

Fort Wayne - This city located in Northeast Indiana has a FEMA designated detention facility, accessible by air, road and nearby rail.

Kingsbury - This "closed" military base is adjacent to a state fish & wildlife preserve. Part of the base is converted to an industrial park, but the southern portion of this property is still used. It is bordered on the south by railroad, and is staffed with some foreign-speaking UN troops. A local police officer who was hunting and camping close to the base in the game preserve was accosted, roughed up, and warned by the English-speaking unit commander to stay away from the area. It was suggested to the officer that the welfare of his family would depend on his "silence".

Located just southeast of LaPorte. Jasper-Pulaski Wildlife Area - Youth Corrections farm located here. Facility is "closed", but is still staffed and being "renovated". Total capacity unknown.

Grissom AFB - This closed airbase still handles a lot of traffic, and has a "state-owned" prison compound on the southern part of the facility.

UNICOR . Jefferson Proving Grounds - Southern Indiana - This facility was an active base with test firing occurring daily. Portions of the base have been opened to create an industrial park, but other areas are still highly restricted. A camp is believed to be located "downrange". Facility is equipped with an airfield and has a nearby rail line.

Newport - Army Depot - VX nerve gas storage facility. Secret meetings were held here in 1998 regarding the addition of the Kankakee River watershed to the Heritage Rivers Initiative.

Hammond - large enclosure identified in FEMA-designated city.

IOWA No data available.

KANSAS Leavenworth - US Marshal's Fed Holding Facility, US Penitentiary, Federal Prison Camp, McConnell Air Force Base. Federal death penalty facility. Concordia - WWII German POW camp used to exist at this location but there is no facility there at this time.

Ft. Riley - Just north of Interstate 70, airport, near city of Manhattan. El Dorado - Federal prison converted into forced-labor camp, UNICOR industries. Topeka - 80 acres has been converted into a temporary holding camp.

KENTUCKY Ashland - Federal prison camp in Eastern Kentucky near the Ohio River. Louisville - FEMA detention facility, located near restricted area US naval ordnance plant. Military airfield located at facility, which is on south side of city. Lexington - FEMA detention facility, National Guard base with adjacent airport facility.

Manchester - Federal prison camp located inside Dan Boone National Forest.

Ft. Knox - Detention center, possibly located near Salt River, in restricted area of base. Local patriots advise that black Special Forces & UN gray helicopters are occasionally seen in area. Land Between the Lakes - This area was declared a UN biosphere and is an ideal geographic location for detention facilities. Area is an isthmus extending out from Tennessee, between Lake Barkley on the east and Kentucky Lake on the west. Just scant miles from Fort Campbell in Tennessee.

LOUISIANA Ft. Polk - This is a main base for UN troops & personnel, and a training center for the disarmament of America. Livingston - WWII German/Italian internment camp being renovated?; halfway between Baton Rouge and Hammond, several miles north of Interstate 12.

Oakdale - Located on US route 165 about 50 miles south of Alexandria; two federal detention centers just southeast of Fort Polk.

MAINE Houlton - WWII German internment camp in Northern Maine, off US Route 1.

MARYLAND, and DC Ft. Meade - Halfway between the District of Criminals and Baltimore. Data needed. Ft. Detrick - Biological warfare center for the NWO, located in Frederick.

MASSACHUSETTS Camp Edwards / Otis AFB - Cape Cod - This "inactive" base is being converted to hold many New Englander patriots. Capacity unknown. Ft. Devens - Active detention facility. More data needed.

MICHIGAN Camp Grayling - Michigan Nat'l Guard base has several confirmed detention camps, classic setup with high fences, razor wire, etc. Guard towers are very well-built, sturdy. Multiple compounds within larger enclosures. Facility deep within forest area.

Sawyer AFB - Upper Peninsula - south of Marquette - No data available.

Bay City - Classic enclosure with guard towers, high fence, and close to shipping port on Saginaw Bay, which connects to Lake Huron. Could be a deportation point to overseas via St. Lawrence Seaway.

Southwest - possibly Berrien County - FEMA detention center. Lansing - FEMA detention facility.

MINNESOTA Duluth - Federal prison camp facility. Camp Ripley - new prison facility.

MISSISSIPPI These sites are confirmed hoaxes. Hancock County - NASA test site De Soto National Forest. "These two supposed camps in Mississippi do not exist. Members of the Mississippi Militia have checked these out on more than one occasion beginning back when they first appeared on the Internet and throughout the Patriot Movement." - Commander D. Rayner, Mississippi Militia

MISSOURI Richards-Gebaur AFB - located in Grandview, near K.C.MO. A very large internment facility has been built on this base, and all base personnel are restricted from coming near it.

Ft. Leonard Wood - Situated in the middle of Mark Twain National Forest in Pulaski County. This site has been known for some UN training, also home to the US Army Urban Warfare Training school "Stem Village". Warsaw - Unconfirmed report of a large concentration camp facility.

MONTANA Malmstrom AFB - UN aircraft groups stationed here, and possibly a detention facility.

NEBRASKA Scottsbluff - WWII German POW camp (renovated?). Northwest, Northeast corners of state - FEMA detention facilities - more data needed. South Central part of state - Many old WWII sites - some may be renovated.

NEVADA Elko - Ten miles south of town. Wells - Camp is located in the O'Neil basin area, 40 miles north of Wells, past Thousand Springs, west off Hwy 93 for 25 miles.

Pershing County - Camp is located at I-80 mile marker 112, south side of the highway, about a mile back on the county road and then just off the road about 3/4mi.

Winnemucca - Battle Mountain area - at the base of the mountains. Nellis Air Force Range - Northwest from Las Vegas on Route 95. Nellis AFB is just north of Las Vegas on Hwy 604. Stillwater Naval Air Station - east of Reno . No additional data.

NEW HAMPSHIRE / VERMONT Northern New Hampshire - near Lake Francis. No additional data.

NEW JERSEY Ft. Dix / McGuire AFB - Possible deportation point for detainees. Lots of pictures taken of detention compounds and posted on Internet, this camp is well-known. Facility is now complete and ready for occupancy.

NEW MEXICO Ft. Bliss - This base actually straddles Texas state line. Just south of Alomogordo, Ft. Bliss has thousands of acres for people who refuse to go with the "New Order". Holloman AFB (Alomogordo)- Home of the German Luftwaffe in Amerika; major UN base. New facility being built on this base, according to recent visitors. Many former USAF buildings have been torn down by the busy and rapidly growing German military force located here.

Fort Stanton - currently being used as a youth detention facility approximately 35 miles north of Ruidoso, New Mexico. Not a great deal of information concerning the Lordsburg location.

White Sands Missile Range - Currently being used as a storage facility for United Nations vehicles and equipment. Observers have seen this material brought in on the Whitesands rail spur in Oro Grande New Mexico about thirty miles from the Texas, New Mexico Border.

NEW YORK Ft. Drum - two compounds: Rex 84 detention camp and FEMA detention facility.

Albany - FEMA detention facility. Otisville - Federal correctional facility, near Middletown.

Buffalo - FEMA detention facility.

NORTH CAROLINA Camp Lejeune / New River Marine Airfield - facility has renovated, occupied WWII detention compounds and "mock city" that closely resembles Anytown, USA.

Fort Bragg - Special Warfare Training Center. Renovated WWII detention facility. Andrews - Federal experiment in putting a small town under siege. Began with the search/ hunt for

survivalist Eric Rudolph. No persons were allowed in or out of town without federal permission and travel through town was highly restricted. Most residents compelled to stay in their homes. Unregistered Baptist pastor from Indiana visiting Andrews affirmed these facts.

NORTH DAKOTA Minot AFB - Home of UN air group. More data needed on facility.

OHIO Camp Perry - Site renovated; once used as a POW camp to house German and Italian prisoners of WWII. Some tar paper covered huts built for housing these prisoners are still standing. Recently, the construction of multiple 200-man barracks have replaced most of the huts.

Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus - FEMA detention facilities. Data needed.

Lima - FEMA detention facility. Another facility located in/near old stone quarry near Interstate 75. Railroad access to property, fences etc.

OKLAHOMA Tinker AFB (OKC) - All base personnel are prohibited from going near civilian detention area, which is under constant guard. Will Rogers World Airport - FEMA's main processing center for west of the Mississippi. All personnel are kept out of the security zone. Federal prisoner transfer center located here (A pentagon-shaped building where airplanes can taxi up to). Photos have been taken and this site will try to post soon!

El Reno - Renovated federal internment facility with CURRENT population of 12,000 on Route 66.

McAlester - near Army Munitions Plant property - former WWII German / Italian POW camp designated for future use. Ft. Sill (Lawton) - Former WWII detention camps. More data still needed.

OREGON Sheridan - Federal prison satellite camp northwest of Salem.

Josephine County - WWII Japanese internment camp ready for renovation.

Sheridan - FEMA detention center. Umatilla - New prison spotted.

PENNSYLVANIA Allenwood - Federal prison camp located south of Williamsport on the Susquehanna River. It has a current inmate population of 300, and is identified by William Pabst as having a capacity in excess of 15,000 on 400 acres.

Indiantown Gap Military Reservation - located north of Harrisburg. Used for WWII POW camp and renovated by Jimmy Carter. Was used to hold Cubans during Mariel boat lift.

Camp Hill - State prison close to Army depot. Lots of room, located in Camp Hill, Pa. New Cumberland Army Depot - on the Susquehanna River, located off Interstate 83 and Interstate 76.

Schuylkill Haven - Federal prison camp, north of Reading.

SOUTH CAROLINA Greenville - Unoccupied youth prison camp; total capacity unknown.

Charleston - Naval Reserve & Air Force base, restricted area on naval base.

SOUTH DAKOTA Yankton - Federal prison camp Black Hills Nat'l Forest - north of Edgemont, southwest part of state. WWII internment camp being renovated.

TENNESSEE Ft. Campbell - Next to Land Between the Lakes; adjacent to airfield and US Alt. 41.

Millington - Federal prison camp next door to Memphis Naval Air Station.

Crossville - Site of WWII German / Italian prison camp is renovated; completed barracks and behind the camp in the woods is a training facility with high tight ropes and a rappelling deck.

Nashville - There are two buildings built on State property that are definitely built to hold prisoners. They are identical buildings - side by side on Old Briley Parkway. High barbed wire fence that curves inward.

TEXAS Austin - Robert Mueller Municipal airport has detention areas inside hangars.

Bastrop - Prison and military vehicle motor pool.

Eden - 1500 bed privately run federal center. Currently holds illegal aliens.

Ft. Hood (Killeen) - Newly built concentration camp, with towers, barbed wire etc., just like the one featured in the movie Amerika. Mock city for NWO shock- force training. Some footage of this area was used in "Waco: A New Revelation" Reese AFB (Lubbock) - FEMA designated detention facility.

Sheppard AFB - in Wichita Falls just south of Ft. Sill, OK. FEMA designated detention facility.

North Dallas - near Carrollton - water treatment plant, close to interstate and railroad.
Mexia - East of Waco 33mi.; WWII German facility may be renovated.
Amarillo - FEMA designated detention facility Ft. Bliss (El Paso) - Extensive renovation of buildings and from what patriots have been able to see, many of these buildings that are being renovated are being surrounded by razor wire.
Beaumont / Port Arthur area - hundreds of acres of federal camps already built on large-scale detention camp design, complete with the double rows of chain link fencing with razor type concertina wire on top of each row. Some (but not all) of these facilities are currently being used for low-risk state prisoners who require a minimum of supervision.
Ft. Worth - Federal prison under construction on the site of Carswell AFB.

UTAH Millard County - Central Utah - WWII Japanese camp. (Renovated?)
Ft. Douglas - This "inactive" military reservation has a renovated WWII concentration camp.
Migratory Bird Refuge - West of Brigham City - contains a WWII internment camp that was built before the game preserve was established.
Cedar City - east of city - no data available. Wendover - WWII internment camp may be renovated.
Skull Valley - southwestern Camp William property - east of the old bombing range. Camp was accidentally discovered by a man and his son who were rabbit hunting; they were discovered and apprehended. SW of Tooele.

VIRGINIA Ft. A.P. Hill (Fredericksburg) - Rex 84 / FEMA facility. Estimated capacity 45,000.
Petersburg - Federal satellite prison camp, south of Richmond.

WEST VIRGINIA Beckley - Alderson - Lewisburg - Former WWII detention camps that are now converted into active federal prison complexes capable of holding several times their current populations. Alderson is presently a women's federal reformatory.
Morgantown - Federal prison camp located in northern WV; just north of Kingwood.
Mill Creek - FEMA detention facility.
Kingwood - Newly built detention camp at Camp Dawson Army Reservation. More data needed on Camp Dawson.

WASHINGTON Seattle/Tacoma - SeaTac Airport: fully operational federal transfer center
Okanogan County - Borders Canada and is a site for a massive concentration camp capable of holding hundreds of thousands of people for slave labor. This is probably one of the locations that will be used to hold hard core patriots who will be held captive for the rest of their lives.
Sand Point Naval Station - Seattle - FEMA detention center used actively during the 1999 WTO protests to classify prisoners.
Ft. Lewis / McChord AFB - near Tacoma - This is one of several sites that may be used to ship prisoners overseas for slave labor.

WISCONSIN Ft. McCoy - Rex 84 facility with several complete interment compounds.
Oxford - Central part of state - Federal prison & satellite camp and FEMA detention facility.

WYOMING Heart Mountain - Park County N. of Cody - WWII Japanese interment camp ready for renovation.
Laramie - FEMA detention facility Southwest - near Lyman - FEMA detention facility East
Yellowstone - Manned internment facility - Investigating patriots were apprehended by European soldiers speaking in an unknown language. Federal government assumed custody of the persons and arranged their release.

OTHER LOCATIONS IN THE UNITED STATES There are many other locations not listed above that are worthy of consideration as a possible detention camp site, but due to space limitations and the time needed to verify, could not be included here. Virtually all military reservations, posts, bases, stations, & depots can be considered highly suspect (because it is "federal" land). Also fitting this category are "Regional Airports" and "International Airports" which also fall under federal jurisdiction and have limited-access areas. Mental hospitals, closed hospitals & nursing homes, closed military bases, wildlife refuges, state prisons, toxic waste dumps, hotels and other areas all have varying degrees of potential for being a detention camp area. The likelihood of a site being suspect increases with transportation access to the site, including airports/airstrips, railheads, navigable waterways & ports, interstate and US highways. Some facilities are "disguised" as industrial or commercial properties, camouflaged

or even wholly contained inside large buildings (Indianapolis) or factories. Many inner-city buildings left vacant during the de-industrialization of America have been quietly acquired and held, sometimes retrofitted for their new uses.

CANADA Our Canadian friends tell us that virtually all Canadian military bases, especially those north of the 50th Parallel, are all set up with concentration camps. Not even half of these can be listed, but here are a few sites with the massive land space to handle any population:

Suffield CFB - just north of Medicine Hat, less than 60 miles from the USA.

Primrose Lake Air Range - 70 miles northeast of Edmonton.

Wainwright CFB - halfway between Medicine Hat and Primrose Lake.

Ft. Nelson - Northernmost point on the BC Railway line.

Ft. McPherson - Very cold territory ~ NW Territories. Ft. Providence - Located on Great Slave Lake. Halifax - Nova Scotia. Dept. of National Defense reserve.... And others.

OVERSEAS LOCATIONS Guayanabo, Puerto Rico - Federal prison camp facility. Capacity unknown.

Guantanamo Bay, Cuba - US Marine Corps Base - Presently home to 30,000

Mariel Cubans and 40,000 Albanians. Total capacity unknown.

<http://www.sianews.com/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=1062>

A SHADOWY FIGURE

The Shamir Legend

By **Ratibor Petrushkin**

For a few years of Israel Shamir's publications in *Zavtra*, the newspaper has received over ten kilogram of letters and megabytes of email carefully and convincingly showing in diverse non-contradictory ways and in sundry languages that such a person doesn't and cannot exist. Altogether they produced some 27 reasons against Shamir's existence. There were deconstructions and refutations, subtle sophisms, proofs to the contrary, fact comparison analysis, content deconstruction, oaths and denunciations, exposes and requests to confess the truth.

These tangible letters with stamps and return addresses made us think that their writers are real live persons whose existence can't be doubted. That is why we began to doubt Shamir's existence. The more letters we received, the more our doubts grew. Perhaps it is our Chief Editor Prokhanov who writes all these anti-Israeli articles under Shamir's name, as hundreds of our respondents claimed? A Jew can't write like that about the Jews. Or can he?

Some factors only enhanced the confusion. First, the incredibly broad range of interests of the author called 'Israel Shamir'. It was shockingly, overwhelmingly extensive; one had to be enraptured with his learning or otherwise consider a version of a collective using this name. Indeed, Shamir is all over the place: he translates Joyce and Homer, lives in Japan, works at BBC, fights Arabs and Soviet secret services; he is a Zionist and antisemite; a socialist and a Talmud scholar: It is too much of erudition for one man!

Time and again, Israel Shamir would appear at the *Zavtra* office and cause even more disturbing questions by his appearance. Aged, but wiry, feline, but weary, tanned like a black, and curly-haired in the same way, tenacious and contemplative at once, witty and profound, similar to Prokhanov and dissimilar, he drinks his vodka with cucumber, speaks faultless Russian, but with a Jewish intonation; smiles guiltily somewhat for not being sure what is he. When I first saw him I took him for a Cuban. Once I asked him hesitantly 'Are you Shamir?' - 'Yes!' - he replied; but my doubts just increased. To dispel my doubts, I searched out his biography. It can be found at the "First unofficial Russian site of Israel Shamir"; an official site does not exist. Here it is:

"Guide, translator, journalist and writer, Shamir was born in Novosibirsk in 1947. He graduated from the elite High School of Physics and Mathematics in Academgorodok, read math in Novosibirsk University and law at Sverdlovsk Law School. Still a student, he befriended dissidents. While at Law School he wrote a Bill of rights and liberties of man in the USSR, and was expelled from the school for this work. This only strengthened his will to sacrifice his life for a just cause. In the late '60s, Shamir met Zionists in Moscow (who highly

valued Shamir's experience in samizdat (free media) and his conspiratorial abilities), and he became active in the Zionist movement. In 1968 he protested against Soviet aggression in Czechoslovakia and soon emigrated to Israel where he served in the elite paratrooper unit and took part in the 1973 war. After the war he continued his studies of law at the Hebrew university of Jerusalem. However, fate meant that his career as a lawyer would be abandoned, but he fully realised his potential as a journalist and writer.

Israel Shamir cut his teeth as a journalist at Israeli radio. Afterwards, as a freelance writer he often went to 'hot spots' such as Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia. In 1975 moved to London and joined the BBC. In 1977-79 he lived in Japan being invited by Japanese radio...

Already in 1970s Israel Shamir grew disillusioned with Zionism because of the discrimination of non-Jews in Israel. The Jewish state reminded him of Stalinist Russia and rekindled the fire of protest. That is why, returning to Israel in 1980, Shamir became active in politics. He worked as press secretary for the Israeli Socialist party (Mapam) and wrote for *Ha'aretz*. He translated Agnon (Nobel laureate) and *Ulysses* by Joyce. His best known work is *Sosna i Oliva* (Pine and Olive), a historical work on Palestine and the Arab-Israeli conflict published in 1988. In this book he sharply condemns the Israeli aggressive and criminal policies towards the Palestinians. In 1989-93 he returned to Russia as a *Ha'aretz* correspondent. At first he was enchanted with perestroika, but the real perestroika foreign policy worried him because of its strong pro-American tendency. At that time he wrote his notorious and controversial articles in *Pravda*, *Nash Sovremennik*, *Doen* and *Zavtra* (under the pen name Robert David), arguing that Soviet foreign policy was the best possible policy for the Soviet Union and for the Third World. He condemned Yeltsin and his circle for shelling the Russian parliament and called this action 'illegitimate and illegal'.

Soon, thanks to recommendations of two extremely different writers, Lev Anninsky (left) and Stanislav Kunyaev (right), Shamir joined the prestigious Russian Writers' union. In 1993 he returned to Israel and settled in Jaffa. In addition to his many articles for Israeli and Russian newspapers, he translated the classic Homer's *Odyssey*, which was published in 2000 in St Petersburg. His next big project was (is?) a translation of a 15th century Talmudic manuscript into English. In his most recent articles, Israel Shamir criticizes the Israeli left and their "Two States' solution" (the partition of Palestine into an Arab and a Jewish states)." End of the biography from the unofficial site.

At the beginning of the 20th c, such a fiery Jew could have existed; we can imagine him at that time: his thirst for greatness, dreams of a real life and heroic death; escape from a boring *shtetl* to the capital city, to the revolutionary circles, into human turmoil; the wind of revolution beat hot on his tempestuous, curly head; calumnies, pursuit, exile; decisive battles and victories; grandiose plans of both heaven on earth and world conflagration; decrees and meetings, wild exertions at work; early frictions, disagreements, resignation; challenge issued and terrible death - or reconciliation and a long peaceful old age somewhere on the shores of the Amur River among hills so beautiful in September... But such a life today? Impossible. This bio just raises more questions.

And it is the writings of this author that create the most confusion. Russians often criticise Russia, and readers explain their position by Russia's wrongs or by non-Russian soul of the Russian critics. But when a Jew steeped in the Bible and Talmud criticises not only the state of Israel but the very concept of 'the Jewish People', the familiar world around us collapses and the situation requires immediate re-interpretation.

The word "traitor" is the most frequent one in the pile of letters whose authors, using strict rules of logic, simultaneously argued Shamir's betrayal of the Jewish cause AND the impossibility of his existence as a person. They hated someone who, in their opinion, never existed. But that is the simplest interpretation.

Of course, in history and literature, we know such instances - the blind Borges in his *Story of a Warrior and a Captive* convincingly argues of "the obscure heart's desire overriding any reasons" and of stupendous difference between treason and revelation, between a turncoat and a new adept. But in Shamir's case, it is not treason because it is not clear who is the real traitor - Shamir, the state of Israel or world Jewry.

From philosophy courses, we all know the sophism about the Cretan stating that all Cretans are liars. Not every student could extricate himself from this vicious circle. Shamir's texts manifest that a Jewish state is a misnomer, while 'the Jewish people' is not a reality, but an imagined idea. Shamir's presence in the world elevates this hypothesis to the status of proven theorem. If a non-Jew wrote this he would be a liar. But if a Jew writes it, then Israel the State is based on a lie and the Jews as we know them don't exist. So we have a difficult choice: either Shamir or Israel doesn't exist, and who knows who will win. If one accepts existence of Israel the Man one has to doubt existence of Israel the State.

Or maybe Shamir is the only really existing Jew nowadays, and Berezovsky, Soros, Wolfowitz and Rabinovich: well, it's not at all clear WHO they are. Maybe the real state of Israel is trying to manifest itself in the world through such a person as Shamir, and the likes of Sharon are only getting in the way? Maybe Jews do not exist, and Shamir, after proving this, at a given moment, is already dissolving forever into the ringing Palestinian heat. Shamir himself can't reply whether he is real or not. More likely he'll agree that he doesn't exist, and will repeat the words of Joyce: I am not I, since all my molecules have changed.

Zavtra, Moscow, 20 June 2003.

THE BIG MONEY HOLE

The Pentagon's chief financial officer has offered his resignation after overseeing a spiralling defence budget look set to hit \$450 billion in 2005.

Rabbi Dov Zakheim's refused to tell journalists the exact reason for his departure on Wednesday.

However, he hinted that the task of controlling hundreds of billions of dollars in the Bush administration was exhausting.

"I'm leaving because I've served three very arduous years in this job."

Background

A former adjunct economics professor at New York's Yeshiva University, Rabbi Zakheim has spent more than 30 years working in various jobs at the Pentagon.

But he has also worked in private industry, specifically as a consultant to McDonnell Douglas and Boeing.

A conservative Republican who graduated from Jew's College in London in 1973, Zakheim first joined the Department of Defence in 1981 under former president Ronald Reagan. He was responsible for such tasks as preparing defence planning guidance for nuclear war.

Stressful Bush years

The rabbi was a senior foreign policy adviser to then - Governor George Bush during the 2000 presidential campaign. As Pentagon Comptroller and Chief Financial Officer, Rabbi Zakheim's priority has been financial management. He had intended to focus his energies on bringing business practices to the bureaucratic business of defence procurement.

But due to the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, he was forced to prepare numerous supplemental budget requests for Congress to cover the cost of those military conflicts.

The Pentagon has asked Congress for a record \$401.7 billion budget for 2005. But that does not include additional spending needed to support US wars in Iraq and Afghanistan - a sum expected to range from \$30 billion to \$50 billion.

Mismanaged?

Though the US Defence Department has long been notorious for waste, recent government reports suggest the Pentagon's money management woes have reached astronomical proportions.

A study by the Defense Department's inspector general found that the Pentagon couldn't properly account for more than a trillion dollars in monies spent. General Accounting Office report found Defence inventory systems so lax that the US army lost track of 56 aeroplanes, 32 tanks and 36 Javelin missile command launch-units.

Reuters

<<http://english.aljazeera.net/NR/exeres/635B6007-9DD0-436C-BFF6-E6521520B1C7.htm>>

SPY RING

Who is "Mega" and Where is "Dov" Zakheim ?

Bob Scheidt

Despite Israel's usual denials, the fact is that there is a huge Israeli spy ring operating inside the United States, and some of them were linked to 9-11, although the US Government, in an echo of the USS Liberty cover-up, has classified the evidence. At the top of that spy ring is a spy code-named "Mega", whom the FBI was hunting during the Clinton administration, until President Clinton ordered the FBI to stop.

As many on this Board will remember, there was known to be a high level "mole" in the Clinton Administration that was delivering the most sensitive and classified information to the Israelis. The search for this spy was terminated at about the same time as Clinton was being attacked over the Monica Lewinsky matter. [My contention is that Monica was part of a Mossad blackmail operation.]

What I find interesting is an article written in 2003 by Gordon Thomas which mentions someone named "DOV" that was communicating with the Mossad in Israel.

<<http://www.rense.com/general42/buddy.htm>>

Named in the above mentioned article are Marc Rich, pardoned by Clinton on his last day in Office. Also mentioned as supporting this "pardon" are Benyamin Netanyahu, and Ehud Barak both former Prime Ministers of Israel.

The Israeli vermin have an agenda that couldn't care less about American interests other than meeting the requirements necessary to fight Israel's wars. Israel is not America's friend, but rather America's enemy far more than any Arab Nation. The Israelis have been transferring American (nuclear) Technology to the Chinese for many years. We give them technology as our "friends" and they have friends such as China that they sell our technology to. The purpose of these illegal transfers of technology to China are to secure a ready enemy to fight the U.S. should America not succumb to Israeli demands.

Americans had better wake up to the (Zionist) Jew problem and the never ending parasitic effect of maintaining a relationship with the Israelis. The events of 9-11 only served the interests of two groups, neither of which were Arab or Islamic.

(1). 9-11 allowed the BUSH CABAL to scare Americans into accepting the current police state under Tom (Heinrich Himmler) Ridge.

(2). The Israelis were awarded massive amounts of funding as America's friend in the middle east. [...]

The article below this one, by Jeffrey Steinberg names a commission of Zionist Billionaires that fund and promote Israeli dominance within the U.S. Govt.

<<http://disc.server.com/discussion.cgi?disc=149495;article=60874;title=APFN>>

Tue Jul 20, 2004 08:06

<http://www.libertyforum.org/showflat.php?Cat=&Board=news_crime&Number=292796314>

IN THE DUNGEON

Senator who stood up to Jews, thrown in prison

Letter from Prison: **Jim Traficant Speaks**

The following is from a correspondent who wishes to remain anonymous: "I sent James Traficant a Christmas card a few months ago. I received this reply today":

Dear (anonymous),
Thank you!

Thank you for thinking of me and my family. Life is hectic and fast-paced-- and for you to have thought of me, and then to stop and take time from your precious life to write me-- is an honor. And once again, I thank you!

Most of the people who write me say they cannot understand why the government targeted me with such a passion.

Every rumor and innuendo that any political opponent would plant and foster became public and, before long, developed into an indictment without any physical evidence--only the testimony of subjects who were able to avoid jail by fabricating falsehoods about me.

Lies and half-truths became 'facts'; and ultimately became a 10 count indictment. My evidence, that would have proved they were lying, was not allowed to be presented -- either before the Congress or the Federal Court!

However, I know why I was targeted: I was not afraid of the government, and I had learned too much! The beginning of all of this was my first trial, in 1983, when I became the only American in history to defeat the U.S. Department of Justice in a RICO case-- pro se (representing myself), and me not being an attorney. They were embarrassed!

The straw that broke the camel's back was when I proved that white Christian, John Demanjuk was not the infamous Ivan the Terrible of the Treblinka death camp in Poland, as charged by the Jew-controlled government. The Jews were stunned and in shock.

The real Ivan was nine years older, taller, and had black hair and a scar on his neck; his name was Ivan Marchenko.

My investigation, in conjunction with John Demanjuk's beautiful family, proved not only that John was innocent, but also that the Justice Department knew he was not guilty -- even before they took him to court! Shame, shame!! They lied to the court -- gave false testimony and presented false witnesses -- knowing that he would be put to death! Shame!!

The Justice Department then came after me with revenge, and a passion! I lost my respect and my faith in our government. Because this case was 'too sensitive,' Congress would not even hold a hearing on my evidence... Congress, all of them, at the time -- turned their back, worried about reelection!!

The Democrats were in charge then, and they knew of my investigation in Congress... hoping I would abandon it! I did not! I sent my findings to the Israeli Supreme Court -- and they had no choice: They released white Christian, John Demanjuk! In fact, they delivered him to me at the airport in Tel Aviv, Israel, and I personally brought him home, along with his son, his son-in-law, and Israeli bodyguards!

I am proud of what I did. No one else, in the House or the Senate, would even talk to the family -- afraid of media power and the vindictiveness of the Justice Department. But even today, John Demanjuk is still being persecuted by the Jews that run the U.S. government. Shame!

The government agents [Jews] that destroyed Demanjuk's life should be in jail!

That was just the tip of the iceberg. When people saw what I had done for the Demanjuk family they came to me with unbelievable information. The information is so powerful that it is hard to believe, and the government knew I was getting sensitive information that could damage the American people's confidence in the government. People came to me with facts about Waco, Ruby Ridge, Pan Am Flight 103, Jimmy Hoffa, and the assassination of President John F. Kennedy.

It may all sound far-fetched, but I do know what happened to Hoffa and J.F.K. The government knows that I know -- and so they had to ruin my voice by destroying my credibility. I may yet divulge this information if I can get the proper forum. As you know, I was the dreaded enemy of the I.R.S. My legislation in the last I.R.S. Reform Bill changed the Burden of Proof law.

Before that, you had to prove you were innocent in a tax court. The burden of proof was on the taxpayer. The I.R.S. said you owed...but the I.R.S. did not have to prove it. Unbelievable! Now that the I.R.S. has to prove their charges, the following statistics tell the true story. Comparing One Year Before the changes to One Year After:

1. Wage Garnishments dropped from 3.1 million to 560,000;
2. Taxpayer Property Liens dropped from 680,000 to 161,000;
3. Foreclosures on individual family-owned homes dropped from 10,063 to 57!

That's right: only 57 homes in all 50 states! Fact is, the I.R.S. was seizing our homes -- over 10,000 every year-- when they had neither proof nor the right to do so! Congress allowed the I.R.S. to intimidate us and frighten us. Think about it!

And then maybe you can begin to understand why I love America but hate the Government -- and why the Government hates me. The Justice Department had to get rid of me, but I'll be damned if I would back down! America is in trouble... not from without, but from within! The Central Government has become too powerful. Citizens fear the Government. This is wrong. This is dangerous! I know the Government covered-up and promulgated LIES about Waco, Ruby Ridge, Pan Am Flight 103, Hoffa, and J.F.K. The Government knew I was right

when I called Janet Reno a traitor. Janet Reno sold us out when she refused to investigate a \$10-million payoff to the Democratic Party from a general in the Red Chinese Army (no less!).

Think about it! And the Government knew that I had known why Reno was forced to betray America! I'm proud that I tried to do something about it! Someday the truth will come out. (I hope China never attacks us!) Many of you have asked what you can do for me. I appreciate this. You can help my family:

Mrs. Tish Traficant, 429 N. Main Street, Poland, OH 44514

Thank you for remembering me... for thinking of me...
for caring!

James Traficant

31213-060, P.O. Box 9009, F.C.I. Ray Brook, Ray Brook, NY 12977

BIG MONEY

Fiscal analysis: All About Money by Fred Cederholm

By printing currency, loosening credit, and "buying" government securities, the Fed has "created" \$2,033,800,000,000 additional dollars for Uncle Sugar--in three and a half years!

I've been thinking about the US dollar, the M3 money supply, reserve currencies, OPEC, Saddam, and petrodollars. There is so much more to the story behind our money than what bucks we each have, what bucks we spend, what bucks are out there, and how those bucks are used/valued by the global financial community. Our currency is "created" through the Federal Reserve Banking System of the United States. The Fed does this both by actually printing paper bills, and through manipulation of monetary policy--the expansion and contraction of credit/lending requirements. It also increases the money supply--putting more money in the hands of the "public"--by buying government securities in the market.

As strange as it sounds, the Federal Reserve pays for these by virtually creating the money out of thin air and transferring it to those people/entities selling the securities! There is no longer anything tangible behind the Federal Reserve Note--no links to gold or silver since 1971--only the full faith and "credit" of the United States of America.

Money supply is defined in terms of "M's." The M1 refers to the printed currency and all deposits in checking accounts (demand deposits), and unredeemed/outstanding travelers checks. The M2 picks up the M1 components plus all time-related deposits--savings deposits, small CD's and non-institutional money market funds. The M3 picks up the M2 components plus all large time deposits, institutional money-market funds, short-term repurchase agreements, along with eurodollar holdings.

In January 2001, the M3 stood at \$7,249,900,000,000; at the end of June 2004 the M3 stood at \$9,283,700,000,000. By printing currency, loosening credit, and "buying" government securities, the Fed has "created" \$2,033,800,000,000 additional dollars for Uncle Sugar--in three and a half years!

This doesn't mean that Americans (or American businesses) have that much more money in their mattresses, bank accounts, or billfolds. The bulk of that whopping increase was added to outstanding reserve currencies. A reserve currency is one that is held by other governments and institutions as part of their foreign exchange reserves. They've accumulated that much because this nation buys so many more goods/products/services than we sell; and consumes so much more capital than we generate--an infusion of ONE AND ONE HALF BILLION dollars a day is presently required.

The US dollar is the "official" currency of OPEC. If you are a nation who needs OPEC oil, those purchases are priced/denominated in dollars. You are forced to pay in dollars, or in your currency's spot dollar valuation at the closing. Think of this as a US dollar monopoly within the OPEC oil cartel--hence, more and more dollars accumulate in the oil producing nations' treasuries.

In November 2000, Saddam Hussein broke that US dollar monopoly by denominating Iraqi oil pricing/sales in Euros. Saddam was even lobbying other OPEC members to do likewise. While this made the French, the Germans and other members of the European Union very happy; it made Washington D.C most unhappy. The implications to the dollar as the world's reserve currency, the US deficits (both trade and capital), the outstanding debt and its

essential foreign rollovers and the US stock markets were tremendous--and all implications were negative.

The Saddam regime is now history. The evil dictator was preemptively/presumedly ousted because of his WMD's and his ties to terrorism, and the US dollar is back as "OPEC's currency." The trillions of petrodollars (US dollars earned from the sale of oil) give oil-producing nations the ability to do serious shopping in these United States--stocks, bonds, real estate, corporations, partnerships, government securities, etc.

We can only hope and pray that politicians are never offered for sale on the internet's sales portals.

I'm Fred Cederholm and I've been thinking. You should be thinking, too.

Fred Cederholme can be reached at asklet@rochelle.net.

WORDS

Why do the Zionists use the word Holocaust ?

By Jocelyn Braddell

The Establishment of the Israeli Nation

Indeed why is this word used by the Zionists? It has become part and parcel of the establishment of the Israeli State in Palestine. This word has dedicated memorials and museums all over the world to the victims of Nazi Germany's Concentration Camps, exclusively Jews. What other memorials are there to the dead from British concentration Camps in south Africa; to those in Palestine; to those from World War II - gypsies, and Communists; to those in Soviet Russian Gulags? If any, the word "holocaust" is never used.

To examine this it is necessary to enquire into the earliest days of the Zionist mind-set, debate and propaganda at the beginning of the 20th Century. A volume of *History: Zionism in the Age of the Dictators, A Reappraisal*, by Lenni Brenner (1983) gives all the data required.

It has to be emphasised that the Zionist project for an Israeli Nation State was not founded on religious principles. To quote Brenner : Max Nordau gave an interview to Edward Drumont's rabid anti-semitic Newspaper, *La Libre Parole*, on Dec.21, 1903, in which he said that Zionism was not a question of religion, but exclusively of race, and "there is no one with whom I am in greater agreement on this point than M. Drumont."

Elsewhere Brenner writes "throughout the First World War the German Zionists passionately supported their own government. For all their grandiose intellectual pretensions, their *volkisch* Zionism was simply an imitation of German Nationalist ideology... Labour Zionism became a kind of counter-culture sect, denouncing Jewish Marxists for their internationalism, and the Jewish middle-class as parasitic exploiters of the "host" nations... Germany's Jews (0.9% of the population) were generally prosperous: 60% of those were businessmen or professionals; the rest artisans, clerks, students, with only insubstantial numbers of industrial workers.

In addition Brenner quotes Vladek: "It is my contention that the main purpose of the Transfer (of Jews to Palestine) is not to rescue the Jews from Germany, but to strengthen various institutions in Palestine" and furthermore points out "the Jewish Organisations were not interested in the vast majority of Germany's Jews, since these were not Zionists, did not speak Hebrew, were too old and of course did not have the "right" trades. As Jabotinsky observed: Zionism is a colonising adventure and therefore it stands or falls by the question of armed force. To make quite sure that his readers understand the implications Brenner quotes a Robert Gessner who stated that "We want a Jewish Empire. Just like there is the Italian or French on the Mediterranean, we want a Jewish Empire."

In considering other European Jews who had already emigrated to Palestine Brenner writes of the Poles, who " were solving their own problems, but their tiny establishments could never become the basis of a Zionist economy, an absolute essential if they were ever to wrest the country from the Arabs." He goes on to point out that eventually the land boom collapsed, leading to the ruin of many of the little shopkeepers and large unemployment in the building trades;.... although the fall in prices suited the JNF, they now had to cope with the needs of the unemployed. The experience produced drastic policy changes, and it was then determined that they could not afford the social costs of petty-bourgeois immigration."

As early as 1924 Weizmann began to denounce the new settlers, whom he saw as carrying with them "the atmosphere of the ghetto", and he warned that "we are not building our

National Home on the model of Djika and Nalevki (ghettos)... here we have reached home and are building for eternity".

These statements serve to establish that Zionist Israel is primarily a racial and economically focused nation state. The results of these limits and barriers to the wholesale transfer of Jews to Palestine, with parallel limits set by the English Mandate Forces ensured that thousands of Jews were sent with other undesirables of the German Nazi conquests to forced labour concentration camps where thousands died from typhus and the murderous brutality of the German Military who lost all sense of discipline - a mental condition that drives the core of wars (as we now see in Iraq). The end of the war culminated in a count of millions and the Jewish victims were designated "Holocaust" victims.

History of Ritual Sacrifice

To turn now exclusively to the use of this word 'holocaust' which is an English word derived from the Hebrew, olah, meaning "to go up", a religious sacrifice which is taken up onto the altar, or, more probably, whose smoke "goes up" to God, as a mediation between God and man, when it is burnt:

The characteristic feature of this sacrifice is that the entire victim, a male animal, is burnt and that nothing is given back to the man, who must be in a state of ritual purity, that offers it - or to the priest.

The offering is presented by the man who lays his hands on the head of the victim which signifies that the sacrifice comes from this particular individual only.

The history of this sacrifice originated as the earliest known fundamental Hebrew sacrifice, alongside the 'communion sacrifice "zebah". The "zebah", which in the Israeli practice, presents it as a sacrifice of thanksgiving to God which also brings about union with him. But this second ritual is shared, in that the animal victim is eaten by the person offering the sacrifice, the priest, and God, whose share is laid on the altar.

The origin or myth or tradition of these two types of sacrifice is written in Judges 6;26,28 when Gideon prepares a meal for the visitation of the Angel of Yaweh, that is then transformed into a holocaust by flames that shoot out of rock. Thus "zebah" becomes "olah" because of Gideon's misunderstanding of the moment of vision. This and other similar stories created the basic rituals of the first religious sacrifices undertaken by the Israelis in Palestine, largely regarded as laws handed down by Moses. [*All that being totally mythical, of course, and not so different from what neighbouring peoples were doing in their own temples. Ed.*]

The holocaust and the communion sacrifice did not exist among the people of Mesopotamia. Some scholars have thought that the Jewish ritual which was composed during the Exile had been influenced by Babylonian ritual which appears to have been the scented burning of certain herbs, a ritual that enabled the communion of God and man through the smoke that rose up in the air. Among the Bedouin, in ancient times, offerings of flour and libations of milk were known. Indeed they used no altar in the sense that the Hebrew does. However in Southern Arabia the religious rituals practised resembled the Hebrew in many respects but there seems to have been no holocaust. Herbs and incense only were burnt at the altars. However the people of Canaan's sacrificial rituals resembled the Hebrew in every respect though given up to a variety of Gods. The ritual of holocaust at the altar is also a Greek ritual. There is of course a great deal of material in reference to ancient rituals of human sacrifice, that I will not go into. Roland De Vaux's, *Ancient Israel, Its life and Institutions* gave me all this information. And further he outlines as follows:

Sacrifice is the essential act of external worship. It is a prayer which is acted, a symbolic action which expresses both the interior feelings of the person offering it, and God's response to this prayer. It is rather like the symbolic action of the prophets. By sacrificial rites the gift made to God is accepted, union with God is achieved, and the guilt of man is taken away. But these effects are not achieved by magic; it is essential that the external action should express the true inward feelings of man, and that it should be favourably received by God. Failing this, sacrifice is no longer a religious act. Sacrifice is something more than tribute... The victims are domesticated animals which man needs to support his life - he deprives himself of them - by doing so he loses the gift, but he also gains something, for (it is supposed) the acceptance of the gift involves God in an obligation... Holocaust: transfers the gift into the realm of the invisible... The rites themselves make this even clearer; sacrifice is essentially connected with the altar, for the altar is the symbol, or the reminder, of God's presence, a mediation between God and man... From this point of view the holocaust may be considered the most perfect sacrifice, for man receives nothing of it, and all is burnt... The sacrificial code in Leviticus ascribes an expiatory (effacing sin) value to the holocaust. Blood played an important part in all animal sacrifices and according to Lv 17; 11, the blood was given to men ' to perform the rite of expiation on the

altar.'.... the expiatory sacrifice was of ancient origin in Israel, but this kind of sacrifice was developed and became much more important when great national calamities brought home to the people the sense of its own guilt, and when the nation developed a more acute sense of sin and of Yaweh's demands. [...]

Zionism in the Age of the Dictators, A Reappraisal (1983) Lenni Brenner. This book is available since a long time on the AAARGH English pages.

Ancient Israel, Its Life and Institutions, (1961) Father Roland De Vaux.

The author is quite mistaken about the origins of the word "holocaust", probably under a judeocentric influence. The word is pure Greek and was used in the Antiquity to describe some particular sacrifices to the gods, where the animal was entirely burned, so that nothing was left for the priests to eat, as it was the case for the normal sacrifices.

shamireaders' list. 27 08 04

SPY RING 2

"Mossad Hijinks" Ari Ben-Menashe on Mossad posing as "Palestinian Terrorists"

From Ari Ben-Menashe, *Profits of War: The Sensational Story of the World-Wide Arms Conspiracy*, Allen & Unwin, Sydney 1992.

Ben Menashe explains that during the Iran-Iraq war, the US and the USSR supplied Iraq with weapons, while Israel secretly supplied Iran (largely with Soviet-made weapons left over from other conflicts around the world), despite official US bans. On account of the bans and the secrecy, Israel was able to charge Iran a high price, and make quite a profit; this profit went into the "Iran Contra" slush funds.

{p. 44} AT 12:30 P.M. on January 16, 1979, four helicopters had lifted off from the grounds of Tehran's Niavaran Palace, their rotors sweeping aside the snow. There was nothing to indicate to a would-be assassin which aircraft carried His Imperial Majesty Mohammad Reza Pahlavi Aryamehr, Shahanshah of Iran, King of Kings, Shadow of the Almighty, Center of the Universe.

The Shah's departure from Iran would bring about a tumultuous upheaval in the Middle East. It would also lead to a new threat to the existence of Israel, and ultimately bring my country into fierce conflict with the United States.

{p. 45} Oil production had come to a standstill. Scores of freighters lay idle in the Persian Gulf, waiting for customs officials to return to work. Moscow had sent an aircraft to pick up 70 Soviet oil researchers and their families. Arnericans and other foreign nationals crammed onto U.S. Air Force planes. Iran was out of control; for each fanatical white-shrouded protester the troops had shot down, another had sprung up to fill the gap.

As their Imperial Majesties walked toward their silver and blue Boeing 707, two officers spontaneously turned to face each other, holding up a copy of the Koran for them to pass under. Then, as the street mobs shouted with joy and smashed the statues erected in his honor, the King of Kings, a small parcel of Iranian soil tucked in his pocket, took the controls of the aircraft and flew off into the sunless sky. The Shah's rule was over.

Israel decided to act fast to protect its interests. On board one of the last flights that El Al made into Tehran before the airport was closed were 48 Israeli aircrews, all wearing civilian clothes.

A few days later, with the full cooperation of the commander of the Iranian Air Force - who was later executed - 48 F-14 jets were flown out of Iran to an air force base in northern Sinai. (They were later sold by Israel to the Taiwanese.) As proof of the Carter administration's blindness, the U.S. had delivered these planes to the Shah in September 1978, even before the U.S. Air Force was supplied with its own. The Shah, whose regime was crumbling around him, had paid through the nose for them. The U.S. was relieved that the F14s had not fallen into the "wrong hands." The Israelis had corrected the situation.

{The following begins with some quotes from later in the book, to introduce the background to the Iran-Iraq war.}

{p. 172} While publicly mouthing words of peace, Peres had privately agreed to participate in the American double-game of arming both the Iranians and the Iraqis.

{p. 126} From March 1981 to the end of 1987 Iran spent the incredible sum of more than \$82 billion on equipment sent from the United States, Israel, Europe, South America (especially Brazil and Argentina), and South Africa. The Iranians gratefully received it all - old tanks, aircraft (including old French Mirages from Argentina), TOWs, electronics, radar systems, small arms, artillery, Hawk air-to-ground missiles, Chinese Silkworm missiles, North Korean Scud missiles, Katusha shells captured in Lebanon by Israel, cannons - hundreds of thousands of tons of weaponry, whether it came straight from the factory or was the remnant of some long-dead war. Vast profits were made by the middlemen.

Iran, maintaining an army of approximately 800,000 men, faced a formidable Iraqi military force which was adding to its already well-equipped arsenal from the Soviet Union and France. Iraq was soaking up sophisticated weapons - MiG fighters, SU fighters, and French Mirage 2000s. Like the Iranians, they too were spending a fortune. As arms suppliers, the Western world and the Soviet Union could rub their hands together in glee.

As someone has pointed out, if a question had been put to a computer about what needed to be done to: 1) get the Arabs off Israel's back; 2) part the Arabs from their money; 3) keep the Iranians contained - and part them from their money; 4) keep the oil flowing; 5) make sure the world recycled its old military equipment; 6) keep the Soviets happy; and 7) make a lot of arms dealers and defense contractors rich, it could not have come up with a better solution than the Iraq-Iran war.

{p. 68} It would be in Israel's interest to flood Iran with military equipment, but we had to be cautious. Much of the material we had was American, and if that went to Tehran without the release of the hostages and Carter's okay, there could be serious repercussions in the U.S. Congress with its Democratic majority.

{p. 91} ... the U.S. embargo against Iran covered even commercial engines. The embargo had not been lifted, but now, to boost the airline, the Iranians were not only looking for spare parts for the old fleet but hoping to buy British Tristars.

I added up the bill. The grand total was one billion dollars, give or take a million. Israel's profit - 50 percent. The slush fund looked like it was going to do very well.

The Iranians screwed up their faces at the price. They knew Israel was ripping them off. But they had little choice.

{p. 120} In February 1987 a "contribution" was made to the West Australian Labor Party by our U.S. counterparts in the CIA. In gratitude for the use of Australian soil for the transfer of arms to Iran, Richard Babayan, a contract operative for the CIA, received a check for \$6 million U.S. from Earl Brian, who was acting on behalf of Hadron, a CIA "cut-out." Babayan traveled to Perth and stayed at the home of Yosef Goldberg, an Australian businessman of Israeli origin who was well connected to Israeli intelligence and to the local Labor Party headed by Brian Burke, then premier of Western Australia. Babayan handed the check to Goldberg, who in turn gave it to Alan Bond in his role as the guardian of the John Curtin Foundation funds. This money was passed on by one of Robert Maxwell's companies in Australia to be held by the Pergamon Press Trust Fund in Moscow. Babayan later corroborated the details of this operation in a sworn affidavit.

Despite the high costs involved, profits were still made on the sales to Iran. At various times the fund reached peaks of more than \$1 billion. At its height it stood at \$1.8 billion, with money constantly coming in and going out - a huge turnover that would have made a successful conventional enterprise very envious. The Likud leaders running the government intended to use the money for three main purposes.

The first was to finance activities of Yitzhak Shamir's faction of the Likud Party. Between 1984 and 1989 no less than \$160 million was funneled to Shamir's faction, handled by the deputy minister in the Prime Minister's Office, Ehud Ulmart, who was very close to the prime minister. Other funds were contributed to the whole Likud Party, especially to its 1984 and 1988 election campaigns. That amount totaled about \$90 million.

Second, the slush fund helped finance the intelligence community's "black" operations around the world. These included funding Israeli-controlled "Palestinian terrorists" who would commit crimes in the name of the Palestinian revolution but were actually pulling them off, usually unwittingly, as part of the Israeli propaganda machine.

A key player in some of these operations was {p. 121} the former Jordanian Army Col. Mohammed Radi Abdullah, the man who was with Pearson and Davies when I made our approach to Davies. Today in his early 50s, Radi was decorated by King Hussein of Jordan for his bravery in the 1967 Middle East war. However, his family fell out with the king because

they were not willing to participate in the mass slaughter of Palestinians by the Jordanian Army in 1970. The family emigrated to London. The colonel married a woman related to Saddam Hussein and went about setting up a number of companies, including shipping offices in Cyprus and Sicily.

Radi became known as a businessman who championed Arab and Palestinian causes in Europe. But he missed his homeland and the days when he was lauded as a hero. He fell to the ways of the West, started drinking heavily and spent a fortune on gambling and women.

In the mid-1970s, to recoup his losses, Radi went to work for Pearson, who was supplying intelligence information to Israel. With Radi's unwitting help, Pearson began to acquire intelligence about Palestinian organizations in Europe. The way he did it was by selling arms to those organizations.

An arms dealer named John Knight, who ran a company called Dynavest Limited, located at 8 Waterloo Place, London SW1, and another dealer who operated out of Sidem International Limited, Appleby House, 40 St. James' Place, St. James' Street, London SW1, acquired arms from Yugoslavia. They would sell them to Radi, who would in turn sell them to the Palestinian terrorist, Abu Nidal, and other Palestinian groups. Radi was unaware of Pearson's Israeli connection, as were the others involved.

While it may seem curious that Pearson, a man working with Mossad, was encouraging a Jordanian to sell weapons to Israel's enemies, it was actually all part of a very cunning plot. In doing business with these groups, Radi learned what they were going to use their weapons for and unsuspectingly passed the information on to Pearson. Pearson, in turn, passed on to Mossad the intelligence about the movements of the groups and the number of weapons they had.

Based on Radi's unwitting tips, over a two-month period 14 or 15 Palestinians were wiped out. Word went out among the {p. 122} Palestinian groups that Radi was working for Israeli intelligence and, fearing for his life, he took a trip to Baghdad and presented his case to Abu Nidal himself. Abu

Nidal believed his story that he had been used - which he had - and put the word out that Radi was "clean." The blame was placed on Yasser Arafat's group - Palestinian factions at that time were warring among themselves.

Radi went back to his drinking and womanizing, and the money he made selling arms for Pearson all drained away. At that very vulnerable point, in 1978, Pearson stepped in again and offered Radi a 200,000 loan. This time, Pearson made it quite clear to him that the money was coming from an Israeli source. The desperate Radi accepted the loan and was recruited to work for an antiterrorist group in Israel run by Rafi Eitan.

The group's methods were rather unconventional, one could say heinous, but it had operated successfully for years. An example is the case of the "Palestinian" attack on the cruise ship Achille Lauro in 1985. That was, in fact, an Israeli "black" propaganda operation to show what a deadly, cutthroat bunch the Palestinians were.

The operation worked like this: Eitan passed instructions to Radi that it was time for the Palestinians to make an attack and do something cruel, though no specifics were laid out. Radi passed orders on to Abu'l Abbas, who, to follow such orders, was receiving millions from Israeli intelligence officers posing as Sicilian dons. Abbas then gathered a team to attack the cruise ship. The team was told to make it bad, to show the world what lay in store for other unsuspecting citizens if Palestinian demands were not met. As the world knows, the group picked on an elderly American Jewish man in a wheelchair, killed him, and threw his body overboard. They made their point. But for Israel it was the best kind of anti-Palestinian propaganda.

In 1986, Radi was involved in another slush-fund black operation - the well-documented attempt to blow up an El Al plane. Or at least what was publicly perceived to be an attempt. In fact, it was a cold, calculated plan conceived by Rafi Eitan to discredit the Syrians. At a secret meeting in Paris, Eitan told Radi that he wanted to implicate the Syrian Embassy in London in terrorism and have all the Syrian diplomats thrown out of England.{p.123}

Radi had a 35-year-old cousin, Nezar Hindawi, living in London, who had two things going for him - he was friendly with the Syrian Air Force intelligence attache in London; and he had a problem with an Irish girlfriend who told him she was pregnant. Radi went to his cousin and offered him \$50,000. At the same time he told Hindawi that he wanted him to do some work on behalf of Palestine that would also rid him of his troublesome girlfriend.

"This money I'm offering you," Radi told Hindawi, "is from our Syrian brothers on behalf of the Palestinians. We want to blow up a Zionist plane. All you have to do is make sure the girl gets onto an El Al plane with explosives in her bag."

Radi arranged for his cousin to meet the Syrian intelligence officer, and Hindawi later came away with the clear impression that what he was doing was for the Arab cause. In

accordance with his briefing, Hindawi told his 32-year-old girlfriend, Ann-Marie Murphy, a chambermaid at the Hilton Hotel on Park Lane, that he loved her and wanted to marry her. He was eager to introduce her, his future bride, to his old Palestinian parents who lived in an Arab village in Israel. He told her to go and visit them and receive their blessing. Then, when she arrived back in England, they would get married. Overjoyed, she agreed to go, not realizing that the address he gave her in Israel was bogus.

As far as Hindawi knew, the woman was going to be sacrificed. All he had to do was tell her that he wanted her to take a bag of gifts to his parents. But because he didn't want to risk her being stopped for having too much carry-on luggage, he would arrange for a "friend" who worked at the airport to pass her the bag when she entered the El Al departure lounge. She would pass through the regular Heathrow security checks and then be given the package containing the bomb.

Hindawi had been told that a Palestinian cleaner would pass the deadly package to Ann-Marie. In mid-April 1986, he kissed her goodbye and watched her walk through passport control to what he expected would be her death, along with that of all the other 400-plus passengers on board the El Al jumbo jet.

In the El Al departure lounge, an Israeli security man dressed in casual clothes - the "Palestinian cleaner" - passed the girl the parcel. She took it. But within seconds she was asked to submit to {p. 124} a search. The security people, who were in on Rafi Eitan's plan, could not afford any accidents. When the bag was opened, plastic explosives were found in a false bottom.

Ann-Marie was rushed off to be interrogated by British security. Sobbing, she told the story of the rat of a boyfriend. Police arrested Hindawi at the London Visitors Hotel, between Notting Hill and Earl's Court, after his brother convinced him to give himself up. He spilled the beans and told them that a Syrian intelligence officer had asked him to carry out the task.

But Radi was not implicated. He was under MI-5 protection. As a result, Margaret Thatcher closed down the Syrian Embassy in London. Rafi Eitan had had his way, Hindawi was jailed for 45 years, and Ann-Marie went home to Ireland where she gave birth to a daughter.

These were the kinds of black operations our slush fund was financing.

The third and last main purpose for the slush-fund money was to finance the housing projects in the West Bank and Gaza Strip for Jewish settlers who had been taking over Palestinian land there. Since many members of the U.S. Congress saw these housing projects as a provocation that would impede peace in the Middle East, a lot of U.S. aid to Israel prohibited the use of the money for building in the West Bank. As part of the coalition, the Labor Party, keen to participate in a peace conference, was also against a government project for West Bank housing.

The answer, as far as Likud was concerned, was to draw on the slush fund. Tens of millions of dollars were used in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip to help build the foundations for new Jewish settlements and to buy the land from the Arabs.

Although much land was simply confiscated and more taken through condemnation for government purposes, many Arabs, forbidden by the PLO to sell land to the Jews in the West Bank, nevertheless did so at inflated prices, even though they were putting their lives at risk should they be caught.

What they did was sell to various foreign Jewish front companies that were actually financed by the Joint Committee. Many West Bank Arabs became wealthy selling their land, taking the

{p. 125} money and emigrating to other countries. As far as Likud was concerned, it was money well spent, because it was encouraging the Arabs to emigrate, while leaving land for the Jews to move onto. Their houses would also be subsidized by the slush fund.

From: <http://users.cyberone.com.au/myers/vanunu.html>
<<http://www.fourwinds10.com/news/05-government/H-war/04-israel-palestine-war/2004/05H4-03-19-04-mossad-poses-as-palestinian-terrorists.html>>

ONE OUT OF TWO

The Spider Web

By Israel Shamir

A new discussion over future of Palestine rages in the Web. Our esteemed friend Noam Chomsky replied [1] to Noah Cohen who called his "soft" position on Palestine "Apologetics for

Injustice" [2] and was replied in turn by Steve Kowitz [3]. In brief, Chomsky proposes to remove Jewish settlements and to bring forth a Palestinian state, while maintaining the Jewish state in 1967 borders. He calls this "the only realistic solution to the present situation".

We could agree with the words of Steve Kowitz, "The two-state solution is neither a state nor a solution. It is a con game". We could stress that there is no political force or will in Israel to withdraw, to remove the settlements from the West Bank and West Jerusalem or to transfer the lands to the PNA. Moreover, recent developments, including the construction works to the East of Jerusalem, make such outcome utterly fantastic (see, for instance, Letting Israel Self-Destruct by Danny Seidemann in Washington Post Fri, 27 Aug 2004). The proposed redeployment in Gaza is the best proof that removal of settlements is too hard an objective for any Israeli government.

But this argument is irrelevant, for the settlements are not the problem, the spirit is. The prevailing mood in Israel and in the Jewish communities overseas is anything but defeatist; it is Tehran and Paris that are under threat, not Ariel or Maale Adumim. Chomsky discusses the fate of Sudetenland, while Wehrmacht marches on Champs D'Elysees. Such a discussion presumes that a heavily beaten Israel seeks peace and discusses the way to arrange for peace. But Israel is not defeated; to the contrary, the Jewish state ^ comprising its adepts abroad ^ is in the Fast Forward mode. And the dangers ahead are great ^ for all of us.

Noam Chomsky tries to deal with the problem of Palestine as if it were West Timor or East Kurdistan. But it is not: troubles of the Holy Land often have global character. Unwise step of Caliph al Hakim had sent Crusaders to our shores. When Turks tried to rearrange hours of prayer in the Church of Nativity, it ended with the light cavalry attack on Balaclava. Meddling with its holy sites has direct influence upon minds of millions.

As long as there is a Jewish state in the Holy Land and in Jerusalem, millions of ex-Christians will be tempted to accept the Judaic narrative of history and society including theological significance of Holocaust and Jewish Ingathering; millions of Jews will fervently follow their leaders, and their super-rich leaders will proceed with their mad Zionist plans. This has dire ideological consequences for all of us, as the Zionist offensive is fully integrated with another great offensive of our days, with an attempt to impose a consumerist Totalitarianism in the Brave New World. We shall call Noam Chomsky as a witness for the Crown.

Noam Chomsky in his seminal book Manufacturing of Consent (together with Ed Herman) described well the propaganda machine creating uniform vision and compliance "beyond dreams of a Stalin". In his Fateful Triangle he described the Zionist angle of this apparatus; the distortion of reality by the US media causing pro-Israeli bias in the collective consciousness of the Americans.

He should be able to notice that the same disease now engulfs West and East Europe and Russia; that the Zionist angle became a cornerstone of the new totalitarian World Order he depicted. Some 20 or 30 years ago, when these books were written, Chomsky could counterpoise Britain and Europe to the US. Not any more.

Recently, on a front page of the Sunday Times, there was a big headline "suicide bombers in London" accompanied by a photo of a Palestinian youth in a headscarf sitting under the map of Palestine. Probably passers-by and superficial readers remained convinced that Palestinians are on the way to bomb them. Careful reading of the article revealed a much less troublesome thing: during their house-to-house searches, London anti-terrorist squads came across a young Palestinian who applied a year ago to the Home Office for the refugee status. He attenuated his request by claiming that back home he was asked to become a suicide bomber. The Home Office did not believe his claim and rejected his request half a year ago. This no-news was presented as the top news on the front page of the national weekly.

Is it part of a Judaic conspiracy to besmirch Palestinians, Arabs, Muslims? You bet it is. Is it a part of a totalitarian conspiracy to train Brits to love their deep-trailing anti-terrorist squads and to give up their freedoms? You bet it is. This congruence of two conspiracies makes us wonder whether they are two, or just one.

Likewise, there is a conspiracy connected with the recent wave of terror acts in Russia (the school, the planes, the Underground explosions) and in Beer Sheba in Israel. In today's (05/09/04) Haaretz, a liberal Israeli observer Zeev Shief writes in an article Islamic Terror: from Osetia to Beer Sheba: "There is a link uniting the massacre in a school in Osetia, genocide in Sudan, bombing of a train in Madrid, terror attacks on Istanbul synagogues and explosions on Beer Sheba buses. The link is The Islamic Terror, mainly Arab Islamic Terror, the danger to the world peace".

While we agree with Shief that there is a "link" (another term for "conspiracy"), is it an "Islamic" or "Judaic" link? And I do not mean only a possibility of a False Flag operation, which is also quite possible.

There was a precedent of Achille Lauro operation, deemed a "Palestinian terrorist crime". A film and an opera *Death of Klinghoffer*, telling of a Jewish cripple's murder is vaguely based on the events of 1985, when Palestinian guerrillas took over the liner. But "that was, in fact, an Israeli 'black' propaganda operation to show what a deadly, cutthroat bunch the Palestinians were", admitted Ari Ben-Menashe, a former special intelligence advisor to Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Shamir, in his book, *Profits of War*. The operation was ordered by the Israeli secret service and organized by their Palestinian agents inside Palestinian terrorist organizations [4].

But we should consider the bigger and more dangerous Judaic conspiracy: the undue influence on discourse. A major share of the world media is concentrated in Jewish hands, well beyond wild dreams of the mythic Elders, and this share is growing daily. In Chile and Argentina, in Kazakhstan and Canada, even in far-away Finland with its tiny Jewish community, the key media belongs to Jews. In Russia every outrage of independence-seeking Chechens is immediately compared by ubiquitous Judeophilic editors to "Palestinian atrocities". In the UK, the media is cornered by a group of extreme Judeophiles from Conrad Black to Rupert Murdoch, and ethnic Jews from Michael Green to Richard Desmond. Just recently Haim Saban had bought another German TV network. As for the US, we have a good list of Jews in the media drawn by our friend Jeff Blankfort, available on the Web.

The bulk of mainstream media collaborates in both conspiracies, in the totalitarian conspiracy and in Israel-connected conspiracy. It is difficult to distinguish between prominence of Jews in the media and universities, and between their instrumentality in establishment of new totalitarianism. The ADL and SPLC, two powerful Jewish organisations, are prominent tools for establishing the police state.

ADL, another Judaic tool of ideological control, runs a network of informers, spies after activists and thinkers, and supports every oppressive undertaking of the US Government. Our friend Noel Ignatiev writes of "a recent fundraising letter from the Southern Poverty Law Center, which claims to have "the most extensive computerized files on militias and hate groups in existence," including over 11,000 photographs, reports on 14,000 individuals, and intelligence on over 3,200 groups. The SPLC boasts of having written to Attorney General Janet Reno in October 1994, before the Oklahoma City bombing, warning her of impending illegal, violent activity by white supremacist groups. It publishes Intelligence Report, which goes out regularly to over 6,000 law enforcement agencies".

Ignatiev asks: "Does this snooping and snitching foreshadow the brave new world they seek to build?" Absolutely, professor! The new world does not appear ex nihilo, it grows in the dying body of liberal democracy, and if we want to stop it we should attend to it now.

Iran Under Attack

The case of Iran is a proof of Judaic conspiracy, or if you prefer, of Jewish positions in the discourse. There are no real pragmatic reasons for the Western media to go for jugular against Iran, as it does. Iran is a peaceful far-away land of gentle women and manly men, of stucco and ceramics at the high portals of their blue mosques, of delicate illuminations and Sufi poetry. I was captivated when I saw young girls of Shiraz placing freshly-cut roses on the tomb of Sa'di, the 13 c creator of Gulistan: people who venerate their poets can't be wrong, in my book. Iranians do not travel much; they stay home, tend their rose gardens and produce best films of our time.

Now we witness the concerted Judaic drive to bomb Iran. The reasons are various: some want to bomb Iran because its women are forced to wear hijab, and others for they do not like religious states (if the religion is not a Judaic one), some perceive it as a threat to Israel, and others for Iran has no gay marriages; but the bottom line is one: bomb Iran. It is so unified that I am ready to propose a new definition of a true member of Jewry (as opposed to an individual and reluctant Jew) ^ it's one who wants to bomb Iran. The Jewish American newspaper *Forward* writes:

"AIPAC is obsessed with Iran," said a Washington executive with a major Jewish organization [5].

Charles Krauthammer calls [6] for an "urgent pre-emptive attack". He openly admits that this is the dear wish of the Jewish state, but, he says, "for Israel, attacking Iran is a far more difficult proposition. It is farther away... But there are 146,000 American troops and highly sophisticated aircraft standing by just a few miles away -- in Iraq". America exists to take Judaic orders ^ that much is clear for Krauthammer and for the *Washington Post*, his preferred tribune.

Haim Harari, a leading Israeli strategist, a theoretical physicist, former President (1988 to 2001) of the Weizmann Institute of Science gave recently a talk called *Eye of the Storm*. He says:

"Now that Afghanistan, Iraq and Libya are out, two and a half terrorist states remain: Iran, Syria and Lebanon. As a result of the conquest of Afghanistan and Iraq, both Iran and Syria are now totally surrounded by territories unfriendly to them. I do not know if the American plan was actually to encircle both Iran and Syria, but that is the resulting situation. In my humble opinion, the number one danger to the world today is Iran and its regime. It definitely has ambitions to rule vast areas and to expand in all directions. It has an ideology, which claims supremacy over Western culture. It is ruthless. It has proven that it can execute elaborate terrorist acts without leaving too many traces (read: there is no proof for this claim.- ISH). It is clearly trying to develop nuclear weapons."

One of the most popular Jewish scribes on the web, American writer Irwin N. Graulich, whose most recent article has been posted on over 100 websites worldwide, as well as in numerous publications, writes in his Let Israel Do Iran [7]:

"Iran is a terrorist organization with a flag and an example of virtually everything that could possibly be wrong with a government--totalitarian, autocratic, religious, fundamentalist and a tyranny over its populace".

The media of new totalitarianism, being Jewish-dominated media, follows this line. Let us consider the case of the Guardian, a newspaper with long record stretching back to Balfour declaration (they supported it). In May 2004, our relentless efforts to bring attention to the Neo-Cons, the right-wing Jewish fraction in Washington, as the engine behind the Iraqi war, bore fruit and splashed from Internet to the printed media. Reports appeared showing Perle and Wolfowitz pushing for the war in full accordance with their plans drawn for Benjamin Netanyahu and with doctrine of the wicked wizard Leo Strauss.

Immediately, the Guardian sprung into action. On May 25, 2004, the Guardian's main headline rolled the blame on Σ Iran. It read: "US intelligence fears Iran duped hawks into Iraq war". The report by Julian Borger quoted unidentified "some intelligence officials" who "believe that Iran used the hawks in the Pentagon and the White House to get rid of a hostile neighbour". I have no doubt that this "report" originated in JINSA milieu, but the audacity of the Guardian duty editor who pushed the vague and baseless insinuation in the front page speaks volumes of the Judaic power.

Why the Jews want to bomb Iran? Why they induced the US and partly Europe with the idea that the Iranian A-bomb is so much worse than an Israeli one? Are they afraid that nuclear Iran will defend Palestinians and stop the Israeli outrage? Alas, experience does not show that Iran either any Arab or Muslim state is ready to fight for Palestine. It is well known in Tel Aviv and in New York.

There is only one eventuality when the Doomsday weapons are liable to be brandished: if and when the Jews will destroy al-Aqsa mosque in Jerusalem to erect their temple.

The Judaic conspiracy to bomb Iran is a sterling proof that this plan is hatched and countdown began. Mezvinsky and Shahak (Jewish fundamentalism, Pluto Press) describe the immense influence of this idea. From being an obscure thought of a few nuts, the plan of the Third Temple grew into mass obsession.

If and when it will happen, Armageddon will come into news, and it won't stop at Euphrates. Let me quote Irwin Graulich once more:

"The world is divided into three groups. Those who would like Israel destroyed. Those who support nations (directly or indirectly), that want Israel destroyed. And the United States of America. [After destruction of Iran], the only serious problem remaining will be, "What the heck to do with Europe and Scandinavia?"

What to do? Mordechai Vanunu brought us irrefutable images of hundreds nuclear bombs in Israel's possession. Such an amount is not needed for subjugation of the Middle East. It points to a bigger goal.

In this situation, the discussion of Israeli withdrawal is premature, to say the least. As out of place as the discussion of German withdrawal from Prague in 1941. The "limited option" of rolling back the Jewish state does not exist, unless one considers creation of enclaves in Gaza and elsewhere as the way to Two States Solution. But if by some miraculous means, say by Aladdin's Genie, it could be done, it would not solve the problem. Even a smaller Jewish state with capital in Jerusalem would act like a focus for Jewish efforts all over the world. It will still endanger its neighbours. It will still drive crazy millions of ordinary people in the US who were bewitched by the big lie of prophecies fulfilled. It will still empower the apparatus of new totalitarianism build by the media lords, unless it is, as our friend Dr Miriam Reik proposed, just a small demilitarized Jewish statelet on 10% of the Palestinian land, encircled by a wall.

The international support for Israeli withdrawal asserted by Chomsky has very little value, for none of the international bodies and/or states dares to say "boo" to Jews. In the US, Bush and Kerry compete who loves Jews most. In Europe, last act of political will expressed by Germany was providing Israel with nuclear-capable submarines, by France ^ reciting mea culpa for Israel-organised anti-jewish actions, and by IAEA ^ turning blind eye to Dimona and demanding disarmament of Iran. PNA has very little authority and its popularity among Palestinians is in rapid decline. Arab states have no will to challenge Israeli dominance. Even the pro-Palestinian activists, instead of forcefully moving forward, argue endlessly what one may say without being targeted as "antisemite".

Chomsky writes: "Israel would oppose it (forced democratisation) by any possible means: that includes the ultimate weapons, which Israel has available and can use". The world can't acquiesce in a threat of Israel's "ultimate weapons". It is too much of a danger, much more than weapons of peaceful Iran.

I totally agree with Noam, we should look for a realistic response to the danger. However, realism and pragmatism call us ^ not to give in to the nuclear blackmail, not to daydream about Israeli withdrawal, but to eliminate the danger inherent in the Jewish state by most humane means in our disposal. Such means are transformation of the Jewish state into a state for all its citizens. Jewishness or otherwise of the citizens should not have any bearing on their status in Palestine as elsewhere; and "bi-national idea" does not conform to this rule.

For sure, Israeli elites would not like this solution: they prefer to bomb Tehran, demolish al Aqsa, establish Judaic Jerusalem as the seat of the world government. Ordinary people of Israel are ready for peace, but who asks the ordinary people's opinion? The real dilemma we face is to give in to these demands or to dismantle the Jewish state and bring forth democracy.

However, democratisation of Palestine can't be achieved until another realistic measure is attended to: the discourse should be taken out of the Judaic hands and their hold on media broken. Hundred years ago, Americans broke down the empire of Rockefeller, the mighty Standard Oil. Hundred fifty years ago they put paid to slavery. They can complete this task, as well.

Noam Chomsky, a great man with a wonderful record, can play an important role in this transformation. His Jewish background may help to promote the idea and bring its fruition by peaceful means. Though Suffragettes were women, their victory was granted by men in power. Though black slaves rebelled, the white abolitionists brought them freedom. Likewise, liberation of discourse from the Judaic clutches may be achieved by men like Noam Chomsky and Noah Cohen.

[1] (see <<http://www.zmag.org/content/showarticle.cfm?SectionID=22&ItemID=6110>>

[2] <http://mail.onepalestine.org/mailman/listinfo/staff_onepalestine.org>

[3] <http://www.axisoflogic.com/artman/publish/printer_11412.shtml>

[4] Read *Profits of War: Inside the Secret U.S.-Israeli Arms Network* by Ari Ben-Menashe or Google: Ari Ben Menashe Achille Lauro

[5] <<http://www.forward.com/main/printer-friendly.php?ref=nir20040901935>>

[6] Axis of Evil, Part Two By Charles Krauthammer *Washington Post* - July 23, 2004; Page A29

[7] <http://michnews.com/artman/publish/article_4191.shtml>

6 september 2004

AN OVERVIEW

Return to the Dark Ages Censorship is on the rise. Is it coming to America?

by Jared Taylor

Americans think of Europeans as essentially like themselves. They believe European societies are like their own—rooted in the rule of law, freedom of religion, democratic government, market competition, and an unfettered press. In recent years, however, Europeans have given up an essential liberty: freedom of speech. It is true that in the United States prevailing orthodoxies on some questions are ruthlessly enforced but it is still *legal* to say just about anything. Not so in much of Europe. In the last decade or so countries we think of as fellow democracies —France, Germany, Switzerland and others—have passed laws that limit free

speech for the same crude ideological reasons that drove the brief, unsuccessful vogue of campus speech codes in the United States.

Today in Europe there are laws as bad as anything George Orwell could have imagined. In some countries courts have ruled that the facts are irrelevant, and that certain things must not be said whether they are true or false. In others, a defendant in court who tries to explain or defend a forbidden view will be charged on the spot with a fresh offense. Even his lawyer can be fined or go to jail for trying to mount a defense. In one case a judge ordered that a bookseller's entire stock—innocent as well as offending titles—be burned!

Just as Eastern Europe is emerging from it, Western Europe has entered the thought-crime era, in a return to the mentality that launched the Inquisition and the wars of religion. It is a tyranny of the left practiced by the very people who profess shock at the tactics of Joseph McCarthy, an exercise of raw power in the service of pure ideology. The desire not merely to debate one's opponents but to disgrace them, muzzle them, fine them, *jail* them is utterly contrary to the spirit of civilized discourse. It is profoundly disturbing to find this ugly sentiment codified into law in some of the countries we think of as pillars of Western Civilization. At the same time, these laws cannot help but draw attention to the very ideas they forbid. Truth does not generally require the help of censors.

There are two subjects about which Europeans can no longer speak freely. One is race and the other is Nazi Germany. "Anti-racism" laws generally take the form of forbidding the expression of opinions that might stir up "hatred" against any racial or ethnic group. In some countries, it is now risky to say that genetic differences explain why blacks have, on average, lower IQs than whites or to say that non-white immigration should be prevented so as to preserve a white majority. There are probably parts of every issue of *American Renaissance* that could be banned in some European country, and we have an obvious interest in opposing censorship of this kind.

Far more prosecutions have taken place, however, in connection with what is called "**Holocaust revisionism**" or "**Holocaust denial**." This appears to cover any skepticism about the generally-accepted view that the Nazis had a plan to exterminate Jews and managed to kill some six million, mostly by gassing. There is considerable variety in the laws that forbid disagreement on this matter (see sidebar, page 6), but the Jewish Holocaust has become the one historical event on which people in France, Germany, Switzerland, Spain, Holland, Poland, Austria, Lithuania (and Israel) can be legally compelled to agree. It is still legal to dissent from Holocaust orthodoxy in Italy, Sweden, Denmark, Norway, Britain, Ireland, and Croatia, but there is powerful pressure in some of these countries to join the censors. Third Reich Jewish polices are of no special interest to AR, but it is outrageous that any point of view on any question be forbidden.

In the United States there is widespread complacency over this blatant thought control practiced by our closest allies. This complacency proves the utter lack of integrity of those who make principled free-speech claims for Communists, pornographers, rap "artists," and flag-burners, but who will not lift a finger to stop the persecution of "racists" and "Nazis." Liberals get dewyeyed over the First Amendment only when it suits them, and are quietly delighted to see their opponents dragged off to jail because of their opinions. Indeed, several thousand Europeans are arrested every year who, if they were leftists, would be lionized as "prisoners of conscience." Indifference, even joy, over their fate is the contemptible sentiment that prevails across the political spectrum even in America. France has had perhaps the most colorful history of modern European censorship, perhaps because it has the longest history of Holocaust revisionism.

The leftist Paul Rassinier cast doubt on accepted views as early as the 1950s, but it was in 1978 that revisionism came to the attention of a larger European public. In that and the following year Prof. Robert Faurisson of the University of Lyon published two articles in the newspaper *Le Monde* asserting that there were no execution gas chambers in the Nazi concentration camps. Mr. Faurisson, an expert at textual analysis who made his case from original documents, provoked a storm of opposition. Nine anti-racist and concentrationcamp survivor organizations brought civil and criminal suits against Prof. Faurisson for "falsification of history in the matter of the gas chambers," a curious charge brought under the French anti-racial-discrimination law of 1972. In April 1983, the Paris Court of Appeals found Prof. Faurisson innocent of "falsification of history" but found him guilty of the equally curious crime of "reducing his research to malevolent slogans," and made him pay a small fine. At the same time, the court upheld the right to express any opinion on the existence of Nazi gas chambers (presumably so long as it was not expressed "malevolently"), concluding that "the value of the

conclusions defended by Faurisson rests therefore solely with the appraisal of experts, historians, and the public.”

This was a setback to the suppressers of free speech, who responded with what is known as the Gayssot law—named for the Communist deputy who promoted it—signed into law in 1990 by President François Mitterand. This law made it a crime punishable by up to 250,000 French francs (at that time approximately \$50,000) or one year in prison or both to dispute the truth of any of the “crimes against humanity” for which Nazi leaders were charged at the Nuremberg trials. Prof. Faurisson, who had continued to publish views on the Holocaust, was the first to be convicted under this law, and was fined 100,000 francs in April, 1991, a penalty reduced on appeal to 30,000 francs. He has not given up his work and has been repeatedly found guilty of the same crime. At last count, he has also been physically assaulted ten times and on at least one occasion was nearly killed. Although the Gayssot law was controversial when it was passed, the French are now happy with it. According to a 1998 Sofres poll, 79 percent think it necessary “because one does not have the right to say anything one likes about the extermination of the Jews.” The extent of this sentiment explains why there were other convictions for Holocaust-related comments before passage of the 1990 Gayssot law. In 1987 the leader of the French National Front Jean-Marie Le Pen was fined under antiracism laws, not for denying the existence of Nazi gas chambers but merely for describing them as a “detail” or “minor point” in the history of the Second World War. Astonishingly enough, not only must a Frenchman affirm a certain historical fact, he must attribute to it a certain prescribed importance.

Another French celebrity-turned thought criminal is Brigitte Bardot, the former actress. In retirement she has become an ardent animal-rights activist and has often denounced the ritual slaughter of sheep by French Muslims during the festival that marks the end of the Ramadan fast. She has also spoken in more general terms, lamenting that “my country, France, my homeland, my land is again invaded by an overpopulation of foreigners, especially Muslims.” Like Prof. Faurisson, she is impenitent and has been fined at least three times—in 1997, 1998 and 2000—under the 1972 anti-racism law. A judge concluded that Miss Bardot was guilty of inciting “discrimination, hatred or racial violence,” and that her condemnation of Muslim practices went beyond any possible concern for animal rights.

There has been a host of other lesswell-known Frenchmen convicted under the censorship laws. In May, 1999, the editor of a small-circulation magazine *Akribieia* was fined 10,000 francs (\$2,000) and given a suspended sixmonth sentence for writing favorably about Paul Rassinier, the founder of French revisionism. At his arrest, police strip-searched Jean Plantin and confiscated his two computers and a dozen computer disks, destroying the results of several years’ research. In September 2000, a 53-year-old French high school teacher in Lemberg in the Moselle region was fined 40,000 Francs (\$8,000) and given a one-year suspended sentence for telling his students that the Third Reich gas chambers were used for delousing clothes and that the concentration camps were not extermination centers.

Censorship cases now get little attention in France unless there are unusual circumstances or the defendant is a celebrity. In July 2000, a local National Front politician in the Rhône-Alpes region, Georges Theil, was charged with “disputing the existence of crimes against humanity.” In what he thought was a private e-mail exchange and using a screen name, he had written, “Homicidal gas chambers never existed for the simple reason that they were simply and profoundly impossible.” Mr. Theil had not counted on the diligence of the French police, who tracked him down through his Internet service provider, Wanadoo, and hauled him into court where prosecutors asked for a six-month suspended sentence. Cases of this kind, which show how deeply the French police are willing to burrow into what people think are their private lives, have been completely ignored in the United States.

Two recent censorship trials that did receive international attention were “the Garaudy affair” and the successful attempt to shut down certain activities by the American Internet portal Yahoo. The Garaudy scandal is particularly instructive because it shows how willingly the left will sacrifice its own to the gods of Third Reich orthodoxy. Roger Garaudy was born in 1913, served in the French army, joined the war-time Resistance, and sat in the French National Assembly as a Communist, first as a deputy and later as a senator. For 25 years he was a major theoretician for the Communist Party, but broke with the comrades over the Soviet invasion of Czechoslovakia in 1968. He continued to teach philosophy and promote antiracism and socialism. He converted to Islam, and enjoyed great prestige as one of France’s most influential public intellectuals. Over the years he took an increasing interest in the Palestinian cause, and came to believe Jews were exaggerating the horrors of the Holocaust in order to squelch criticism of Israel. This and other views expressed in his 1995 book *The Founding Myths of Modern Israel* (published in English in 2000 by the California-based Institute for Historical

Review) unleashed not only a flood of criticism but likewise brought the octogenarian into court for violation of the Gayssot law. Prof. Garaudy's impeccable credentials as a leftist and antiracist were no defense. In February, 1998, he was duly fined the equivalent of \$40,000 after a trial that caused a sensation in France and throughout the Islamic world. Probably no event has prompted more interest in Holocaust revisionism among Arabs than the trial of this French Muslim who defended Palestinians. Religious and political leaders from Egypt to Iran denounced France for putting him on trial, and the wife of the president of the United Arab Emirates contributed \$50,000 to his defense. Egyptian Nobel laureate in literature Naguib Mahfouz wondered about the health of Western societies in which it is commonplace to deny God but a crime to doubt the Holocaust.

The affair took on yet another tragicomic dimension when Abbé Pierre, one of the most popular and admired men in France, made a few offhand remarks in support of Prof. Garaudy. Abbé Pierre is a Capuchin friar whose real name is Henri Groulès. He came to be known as "the abbé" during his work with the French Resistance smuggling Jews out of occupied France. He has devoted his life to good works for the poor and for immigrants, and has a reputation something like that of Mother Theresa. He had become acquainted with Prof. Garaudy and shared his concern about Israel's treatment of Palestinians. After a few comments in favor of his old friend, he was horrified to discover that despite much backtracking and many apologies his reputation had vanished. He acknowledged he had not read the book, called on Prof. Garaudy to correct any errors, and disavowed any association with Holocaust denial. Even so, leftists whom he thought were lifelong friends turned on him, kicking him out of the International League Against Racism and Anti-Semitism, a French anti-racist organization of which he had long been a member. Perhaps the cruelest blow was his expulsion from Emmaus, the charitable organization he himself had founded. Although not charged with violation of the Gayssot law, Abbé Pierre fled to Italy and hid in a monastery until the controversy blew over.

The French case against the American Internet giant Yahoo, which is a gateway to search engines, auctions, shopping and much else caused only a brief murmur of disapproval in the United States, but is an ominous first step in bringing the Internet under the control of European censorship laws. The same International League Against Racism and Anti-Semitism of which the abbé used to be member—known by its French acronym LICRA—joined the French Union of Jewish Students in suing Yahoo to stop Internet auctions of Nazi medals, arm bands, photos, autographs and the like. France's anti-racism laws forbid commerce in anything "racially tinged," and the Californiabased Yahoo promptly removed these auctions from its French web site. This was not enough for LICRA and the Jewish students, who insisted that Yahoo find a way to block French Internet users from reaching Yahoo sites in the U.S., where auctions continued. Yahoo said it was technologically impossible, and the court appointed a panel of three computer experts—American, British, and French—to render a ruling. Two of the experts said it could not be done, but Judge Jean-Jacques Gomez chose to believe the Frenchman, who said it could. In May 2000, he gave Yahoo two months to make it impossible for French Internet users to reach the Nazi auctions. He said he would fine the American company 100,000 Francs (now \$13,000) a day if it did not, since the sale of Nazi souvenirs offended "the collective memory of the nation." Judge Gomez also ordered Yahoo to pay 10,000 Francs to the plaintiffs LICRA and the Union of Jewish Students. A LICRA spokesman hailed the ruling as a great victory for democracy, of all things.

The next month Jerry Yang, a cofounder of Yahoo, said his company would ignore Judge Gomez' order. "Asking us to filter access to our sites according to the nationality of web surfers is very naïve," he said, adding, "we are not going to change the content of our sites in the United States because someone in France is asking us to do so." Six months later, in January 2001, Mr. Yang ate crow when Yahoo decided "voluntarily" to stop auctioning anything that bears a swastika or any other "hate" symbol such as a KKK insignia. "Yahoo recognizes that we were right," exulted LICRA, and Ygal El Harrar, chairman of the Jewish students, welcomed "the return to its senses by the American company." Incredibly, Yahoo claims daily fines had nothing to do with its decision. Noting that it already bans auctions of live animals, used underwear, and tobacco, it is pretending it is was only adjusting its list of forbidden products.

No one is fooled. Lee Dembart wrote in the *International Herald Tribune* on Jan. 15, 2001, that the precedent has now been set for any country to try to control the Internet all over the world. China could threaten to fine sites that promote the Falun Gong Buddhist cult, which is illegal in China. Arab countries could fine Internet sites that sell Jewish memorabilia, since such things no doubt offend their "collective memory." But by and large the American media have had nothing to say about what amounts to the imposition of French law on Americans.

Needless to say, there would be a frenzy of denunciation if it were not “Nazis” who were being shoved off the net but, say, abortion-rights activists.

Switzerland In the minds of Americans Switzerland is an orderly, sensible country of decent, independent-minded people. It is also perhaps the only country that has ever brought censorship upon itself through referendum. Over the weekend of Sept. 24 and 25, 1994, the Swiss voted by a majority of 54.7 to 45.3 percent to make it a crime, punishable by fine and/ or up to three years imprisonment, to “publicly incite hatred or discrimination” or “deny, grossly minimize, or seek to justify genocide or other crimes against humanity.” Half of all Swiss cantons voted against the new law but thanks to the overall majority, it went into effect Jan. 1, 1995.

Swiss authorities had not actually needed this law to censor foreigners. In November 1986, the Geneva police stopped two French Holocaust revisionists —Pierre Guillaume and Henri Roques—from giving a press conference and banned them from speaking publicly in Switzerland for three years. The first Swiss citizen to fall afoul of the new law was Arthur Vogt, an 80- year-old retired school teacher. On June 3, 1997, a court in Meilen fined him 20,000 Swiss Francs (\$15,000) for mailing copies of a revisionist book to seven acquaintances and for publishing a private newsletter in which he had written revisionist essays.

In December 1997, a court in Vevey sentenced Aldo Ferraglia, an Italian citizen, to four months in jail and court costs of 15,075 francs. He was also made to pay 28,000 francs in “atonement” to three Jewish organizations for having distributed a number of Holocaust revisionist books, including Roger Garaudy’s *The Founding Myths of Modern Israel*. At the Ferraglia trial the judge defended the new law by explaining it did not forbid opinion, only the public expression of certain opinions—a distinction that may be a little too fine for Americans.

By June of last year, there had been no fewer than 200 trials and 100 sentences based on the 1995 law. As in France, such trials no longer attract much attention. Probably few Swiss heard about it when animal rights activist Erwin Kessler went to jail for two months for writing that Jews who practice ritual slaughter of cattle are no better than concentration-camp guards. The press took only slightly more notice of Gaston-Armand Amaudruz whom a Lausanne court sentenced to a year in prison for articles he wrote in his monthly newsletter *Courrier du Continent*, which he started in 1946 and had only about 500 subscribers, mostly in France. Mr. Amaudruz holds a doctorate in social and political sciences and has been a teacher of French and German. These are the words for which the 79-year-old paid with a year in prison: “For my part, I maintain my position: I don’t believe in the gas chambers. Let the exterminationists provide the proof and I will believe it. But as I’ve been waiting for this proof for decades, I don’t believe I will see it soon.” At sentencing, the judge criticized Mr. Amaudruz’ lack of remorse and noted that he had continued to violate the law, writing “Long live revisionism” in the issue of the newsletter that appeared just before the trial.

Perhaps the most prominent Swiss to be found guilty under the censorship law is 49-year-old school teacher Jürgen Graf. In March, 1993, after the publication of his 112-page book, *The Holocaust on the Test Stand*, in which he cited reasons to doubt the accounts of extermination, he was fired from his job as a teacher of Latin and French at a private secondary school. The French banned the book in 1994. Before long Mr. Graf found himself in court, and in July, 1998, he was sentenced to 15 months in jail for various revisionist writings. Sentenced along with Mr. Graf was his 70- year-old publisher, Gerhard Förster, who got 12 months. The court fined both men 8,000 Swiss francs (\$5,500) and ordered them to turn over 55,000 francs (\$38,000) in proceeds from book sales. Presiding Judge Andrea Staubli said the defendants’ “remarkable criminal energy” and lack of remorse justified harsh punishment.

Their defense counsel protested that he could not even try to explain the reasons for Mr. Graf’s statements without, himself, being prosecuted under the same law. He also argued in vain that censorship law violated the free-speech provisions of the European Human Rights Convention which Switzerland has signed. Wolfgang Frölich, an engineer called to vouch for the authenticity of Mr. Graf’s findings, found himself threatened with prosecution if he testified. Just as absurdly, the court included *The Holocaust on the Test Stand* in its reasons for finding Mr. Graf guilty even though he wrote it before the 1995 censorship law.

Mr. Graf decided to flee the country rather than spend 15 months in prison. In November 2000, he ended up in Iran, American Renaissance - 6 - March 2001 where he planned to stay for some time. He has been welcomed by scholars in Tehran, and was invited to give lectures at Iranian universities. Mr. Graf does not intend to return to Switzerland until the country restores the right of free speech. As we will see, he is not the only European to go into exile rather than face jail as a prisoner of conscience.

Germany Since the end of the Second World War, beginning with de-Nazification, Germany has had censorship laws unthinkable in the United States. Nazi songs, salutes, and symbols are illegal even in private, and the country has been as aggressive as any in trying to expand the effects of its own repressive laws beyond its own borders. By now, thousands of people have fallen afoul of anti-Nazi, and “incitement to racial hatred” laws, which violate the German constitution’s own guarantees of freedom of expression. Any number of quite remarkable cases of state-sponsored thought control have gone almost completely unreported in the United States. Fredrick Toben was born in Germany in 1944 but emigrated with his parents to Australia when he was ten, and is an Australian citizen. He studied at Melbourne University and at universities in Heidelberg, Tübingen, and Stuttgart, and has a doctorate in philosophy. In 1994 he established the Adelaide Institute, in the Australian town of that name, to promote Holocaust revisionism. He sent some material to Germany, and was arrested in Mannheim in April 1999 during a visit. He was held without bail until his trial seven months later and was charged with “incitement to racial hatred,” “insulting the memory of the dead,” and “public denial of genocide.” The court sentenced Dr. Toben to ten months in prison but let him off with a fine of 6,000 marks (\$3,500) on the strength of time already spent in prison. As in Switzerland, it is impossible to mount a defense against these charges. Defendants and even lawyers who try to explain or justify their statements have been immediately charged with additional offenses right in the courtroom. The prosecution tried to charge Mr. Toben on additional counts because of articles on his Australia-based Adelaide Institute web page (www.adelaideinstitute.org), but the court ruled that his only violation of German law was to have sent printed matter directly into Germany. Foreign Internet sites were not covered by the law even if Germans could read them. As Deputy Interior Minister Brigitte Zypries explained in July 2000, “That’s life and that’s the Internet... You can’t build a wall around Germany.” Since the government could not use the most serious evidence against him, Dr. Toben got off lightly; the shortest previous sentence for his crimes had been two years, and the prosecution was asking for two years and four months.

However, in December 2000, in a very significant ruling that went virtually unnoticed in the United States, Germany’s highest court, the *Bundesgerichtshof*, reversed the lower court. It said German law applies to any ideas or images Germans can reach from within Germany, so someone who posts a swastika on a web page anywhere in the world is a criminal under German law. Dr. Toben, whose case provided the high court with the basis of this ruling, could presumably be the subject of an extradition request. As we will see below, Dr. Toben faces problems enough back home in Australia.

One of the few Americans to notice and comment on this extension of German (and French) law to the Internet was Rabbi Abraham Cooper of the Simon Wiesenthal Center in Los Angeles. “We commend the German authorities for sticking to their commitment,” he said; “it’s their democracy, these are their laws.” He went on to praise the French, too: “We have to commend the Germans and the French for basically saying ‘In our societies, this is how we deal with the problems of hate, racism and Holocaust denial. You in America have your own laws, but at least respect our values.’” Perhaps Rabbi Cooper would be pleased to see European-style censorship in the United States.

The case of Germar Rudolf is likewise remarkable. Born in 1964, Mr. Rudolf graduated *summa cum laude* in chemistry from the University of Bonn and is a certified chemist. After serving in the German air force, he entered a Ph.D. program at the prestigious Max Planck Institute for Solid State Physics. While still at the institute he carried out a forensic physical examination of the gas chambers of Birkenau and concluded that for a variety of technical reasons they could not have been used for executions. In 1993 he published his findings in what is called *The Rudolf Report*, and was promptly dismissed from the Max Planck Institute. A court in Stuttgart ruled that the report “denies the systematic mass murder of the Jewish population in gas chambers” and was therefore “popular incitement,” “incitement to racial hatred,” and “defamation.” The court rejected Mr. Rudolf’s request for technical evidence about the truth or falsehood of his report, ruling that the “mass murder of the Jews” is “obvious.”

Mr. Rudolf has continued to commit thought crimes, editing a compendium of revisionist articles called *Grundlagen zur Zeitgeschichte* [Foundations of Contemporary History]. In 1996 a court fined his publisher 30,000 marks (\$18,000) and ordered all copies seized and burned. Police raided Mr. Rudolf’s apartment three times, and in 1996 he was finally sentenced to 14 months in prison. Rather than serve time he fled to England, which has anti-racist laws but where Holocaust denial is not (yet) a crime. He is now director of Castle Hill Publishers, which issues revisionist works, and publishes a German-language revisionist quarterly. Jewish groups

have brought pressure on the British government to enact laws to outlaw Holocaust denial so that Mr. Rudolf can either be prosecuted in England or extradited to Germany. Like Jürgen Graf of Switzerland, unless free speech is restored in his homeland, he will go to jail if he ever returns. Recently he moved to the United States and has applied for amnesty as a political refugee. It will be interesting to see how the INS, which has stretched “political persecution” to include wife-beating and making fun of homosexuals, will avoid granting him asylum.

One German defendant who did not flee the country was the elderly historian Udo Walendy, publisher of the “Historical Facts” series of booklets. In May, 1996, the district court of Bielefeld sent him to prison for 15 months, and a year later a court in Herford added 14 more months to his sentence. He was also fined 20,000 marks (\$12,000) when 12 copies of Adolf Hitler’s *Mein Kampf* were found in his possession. Judge Helmut Knöner of the Herford court took the curious position that Mr. Walendy was guilty not of a sin of commission but of omission:

“This [case] is not about what was written—that is not for this court to determine—but rather about what was not written. If you had devoted just a fraction of the same exactitude to highlighting the other side [of the Holocaust question], you would not have been sentenced.” Here we find the tortured reasoning to which censorship laws invariably give rise. To have failed to write about a particular historical event in a balanced manner is a crime that can send a historian to jail. In the court’s view, this onesided writing was “meant to disturb the public peace,” notwithstanding the “exactitude” of Mr. Walendy’s work. Moreover, although Mr. Walendy has been a model prisoner he was denied the usual grant of release after serving two-thirds of his sentence. Authorities explained that this was because he was unlikely to change his views.

It is possible to argue that Austrian censorship laws have already claimed a life. In 1995, Werner Pfeifenberger, a German professor of political science published an essay called “Internationalism and Nationalism: a Never-Ending Mortal Enmity?” in a collection issued by Austria’s Freedom Party (see AR, Dec. 1999, and March 2000). A prominent Jewish journalist attacked the essay, accusing Prof. Pfeifenberger of writing in a “neo-Nazi tone,” and “extolling the national community.” Because the professor had criticized the 1933 Jewish declaration of an international boycott of Germany, the journalist also accused him of reviving “the old Nazi legend of a Jewish world conspiracy.” The German state of North Rhine- Westphalia dismissed Prof. Pfeifenberger from his teaching position, and a court in Vienna prepared a case against him under Austrian anti-Nazi laws. On May 13, 2000, just a few weeks before the trial, Prof. Pfeifenberger took his own life. His lawyer explained that Prof. Pfeifenberger faced ten years in jail under the charges, did not expect a fair trial, and had already spoken of committing suicide. As in Germany and Switzerland, Austrian law does not permit a defendant to argue the veracity of his statements; offensive “tone” or “diction” is sufficient to secure conviction. United States citizens have fallen afoul of German censorship laws—without the slightest gesture of support from their own government. Hans Schmidt of Pensacola, Florida, runs the German-American National Public Affairs Committee, which publishes a newsletter. Mr. Schmidt, who fought in the German army, moved to the United States after the war and became a U.S. citizen. In 1995, on a trip to Germany to visit family members, German authorities arrested him for having sent some of his newsletters to Germany. They held him in jail for five months but released him in conjunction with the first part of his trial. Mr. Schmidt, who could have been sentenced to five years in prison, slipped out of the country rather than stay for the rest of his trial. Another American, Gary Lauck of Lincoln, Nebraska, was not so lucky. Known as “the farm-belt Führer,” Mr. Lauck is an unapologetic supporter of Nazism, and has shipped a considerable quantity of Nazi material to Germany. In March, 1995, he was visiting Denmark, a country that does not have anti- Nazi laws, but in an operation of questionable legality, the Danes extradited him to Germany. In August, 1996, a Hamburg court convicted him of inciting racial hatred and distributing illegal materials—which he did legally in the United States and not in Germany—and sentenced him to four years in jail. He served his sentence and returned to the United States, where he continues to promote Nazism.

At almost the same time Mr. Lauck was on trial in Germany, the American citizen Harry Wu—a fervent critic of China—slipped into China illegally on a mission of support for dissidents and was arrested. The U.S. State Department mounted an extraordinary effort to secure his release, but completely ignored Germany’s prosecution of Mr. Lauck. Another curious case involving the United States is that of a young German musician Hendrik Möbus. Mr. Möbus said provocative things about Jews, gave the Nazi salute during a concert, and later turned up in the United States. In a little-known incident in the summer of 2000, federal officers arrested Mr. Möbus with the intention of extraditing him to Germany, even though his offenses were not

crimes in the United States. Apparently thinking better of this unjustifiable proceeding, the government released Mr. Möbus, who promptly turned the tables by suing for political asylum. With the help of William Pierce of the West Virginia-based National Alliance, Mr. Möbus has hired immigration lawyers to argue his case on the grounds that he will be persecuted for his political beliefs if he returns to Germany. One of the common difficulties for applicants for asylum is that they must prove they face a realistic threat of persecution. In Mr. Möbus' case, the German authorities have already issued an extradition request in which they openly state they want to send him to jail. Once again, it will be interesting to see how the INS responds.

Neo-Nazi music is increasingly popular in Germany, and bands play a constant cat-and-mouse game with the police. Most make their recordings in secret studios or across the border in Poland, and the recordings are then pressed in the United States. The CDs come back to Europe via Sweden, where the material is not illegal. Mere possession is a crime in Germany, but the authorities estimate there are more than 100 neo-Nazi bands operating clandestinely. Some repressive measures fall short of imprisonment. In August, 2000, the German postal bank, which is part of the government-owned post office, systematically shut down all accounts used by any group it considered "far-right." These included Germany's two main nationalist parties, the German Peoples' Union (DVU) and the National Democratic Party (NPD). Postbank chairman Wulf von Schimmelmann explained that the measure was "a contribution to political hygiene and cementing of democracy in Germany."

Thought-control can take a comical turn. In August, 2000, Dresden police ordered a 25-year-old man to get a haircut because he had shaved the back of his head leaving only the letters "SS," in the distinctive angular script used by the Nazis.

Mein Kampf has been banned in Germany for years, and German companies have been quietly enforcing the ban overseas as well. Publishing giant Bertelsmann polices its US-based website bookstore for titles forbidden in Germany, and is trying to do the same with Barnesandnoble.com, of which it owns 40 percent. *Mein Kampf* is banned in several other countries, including Holland and the Czech Republic, where distributors were recently fined. There is considerable irony in suppressing Hitler's turgid autobiography. For years it was common to say that if only people had read it in the 1930s they would have stopped Hitler in his tracks. Now we must presumably be kept from reading it for fear we will follow its advice.

American Renaissance, Vol. 12, No. 3 March 2001.
[To be followed in the next issue]

NAZI-ZIONISM

Bookshop in the Marais Area of Paris Stormed by Betar-Tagar Thugs

By **Ginette Skandrani and Maria Poumier ***

Paris. The French writer Alain Soral was peacefully signing copies of his lastbook, "Desire's Misfortunes", on the evening of the 28th of September at The Land of Plenty bookshop in the Marais area, when a gang of twenty to thirty hooded and truncheon-armed thugs stormed the place, smashing window panes, demolishing the door and ransacking the bookshop. They beat the attendants ferociously. There were about twenty people in the bookshop, several of whom were customers. Another ten were waiting outside.

We could warn the people outside. Unfortunately, until we managed to close the safety curtain of the shop, the eight people caught inside, who mostly were women, were hurt and bled profusely. A young girl also was hurt as were the two female, Greek native booksellers who had opened shop about four months ago. The injured were cut by broken glass shards and by truncheon blows.

The scene was horrendous, a full size blitz, staged under the war cry, "Israel shall win!!!"

How is it possible that in Paris, in a business area like is Marais quarter, in full daylight, a militia ill-famed for its misdemeanours could ransack a bookshop and manhandle customers?

Alain Soral, who was comforted by the comic actor Dieudonné (famed for his one-man-show), managed to escape the bashing. Soral informed us he knew he was under threat after he appeared on the French television program, "Complementary Inquiry". He later received death

threats on his web-site and by phone, but chose not to cancel the presentation of his book, which had been scheduled for some weeks, because he refuses to be intimidated. However, he had asked for police protection, which was not granted to him.

After the attack, everybody regrouped in the courtyard, in a state of shock. A young Algerian woman who had come into the shop to buy "Les Contemplations" by Victor Hugo had an attack of nerves. She shouted, "No, no, not here, not in France! Not that! Never would I believe it could happen here, too!"

The two young booksellers, flabbergasted, their faces swollen and drenched in blood asked, "Now, where are the normal Jews? Aren't there any normal Jews in this neighbourhood?" [The answer is that the sons of the "normal Jews", Zionist as is "normal", belong to this fascistic militia.]

The police acted as if they doubted the existence of such a gang in the district. But when TV channels requested an interview with Alain Soral, outside in the street, in front of the smashed front window of the bookshop, the police refused, arguing they could not grant his security in the middle of the street. So the attackers walked away, as though nothing happened.

It is socially and morally unacceptable that Zionist thugs can smash up a shop kept by two young foreign ladies, while police not only refuse to protect the novelist Alain Soral, but also these defenceless women.

Apparently, it is not only in the suburbs that women have to look for the services of bodyguards if they want to practice an honourable trade. Could it be that free women no longer have a right to sell non-Jewish books in certain Parisian districts?

* This event took place on the 28th of September 2004, the Fourth anniversary of the Intifada Al-Aqsa. Ginette Skandrani and Maria Poumier were eye-witnesses to the attack.

A New Venture of Bradley Smith...

<<http://www.outlawhistory.com/>>

<<http://www.uruknet.info>> : a site gathering daily information concerning occupied Iraq: news, analysis, documents and texts of iraqi resistance available in Italian and English.

+++++

This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information go to:

<<http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>>. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

OTHER AAARGH MONTHLY PUBLICATIONS

El Paso del Ebro

<<http://www.geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo>>

Das kausale Nexusblatt

<<http://www.geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo>>

Il Resto del Siculo

<<http://www.geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo/resto>>

La Gazette du Golfe et des banlieues (multilingual)

<<http://ggb.0catch.com>>

Conseils de Révision

<<http://conseilsderevision.tripod.com>>