

AAARGH REPRINTS

TEHRAN CONFERENCE DECEMBER 11-12, 2006

Our Mission: Dismantle the Planetary Slander In Order to Help Build an Honest World¹

Georges Theil

Translated by G. F. H.

I express here my gratitude to those who, with great courage, made it possible to organize and have such a conference. The date of December 11 & 12, 2006, in this beautiful capital, could truly be a turning point in the history of the world—I do not fear to say it; it marks the true beginning of the end of the incredible oppression suffered by the world for over sixty years now.

This oppression, this enslavement, this shame for humanity, this crime against humanity—let us dare at last to say it—is the gigantesque lie about the alleged Shoah, about the alleged genocide of the Jews between 1941 and 1945, the greatest calumny in all the history of humanity, imposed first on the West, then by way of osmosis—let us say through the act of progressive “globalization”—to the entire world, and particularly to the Muslim world.

But, in fact, is not the “Shoah” simply the “opinion of the broad majority,” as it is usually described, and as some try to tell us, in a falsely objective tone? Surely not! Since mere public discussion of this subject is impossible, under penalty of fines and imprisonment. The author of these words knows something about this.

But, fortunately, there is some serious resistance to this oppression, there are some refuseniks, and that [has been the case] since the beginning of the construction and

¹ *Notre Mission : Désorganiser la calomnie planétaire pour aider à construire un monde honnête.*

establishment of the myth; and there are still some, despite the terror imposed by the enemies of historical truth; a dazzling proof of this is the holding of this conference.

Origin and Foundations of the “Sacred Lie”

Let us go back to the roots of this issue.

The Jews, as we know, nomadic by nature, are a variety of the human species (I do not employ the term ethnicity, let alone the term race), spread out among the nations of the world, partly also settled in the land of Palestine since 1948.

This human group feels itself welded together by a specific non-proselytizing religion, by common beliefs and ritual practices; [it is a group] cemented together by prescriptions, self-renewed by a high rate of endogamy, maintained in the end by racist comportment (that is, which has a strong consciousness—or impregnation—of its specificity, its own identity and which cannot be sullied by interbreeding), [and by] a feeling of superiority over non-Jews, “justified” by impregnating minds with the Talmud and so-called “scriptures” in which their Yahweh has anointed the Jewish people with the status of “chosen people.”

This claim, for every normally constituted being, is an aberration, a monstrosity, the manifestation of a mad pride.

Let us take them at their word, objectively. To assess the possible superiority of one people over others, we should at least be able to measure the contribution of these people, weighed against that of others, to the advancement of humanity in such diverse areas as philosophy, art, literature, philology, architecture, the sciences, the medical and biological fields, mathematics, physics, chemistry and technology (associated with energy, transport, telecommunications); acts of preservation of our patrimony and the environment. And there, precisely, one hardly sees them in a good position.

These Jews, therefore, originally a nomadic tribe, wandering from Mesopotamia to Egypt, were regularly driven from their settlement in host countries, then slowly emigrated at the beginning of our era, to Europe and North Africa, [and] to a lesser degree in the neighboring nations such as the Persian and Ottoman empires; they have almost always found themselves the object of hostility from the host peoples. At first glance one might attribute this (in Europe) to the fact that Christianity is the majority’s religion in European countries, and it has from the outset been in the position of a *repoussoir*² of the Jewish religion, even if the trunk is common [to both]; it has been said that Christianity stands in radical contrast (*opposition*) to Jewishness, as is often the case with brothers from the same family. And the Christians from the earliest times have propagated the concept of a deicide people and applied it to the Jews, in allusion to the New Testament account describing the killing by crucifixion of the prophet Jesus at the

² *repoussoir* a tool used in sculpting and in creating reliefs; also figuratively, a person (or thing) who (which) stands in stark contrast with another person (or thing) and thus serves to highlight his (its) characteristics.

instigation of the Jews: this Jesus, claiming to be the long-awaited and predicted Messiah, had the effrontery not only to proclaim himself “King of the Jews,” but he had also dared, in a manner unforgivable for Jews, to announce that there were no more Jews, there were no more than Gentiles, there were only the children of God! Words intolerable for a community claiming to be the elected of (its) God! Words authentically revisionist!

This myth of the chosen race is in my opinion the true conductive thread running through the behavior of the Jews until today, it is the very basis of the fantastic lie of the alleged Holocaust.

But in the West, it must be recognized, the Christian religion has slowly crumbled, under the battering of Luther, Voltaire, Nietzsche, Strauss and Renan. Not so much that the West has become atheist, but the Christian religion seems to many unable to provide solutions to the problems of the modern world. In the West, of course, the slow emergence, in the sixteenth century, of rationalism after the Renaissance, the curse of the Inquisition and the pyres associated with it, the expansion of the physical sciences, the Enlightenment in the eighteenth century, the collapse of the French monarchy perceived by its instigators as tied to religion for the purpose of doing the greatest harm to the people, the German philosophical thinkers and researchers in the nineteenth century, [all these] have had two important results: the slow disaffection of the West for its age-old Christian religion, as we have said, and the emancipation of the Jews settled in Europe and its colonies (who were until then “held on a leash”).

The old anti-Semitism should logically have disappeared, since its clearest cause (Christian hostility toward a deicide people) took on a different perspective (*se relativisait*) now, in an atmosphere of secularization.

But nothing of the sort! One has in effect been able to witness in our countries, since the middle of the nineteenth century, which was the beginning of their true emancipation, a virtual siege by the Jews on our dominant positions, the decision-making and influential posts in newspapers, economic and political networks, the World Bank, a phenomenon accompanied very often by bribery, corruption, fraudulent schemes. And the old simplistic anti-Semitism has changed into a more rational, more fundamental anti-Semitism, since the late nineteenth century, across the whole political spectrum of society.

To such an extent that matters like the Dreyfus affair, in which a Jewish officer in the French army was investigated on suspicion of espionage for the German enemy, and was dragged into court and sentenced to prison, took on extraordinary proportions: the Jewishness of Dreyfus being enough for some to condemn him, and for his co-religionists and friends to exonerate him! In the end he was paroled as a result of a review of his case, but some doubt remained and the suspicions were very slow to fade away.

A glimmer of foresight appeared at the time in the person of Bernard Lazarus, a writer of Jewish origin, who in his work “Anti-Semitism: Its History and Its Causes” agreed that anti-Semitism is due entirely to the very behavior of the Jews in the host

societies, absolutely heinous behavior, in which greed, political and financial scandals, corruption, fraudulent financial schemes, organized by them and with them, form the greatest part.

And now we come in the twentieth century.

Already the Jewish organizations that had perceived this problem, namely, this enmity which can so easily break out between Jews and non-Jews from the time they are in contact, thought that the long-term solution could be the settlement of the Jews in a land of their own, uncontested and free to accept them. Theodor Herzl in 1896 launched his famous appeal for a return to a “Jewish national homeland,” the real founding act of Zionism, an idea that received the very active support of the rich barons Hirsch and Rothschild. This idea, this hope for a land of their own, made its way through the Jewish circles in the world, until the decisive stroke, with the help of the First World War, that was the Balfour Declaration of 1917, which launched a turning point in the war at the expense of the central empires and their ally, the Ottoman Empire. Thus, the Zionist movement set its heart on the land of Palestine, thereby initiating a major problem with the communities living on this territory, the majority of which were Muslim with some scattered Christian elements.

A terrible mistake which can only be explained by the racist and religious fanaticism ingrained in the Jewish tradition.

The Text of the Balfour Declaration

Here is the declaration of intentions made by Count Arthur Balfour, Minister of Foreign Affairs in the government of Lloyd George, to Lord Rothschild, vice-président of the Board of Jewish Deputies:

Dear Lord Rothschild,

I have much pleasure in conveying to you, on behalf of His Majesty's Government, the following declaration of sympathy with Jewish Zionist aspirations which has been submitted to, and approved by, the Cabinet:

“His Majesty's Government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, and will use their best endeavours to facilitate the achievement of this object, it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country”.

I should be grateful if you would bring this declaration to the knowledge of the Zionist Federation.

Yours sincerely

Arthur James Balfour

And the events surrounding the First World War can not be separated from the now omnipresent Jewish influences. The vile Treaty of Versailles that claimed to seal the ruin and the putting into bondage of Germany for 80 years, was seen by many Germans as a Jewish betrayal, since the Jews representing Germany in the development of this disastrous treaty were accomplices of the victors and participants in this crushing of what had nevertheless been their German homeland (Erzberger, Rathenau,...)

The seizure of power in Russia by the Bolsheviks in 1917 can also be perceived as a Jewish takeover of the Russian people and their subsequent subservience to an ideology that is insane and messianic at the same time. Nevertheless it is true that Communism and its substitute, Bolshevism, are entirely Jewish affairs: from Marx to Lasalle, to Trotsky, to the Bund³ and the masters of the Soviet Union, everything is Jewish in Communism and Bolshevism; the Russian imperial family was murdered shortly afterwards by a group of Jewish Bolsheviks full of fiery hatred. The funding for the preparations and the establishment of the Bolshevik uprising of 1917 in Russia was fully provided by Jewish bankers, the financiers of Lenin: the banks Schiff, Warburg, Loeb, etc.

Shortly thereafter, all the attempts to establish by force Bolshevik republics in Germany and the former Austria were also conducted by Jews, who left (lit. “sowed”) behind them bloodshed and bankruptcy; their names are known.

We are quite aware of a “Jewish stranglehold on the people of Europe,” we know it—but no one dares to say that that was the cause of Hitler’s rise, a true child of Versailles, who had promised to create a new prosperous Germany once it was cleared of Jews. That is what he began to implement: the departure of Jews from Germany started with his coming to power—and then their departure from Austria and the peripheral German territories—by reason of incentives, disincentives or laws from 1935 on, and it is necessary to observe that it was concurrent with a tremendous economic and industrial development. Such an ascent, with its risk of contagion, could not leave indifferent countries such as that of the Anglo-Saxons or even France, where the Jews were very influential in the whole sphere of politics and government. Confrontation had now become inevitable. Already, let us remember, the Jews of the whole world had declared war on Germany in the famous headline covering the entire front page of the *Daily Express* on March 24, 1933, or 7 weeks after Hitler’s coming to power in Germany: “Judæa Declares War on Germany”; since Judæa was not a state, it was a matter of a declaration of a total boycott against Germany, of extreme psychological warfare by the global networks of Jewish influence, and this was in fact done.

³ The Bund was the General Jewish Labor Union of Lithuania, Poland and Russia; cf. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/General_Jewish_Labor_Union.

One knows the rest: the declaration of war on Germany in September 1939 by England and France. Hitler's unyielding determination to try to remodel Europe through a search for cohesion among the populations that comprise it (and the expulsion of Jewish communities from it) [and] the quite unforeseen hardness of the war in the East induced him to adopt a policy of "penning" of Jews in forced labor camps. A measure necessarily inhumane, even if the concentration camps ("invented" by the English forty years earlier during the Boer War in South Africa) were not a new idea (the USA would soon establish some on its territory, to intern persons of Japanese ancestry living on American soil). A measure which would do so much harm to these deported peoples as a result of the crowded conditions with inadequate hygiene: cachexia, epidemics, and therefore high rates of mortality, which is indeed not something peculiar to the German camps of the last war: the French and then the Americans were able themselves to observe much more appalling conditions in the viet camps of the ex-Indochina! And it is from there that was launched the gigantic canard (*bobard*), which evolved into the slander of the planned killing of six million Jews in these camps. All of the media in the world (non-Muslim, of course) being in the hands of Jewish groups since that time, it was easy for them to spread this slander around the world.

A technical adviser of President Roosevelt, Theodore N. Kaufman, an influential Jewish American, published in March 1941 a terrible book: *Germany Must Perish*, widely distributed and translated; he therein clearly set out the implementation and planned organization of a genocide of the Germans: "It will be necessary to sterilize all the German men and the German women of childbearing age, and in an orderly and expeditious manner; in a few months, it is a matter of having 20,000 surgeons (and more if necessary), [work] at a rate of 25 sterilizations daily to sterilize 48 million German men and German women [...]."

Morgenthau, another influential Jew in the entourage of Roosevelt, heartily recommended to him a plan for putting the Germans to death by organized famine.

Ilya Ehrenburg, at the same time, the darling "poet" of Stalin, unleashed himself in his exhortations to the soldiers of the Red Army, exuding the worst racial hatred: "Kill all Germans! Kill the German children in the wombs of their German mothers!"

**Their Watchword: Deny the Evidence!
An Insult to the Human Spirit?**

For those who are informed, for minds however little keen about physics and chemistry, the possibility of the existence of the murder weapon as it has been presented to us (only on paper!), namely the implementation of "the gas chamber followed by the continuous incineration of the homicide victims," is an absolute impossibility. And then too, no undertaking of this kind, even if aberrant, could even be envisaged without an order emanating from the summit of the state, that is, Hitler himself. No army in the world, no high-ranking officer would undertake such a thing without an order! This is all the more improbable, if one may say so, in the German army! If such an alleged order

despite everything had disappeared, there should necessarily remain, in the thousands of tons of archives seized by the Allies, numerous references to such an order, numerous directives and guidelines for the construction and implementation of such facilities: not a single one, direct or indirect, has been found! There should also remain in the archives budgetary and accounting traces, traces of abnormal deliveries: but there are none of these either. So do there remain at least some architectural remnants? An important point here.

Let us reason if you wish, by [assuming] the absurd, as one often does in mathematics or physics to demonstrate that a proposal is impossible or absurd.

If these “gas chambers” had existed and worked, they would necessarily have been made of something hard, let us say of concrete, and by definition then would be untransportable! It is easy to calculate a facility (*local*) of 200 square meters by 2 ½ meters high, made of a hard substance and with walls 15 centimeters thick, and lying on a slab of concrete; such a facility, if put on a scale without the slab, would weigh at least 80 tons.

How can we believe that the Germans, under pressure from the advance of the Red Army and forced to evacuate a camp in forced marches in the snow, would have moved such installations?! Since a camp like Auschwitz was seized by the Red Army, in January 1945, after the Germans with the able-bodied prisoners had evacuated in forced marches, abandoning all the facilities (kitchen, sanitary and modern medical facilities, various workshops), and even the entire archives of the camp, including the registry books for prisoners and the registry books for deaths, it is totally excluded that they carried away “installations for mass killing” of such a weight. Additional proof: the Germans had abandoned also units for the disinfection of clothing—present in all the camps, still perfectly visitable today in the Auschwitz camp.

There remains still the analysis of remnants or ruins, the analysis of architectural plans or drawings which have also been preserved in full for Auschwitz. This work was first done by Robert Faurisson, followed by revisionist scholars such Leuchter (at the urging of Robert Faurisson in 1987) and Germar Rudolf, who has further consolidated it [sc. revisionist work] through his erudition as an eminent chemist. The result is certain (*sans appel*): no place presented as having been an alleged gas chamber shows any traces of cyanide acid salts, unlike the disinfection facilities (still visible) which worked with Zyklon B—a solid base which releases hydrogen cyanide (a powerful insecticide), through an increase in temperature in ad hoc facilities equipped with powerful systems of ventilation, developed by the Germans before the war, incidentally. No rebuttal, no counter-report has been submitted by the supporters of “extermination by gas chambers” against the two devastating reports of Leuchter and Rudolf.

The gas chambers, since they could never have existed, **have therefore never existed**, and the conclusion is simple: there have never been in the camps facilities for mass killing of the prisoners; the only blameable deaths are, apart from possible executions, the deaths from disease and epidemics, very common when you bring

together prisoners in problematic hygienic conditions, a problem exacerbated by the dissemination of diseases such as typhoid, which is spread mainly by lice. Let us recall with regard to antibiotics and sulfamides that the former were then not yet really well developed and the latter were still uncommon. The frequent disinfection of prisoners' clothes and their exchange for clean clothes, and the disinfectant showers or baths for the prisoners themselves, were therefore the only possible protection for the prisoners (and their guards). Whether these systematic measures could have impressed the detainees and made some of them believe that the Zyklon disinfectant could also be used to kill people is not to be excluded, among a population ready to accept any rumor, a phenomenon well known in groups "kept in barracks." Let us add that the systematic incineration of corpses could, in some categories of prisoners, have provoked a feeling of fright: and burning the dead could among the Jewish detainees be felt as a heinous sacrilege, for religious reasons.

Finally, as we know, the last months of the war, from January to May 1945, were for Germany a veritable apocalypse. The incessant bombings by the Anglo-American air forces on the civilian populations and the infrastructure, the horrors accompanying the advance of the Red Army, the almost total lack of supplies for the camp population, as a result of the destruction of the logistical means of supply, had the result, so far as these camps are concerned, of transforming them into hospices in the last three months of the war. Hence these images, (complacently) spread by the Allies, of skeletal prisoners in some camps. Under the influence of emotion, the great public hardly poses a question when viewing the prisoners who are emaciated from lack of food: it is very easy to say that if these prisoners are in such a state, there was a diabolical will to have them die of starvation.

An image is so powerful! Without pictures, nobody poses a question about how the 85 million proven victims of communism died in the USSR and its satellites on behalf of the communist faith! No one even asks if these dead were incinerated, buried, or covered up in mass graves! Nobody dares suggest that we should scientifically explore the remnants of the various gulags! For, indeed, these dead are not worthy of interest; communism, a bloody Jewish production (*montage*), could not be guilty? It is necessary to say why.

Such was, and still is, the tragedy of Germany.

* * *

But the culminating point of hatred had not yet been reached when the war ended in May 1945. The Jews are not generally a chivalrous people. They well knew that the Germans had not perpetrated more horrors, and without a doubt had probably perpetrated less, than the Allies. Hatred and its instrumentalisation were to culminate in the staging of the Nuremberg Trial in October 1945. An ignominious and historically unique trial, where we saw the victor judging the vanquished with such foundational guidelines as: "*The Tribunal shall not be bound by technical rules of evidence*"; and "*The Tribunal*

shall not require proof of facts of common knowledge” (sic).⁴ It is not my intention here to summarize the judicial disgrace that was this so-called trial. People like Carlos Porter have done that strikingly well.

The *summum* of slander is attained at the end of the trial when the figure of 6 million Jewish victims is launched by a “witness” (Wilhelm Höttl) who was quickly discovered to be an agent of the OSS (the forerunner of the CIA).

The essential thing, in Nuremberg, was that a vengeance “court” put to death, in a sham trial, the high-ranking German leaders; this was done, and satisfied the phenomenal hatred of the Jews for the Germans, their thirst for revenge against those who had dared to try to “live without Jews.”

Their Media Dictatorship over the World: Calumny Erected into an Obligatory Truth

Now, thanks to Nuremberg, the way was clear to establish over the world “the dictatorship of the gas chambers.”

We are now fed a diet of fiction stories, pseudo-testimonies, endless films which have all given us our fill of the misfortunes of the Jewish people, the meanness of the Germans toward them, of the reparations which it is necessary to pay and to pay still again to the survivors of this era, their children and grandchildren, to their heirs, to the state of Israel, which lives moreover in the main only from American and German subsidies and which is threatened with a “new holocaust” from its Muslim neighbors....

And every effort was being made to make us believe in the existence of this weapon of mass destruction, “the gas chamber” of Auschwitz, yes, of Auschwitz, for, because of the advances made by revisionism which has easily reduced to nothing the “gas chambers” of all the other camps where liars pretended up until recently that gas chambers existed, there remains only this camp... Yet, dear friends, do like me, visit it carefully, bring friends also there to visit, you will not see there any trace of gas chambers. Of course, on the door (made of wood!) of a former small hospital, now half-buried, you will see a sign “Gas Chamber in Original Condition,” but you are not forced to believe a sign; if you are even a little technically competent, the deception jumps out at you. As a friend of mine with an excellent scientific background said to me recently, anyone with an IQ above 90 cannot believe in chambers gas!

The revisionist approach was right about all these absurd stories; then, they got really upset (lit. “saw red”). The works and the conclusions of Faurisson, to name only him, provoked in the late 80’s deadly worries in the circles interested in slander: one calls them exterminationists, but I personally prefer to call them **slanderers**. All that remained for these propagandists of slander, was one solution, as despicable as their lie: prevent all discussion, all scientific research, all debate on the issue of the sacrosanct “gas chamber.”

⁴ Cf. articles 19 and 21 of the Nuremberg rules of procedure, available at: <http://www.yale.edu/lawweb/avalon/imt/proc/imtconst.htm>.

It was necessary to forge laws that severely punish such activities: in France it was the Gayssot Law, after the name of the Communist deputy who filed it, but which in fact was concocted by Rabbi Sirat and the former (Jewish) prime minister Laurent Fabius; strictly speaking, this law of July 13, 1990 is therefore quite judeo-bolshevik. It punishes with fines and jail anyone who publicly expresses doubt about the “conclusions” of the Nuremberg Tribunal, mentioned earlier. The Jewish organizations have managed to impose similar laws in almost all European states. The German-speaking countries, Germany, Austria and Switzerland are particularly “well cared for”: in the first two, a punishment of five years in jail is commonly given to any one who contests the figures put forth at Nuremberg! Moreover, in a German-speaking land it is no longer even conceivable to base one’s plea on the basic issue: “six million” is a dogma, and not a dogma that may be discussed. An unimaginable regression of law, an incredible imposed obscurantism, an incredible humiliation, a veritable crime against thought, and crime against humanity.

And there it is, one has finally understood it: the Nuremberg trial, a monument of ignominy, is the founding document of the enslavement of us all, Germans and Austrians in the first place (but not their leaders, accomplices of slander), Europeans by direct osmosis with the former, and finally the Palestinian people, martyred in the name of an alleged holocaust that has served as the pretext for the outright theft of lands from those who have inhabited them for millenia! My dear friends, let us never forget the fact that these martyrs are linked. Closely. They all have a single poisoned source. Yes, an unimaginable suffering is the lot of millions of human beings, crushed, martyred in all possible ways by the criminal libel of the “gas chambers” and the alleged “genocide of the Jews.”

The Other Side of the Problem

For a long time I thought, as soon as I started having serious doubts about the coherence of the alleged holocaust, that we needed to mobilize against this gigantic canard. How? Specifically, we used to distribute brochures such as “Did Six Millions Really Die?” We used to make up short booklets or tracts and distributed them in sensitive areas; then we took the next step: we were able to open in Paris a revisionist bookstore, to edit quality journals as our friends from the IHR were doing in the USA, and to sabotage holocaust symposia where our intervention caused disorder and sometimes panic!

Those were the good old days! We felt fear grow in their ranks; however, some creeps, like the pharmacist Pressac, were bought by the Jew Klarsfeld to publish a large weighty tome which claimed to demonstrate the existence and operation of the gas chambers at Auschwitz, although he was unable in the same work to draw one, even summarily, or to describe how one worked, even summarily! And in the end he demonstrated only one thing: the existence of cremations in this camp! And he dared, unlucky man, to effect a drastic reduction in the number of the dead at Auschwitz, which earned him [the honor of] being boycotted shortly thereafter by his own sponsors....!

And Faurisson was brutally attacked in September 1989, near his home, by some young Israelis determined to kill him. He survived with a broken jaw and painful after effects. Let us salute him here. The Gayssot Law came shortly thereafter, as I said, and now our fight against oppression had to take other forms.

* * *

This horrendous act, a true confession of impotence, was for the rest of us revisionists the moment to take a brief pause, and reflect on an aspect that we used to refuse to see: if the huge slander of the “gas chambers” may continue to flourish, even among the educated (my friend would say: those with an IQ above 90), it is because there is...something else.

First, it is evident that many people cannot imagine such a huge swindle; they cannot imagine that the truth (accuracy) be blocked out up to this point, to the point of being reprehensible in the courts. Every normally constituted being has a real problem in lending credence to such an eventuality (*démarche*) as the diffusion by force of a canard.

**To work, belief in a canard must be imposed
and also accepted without doubt**

It is astonishing to note in the general public, that belief in “gas chambers” is not only commonplace but also forms part of the “anchors” of one’s mental balance. To make people enter voluntarily or by force into a room where one spreads an asphyxiating gas, and then to extract the same, reduced to the status of corpses, to another generally adjacent building, where one is going to burn them up serially, seems a wholly possible process and therefore plausible. Virtually nobody knows—apart from its lethal capacity, the properties and the method of use of Zyklon B and of the hydrocyanic gas (CNH) which it releases through a rise in temperature. No one knows, for example, that it requires after being activated a powerful ventilation lasting several hours of the premises or effects treated, that it sticks to surfaces! The assertion that gassed corpses were extracted for the purpose of transporting them to the crematory is an absurdity from an *ignoramus*. This would have resulted in the deaths of these pallbearers!

Precisely, the crematories...!

A single corpse requires an hour and a half for a complete cremation; a simple arithmetical calculation shows immediately the impossibility of incinerating the claimed number of daily victims. Moreover, we know well for Auschwitz the chronology of these facilities, when they were put into operation, when they were out of service because of a malfunction, their times of operation and their capacities; everything confirms the falsity of the allegation that large numbers were put to death daily by gas and then subsequently incinerated.

So why do people still believe this?

Because this canard, this monstrous slander, falls on ground already prepared to admit it. The Germans have long been known for their technical knowledge, their undoubted professionalism and ability to produce good work. They have also, and constantly in times of conflict, soldiers of an incredible tenacity, an amazing courage, surely a reflection of their civilian behavior. And what else? Well, figure that they are also and have long been brilliant chemists; German chemistry is still in 2006 the first in the world by its capabilities, its holdings of patents, its ability to react (*réactivité*), its exports throughout the world.

On the other hand, one has stereotyped them for a long time as cruel,⁵ as supporters of a “vertical and glacial” order; the origin of this was without doubt among us [sc. the French] the Franco-German war in which the Uhlans, selected for their large size and strength, were quickly likened to ruthless and cold warriors. The real anti-German racism, developed in France after 1870 (under the guise of revenge), deeply marked our minds: against all logic Germans were from then on treated as wild and uncultivated, primitive predators, uncivilized beings, yet their contributions to science and technology, philosophy, and music were already incomparable. Oh well, that only made them even more heinous! This is far beyond the hatred of the class dunce for the best child in the class... It was Germany against the rest of the world!

It was therefore easy for Jewish agitators, who were at first fascinated by Bismarck’s Germany, once they were settled there in comfortable situations, to turn against their host country and to seek to plunder, deceive, and exploit it; Hitler, aware of the impending disaster and that it was urgently necessary to stop it, put into effect, as we have said above, a series of measures intended to remove the Jews from all positions of power, and then to remove them altogether. *Die Juden sind unser Unglück*: the Jews are our misfortune!

One can understand then the hatred felt by the Jews for the nation which had dared to do that; this hatred was easily shared by the people who had to suffer the German occupation from 1939 to 1945.

This extraordinary coincidence of interests between the Jewish communities and a large part of the non-Jews of Europe and the USA explains the persistence of a hostility, often unconscious, toward Germany. The average American as well as the average European, culturally trained to respect the authority of the *res judicata*, thinks in general, although sometimes vaguely aware that at Nuremberg there was an urge for revenge on the part of the victors against the vanquished, that the trial still had a solemn side and was almost “fair.” That is why the Germans have been forced to accept it, most often with resignation.

The official belief in “gas chambers” and the Holocaust was therefore initiated in Nuremberg with the apparent authority of *res judicata*. **But this is false; at Nuremberg one proved nothing, and therefore one judged nothing: one affirmed.**

⁵ Lit. “forged on to them for a long time an image of cruelty.”

So far, Germany is still a nation struck down, psychologically crushed, under supervision of Israel actually. You can even ask the fundamental question of whether this current German government is democratic and legitimate: the three occupying powers (USA, UK and France) in the western part of the country decided unilaterally in 1948 to create on the totality of their areas the current federal state...! Sixty years after the end of hostilities, there was no peace treaty signed! And there, the answer is terrifying: the hostilities quite simply did not cease on May 1945!

And to this day, in Germany, the psychological damage is such that, after the humiliation suffered in the immediate postwar era, the apparent resurrection of the country from 1950 to 1966, which was followed by the rise of the myth of the so-called "Holocaust" and the so-called "gas chambers," [is over]; it is currently in danger of death. Yes, in danger of death! The permanent clubbing in the media and in the public sphere during the last forty years has been such that a profound spiritual depression is perceptible to anyone who is able to meet Germans, to talk to them and to listen to them. The result is frightening: the youth, smeared and demoralized by pervasive slander regarding the very essence of the German people, refuses to procreate enough for the renewal of generations! "What good is there in making children if our true nature and our nation are radically and essentially criminal? If our elders have coldly put to death six million Jews, this is an inexpiable crime which must lead us to a slow death! Let us have no more German children!" That is the consequence of this calumny, on a subliminal level, like a cancer injected by the propagandists of the alleged Holocaust and the alleged gas chambers!

One of the most horrible things about the slander of the gas chambers and the holocaust is that the so-called friends and neighbors of Germany also were almost happy to accept this hooey which [for them] has had, and still has, the advantage of maintaining Germany as accursed and hence in a state of subjection. For us Westerners the lie of the Holocaust, let us rather say "the lie of the gas chambers," is therefore a cause (*facteur*) for civil war.

Even the Muslim peoples cannot remain in the state of passive spectators. The establishment of Israel in the Middle East by force and blackmail linked to the Holocaust, the bloody oppression of the Palestinian people, the war against Iraq, the threats against the Islamic Republic of Iran, all reveal extraordinarily well the immoderate pride, the duplicity and the greed of Jewish organizations and Israel.

Conclusion

The gas chamber, or rather “the slander of the gas chamber,” is thus the instrument for the oppression of billions of human beings whose only common characteristic is being non-Jewish.

Israel, the Jewish communities and the USA (an instrument despite itself of Judaic aggression) cannot continue like this. The lie which they protect by force and which they propagate by a corrupt, derelict media is to be dismantled (*déstructuré*).

So we need to spread tirelessly and glorify the concern for accuracy in history, promote a free debate about information, stimulate reflection on the absolute impossibility of the existence and functioning of this myth.

We must work with all our strength to throw the alleged gas chambers into the dustbins of History! And we will succeed in doing so because they are desperate and we are going to force them to accept a free discussion, an open debate, which will turn to their discomfort.

No gas chamber, and so no holocaust; accordingly: the State of Israel is a sham, and its peaceful but inexorable dissolution is necessary for world peace.

Yes, ladies and gentlemen, the happy day is not far away when a world free of the alleged gas chambers will finally emerge, a world in which Jews themselves will finally be reunited with the rest of the world by their recognition of this fraud. We know that this reconciliation will initially have to be imposed on them because, of course, it is not desired by the slanderers. It is just that which is their difference! Their unique destiny! Their transcendent difference!

But they will eventually yield. And then there will be no more Jews or Gentiles, but only Children of God.

(The End)

AAARGH

<http://aaargh.com.mx>

<http://vho.org/aaargh>

Mail : aaarghinternational@hotmail.com