

The AAARGH website is under attack

A bunch of selfproclaimed eradicators try to impose their law on Internet. We shall resist these neo-totalitarian attempts on our freedom !

Eight associations attack ISP's

by Catherine COROLLER

Is the pionneer deniers' site AAARGH living its last moments ? Eight associations (1) would like to believe it is. They have araigned in court, on emergency procedure, US providers of this site, ant the main French Internet access providers. (2) Their hope in grounded in the new law, called "Trust in the Digital Economy", passed in June 2004. The law says that the judge migh order any kind of measure to stop the damage caused by the content of an online communication service.

Antijewish hate. In a nutshell, the US providers being out of reach, the judge may impose upon the French access providers a filter that would forbid the French user to access the concerned Internet URL's.

If the eight associations are aiming at AAARGH (Association of Ancient Amateurs of War and Holocaust Tales) it is because their site, founded in 1996, active in eight languages, is "known and aknowledged as one of the most powerful transmitter of antijewish hate on the Internet." Its declared aim is to show that "there was no homicidal massive gassings in the German concentration camps during the nazi periode".

Internet users may download freely 230 negationist books and pamphlets, including the works of Robert Faurisson or Jean Plantin, issues of the Akribeia journal, Bagatelles pour un massacre, by Louis-Ferdinand Celine, or Les Décombres, by Lucien Rebatet, described as "the most famous book among all those which were published during WW II" [All these books are more or less banned or their publication forbidden in today's France. trsl.]

Moving Does AAARGH feel threatened ? One of its two URLs does not function. The second one does and the publisher of the site, whose identity nobody knows, has started a third one. This successive moves raise the question of the efficiency of filters. Although the Movement against Racism and for the Friendship Among People (Mrap) joined the seven organizations in the action, Gerard Kerforn, member of the politburo, has expressed his doubts : «There will be a race. These people will migrate ceaselessly.» Marc Knobel, chairman of I accuse, is conscious about the risk, but he takes the responsibility: «We'll pursue them as long as needed.» Audience in court on Monday 14 march.

(1) Union of the Jewish Students of France, SOS Racism, I accuse... International Action for Justice, French League for the Defense of Human and Citisen's Rights, Movement against Racism and for the Friendship Among People, Memory 2000, Union od the Auschwitz Deportees, The Central [Jewish] Consistory.

(2) France Telecom (Wanadoo), Free, AOL France, Tiscali Access, Neuf (nine) Telecom, Tele2 France, Suez Lyonnaise Telecom, T-Online France, Numericable, GIP Renater (A school community network).

Libération 8 March 2005

<http://www.liberation.fr/page.php?Article=280707>

Our reaction :

WHY CENSORSHIP ?

A bunch of eight French associations, more or less denominational, representing only themselves, are asking the judges to curtail a revisionist website, AAARGH, online since 1996.

A new Law, passed in France in June 2004, seems to allow this censorship through the use of filters that access providers would use, under judicial orders.

Every single human rights chart explicitly protects the freedom of thought and of communication and condemns state censorship. In accordance with this principle, eager to defend our freedom of thought and expression, we have condemned all the laws that have been passed in France since 1990 to abrogate this freedom. We exercise this freedom in spite of these despicable attempts to suppress it. The AAARGH website has never been condemned in court nor even sued, although we have a postal address in Chicago. The French laws which organizes the thought police have decided to first condemn the content and then later the media which carries this content. But the organisations want to do the contrary. They request from a court the condemnation of a content (a website) which it has not yet appraised. The Access Providers and the digital world treasure the increased freedom provided by the developments of Internet. They have had already opportunities to repulse previous attempts by the same organizations to clamp down their own censorship on Internet

As a matter of fact, this is truly a political operation. The promoters of censorship are those who wish to encapsulate and protect the violent acts by which an expansionist Israel tries to maintain itself on Palestinian soil, in spite of the unanimous rejection by the local population and the growing criticism of world opinion. For a long time, the UN have equated zionism and racism. The AAARGH website is committed to the struggle against this brand of racism , its genocidal policies and the distortions of history that goes along. Those who approve these policies and those who applaud the massacres cannot speak from a higher moral ground. They consistently refused to debate and everyone knows why. They may use procedural tricks. They will not silence us.

The AAARGH Team.
9 March, 2005

Iraq Invasion 'Biggest Cultural Disaster Since 1258'

Humberto Marquez

CARACAS - One million books, 10 million documents, and 14,000 archaeological artifacts have been lost in the U.S.-led invasion and subsequent occupation of Iraq - the biggest cultural disaster since the descendants of Genghis Khan destroyed Baghdad in 1258, Venezuelan writer Fernando Baez told IPS.

"U.S. and Polish soldiers are still stealing treasures today and selling them across the borders with Jordan and Kuwait, where art merchants pay up to \$57,000 for a Sumerian tablet," said Baez, who was interviewed during a brief visit to Caracas.

The expert on the destruction of libraries has helped document the devastation of cultural and religious objects in Iraq, where the ancient Mesopotamian kingdoms of Sumer, Akkad, and Babylon emerged, giving it a reputation as the birthplace of civilisation.

His inventory of the destruction and his denunciations that the coalition forces are violating the Hague Convention of 1954 on the protection of cultural heritage in times of war have earned him the enmity of Washington. Baez said he was refused a visa to enter the United States to take part in conferences.

In addition, he has been barred from returning to Iraq "to carry out further investigations," he added. "But it's too late, because we already have documents, footage and photos that in time will serve as evidence of the atrocities committed," said Baez, the author of *The Cultural Destruction of Iraq and A Universal History of the Destruction of Books*, which were published in Spanish. .

Inter Press Service, February 16th, 2005

<http://www.stopusa.be/scripts/texte.php?section=BD&langue=3&id=23507>

DISHEARTENING

The Dresden Syndrome

by Robert Faurisson

For the first time in sixty years, the French daily *Le Monde* has just shown a bit of humanity and understanding towards the German people in calling to mind some of the atrocious sufferings inflicted on the great defeated nation by the wartime Allies. On the front page of its edition dated 13-14 February, the newspaper carried the three-column headline "La renaissance de Dresde réveille la mémoire allemande" ("the rebirth of Dresden awakens German memory"). Page 2 was entirely devoted to the commemoration of the 1945 bombing of Dresden. The editorial, on page 17, was entitled "Mémoire allemande" ("German memory"); it was, of course, Jesuitical in tone but there were to be noted a few sentences that give some hope; for example: "With the passing of time, we are witnessing a re-examination of Germany's history with its dark points and bright points".

January's Holocaustic tsunami had smothered a good number of French people. But it seems that, from the beginning of this month, a turnaround has started to get underway in the public consciousness. It remains to be hoped that this turnaround will be long-lasting in France, Germany and the rest of the world. No illusions should be harboured about *Le Monde's* capacity to defy a certain coterie in this way. One may even fear that, in order to seek forgiveness for a one-off act of daring, it will resume its holocaustic one-upmanship with the rest of the media, for instance in April, on the occasion of the "Days of the Deportation", or in July, for the commemoration of the 1944 rounding up of Jews at the "Vel d'Hiv" (the winter cycling arena) in Paris, or in October-November during Chirac's visit to the camp of Struthof in Alsace. That said, *Le Monde* has made an effort at probity, and it might be worthwhile to write to chairman Jean-Marie Colombani and encourage him along this new path. Some readers had spoken up against the exorbitant space allotted by the paper to the sixtieth anniversary of the "liberation of the Auschwitz camp". In his "Chronique du médiateur" ("mediator's column"), Robert Solé echoed their protests, going so far as to

write: "A first front-page headline, in the issue of January 25th, was followed by a second, on the 26th, then by a third, on the 28th. There was doubtless one too many" (*Le Monde* of 30-31 January, p. 14). Ten days later, a reader's letter was published with the title "The destruction of Dresden"; it ended with the question: "Don't you think it would be right to talk about this, not only out of respect for the hapless victims, but also to remind many people that the apocalyptic tragedy occurred just sixty years ago?" (11 February, p. 16).

In Paris on 12 February, sixteen members of the government, Prime Minister Jean-Pierre Raffarin among them, attended the annual dinner of the CRIF ("representative council of the Jewish institutions of France"). True to form, Roger Cukierman took the liberty of making numerous complaints and threats against France and her government. Hitherto he was always thanked for his observations, with compliments to boot. This time, Michel Barnier, Minister for foreign affairs, deemed R. Cukierman's talk "disheartening". For his part François Fillon, education minister, stated: "The president of the CRIF was able to note, upon returning to his seat, that the members of the government considered that the very strong attacks made against France's foreign policy were not acceptable" (*Le Monde*, 15 February, p. 9). Not long ago, the voicing by our political leaders of such reservations or criticisms with regard to the omnipotent Jewish organisation would have been inconceivable.

Towards a re-examination of German history?

Will there be talk one day of the "Dresden syndrome"? Are we at present seeing the first signs and symptoms of a return to reason after sixty years of outrageous propaganda against a country that was defeated in the second world war? In January 2005, the French on the whole were staggered at the fits of shoahitic hysteria. They wondered what could ever have produced such sustained epilepsy. The Jews, for their part, know the how and the why, but have to conceal it: the edifice of the "Holocaust" or Shoah seems ever more to them to be shaking at the foundations. Initially, over the period from 1975 to 1995, they had counted on their historians to rebut the revisionists' arguments. But the outcome was to be a thorough fiasco. On the plane of reason and history, the revisionists have annihilated the Poliakovs, Wellers, Dawidowicz and Vidal-Naquets like the Klarsfelds and the Berenbaums (who had engaged the services of a Jean-Claude Pressac), or again, the Raul Hilbergs and, later on, the Jan van Pelts. The general public are unaware of this because of the repression exerted by a thought police that has managed to obtain the passage of special laws against the distribution of revisionist material. But then, the Jews themselves read the revisionists and have been spectators to the rout of their own historians.

Thus, in a latter period, they have progressively abandoned the field of the rational world for that of whatever may grab some attention. They have dismissed their historians and brought their clowns and tumblers out onto the floor, the Elie Wiesels and the Claude Lanzmanns. To evoke the Shoah they have turned to imagination, to fiction, the cinema, novels, the theatre, television, spectacles and ceremonies of all sorts, and to the phantasmagoria of the "Holocaust" religion, industry or business, all to the point where the average Frenchman, caught in a whirlpool of images, a constant fracas, a tide of recriminations coupled with endless moaning and groaning, has had no choice but to ingest the force-fed frenzied accounts of Nazi barbarism and extermination of the Jews, an extermination which, let it be said in passing, fortunately produced an ever-renewed throng of "lone witnesses", "sole survivors" and "incredibly, miraculously spared" Jews. The sewers have all been dug open up again. Alleged testimonies and confessions that the Jewish historians themselves had written off as false have since been recycled and presented as genuine. Finally, the most receptive section of the population has been set upon: children from the age of seven (!) and middle and secondary school pupils. They are the choice target of a brazen propaganda. Between the ages of seven and seventeen, possessing only a few scraps of historical knowledge and generally having scant idea of the lengths to which an adult will go - especially in old age - to make himself interesting either as a smooth-talker or a downright liar, youngsters are hardly armed to defend against it. Kitted out with their "Simone Veil satchels", the children or adolescents thus taken in would be very surprised indeed to learn that the said Veil was for a long time officially listed as an Auschwitz gassing victim (under her maiden name of Jacob) and was, in that camp, a regular witness to specific occurrences which show that the SS men were not at all instructed to treat the Jews like so much expendable livestock.

The propagandists will not be changing tack. Their folly will carry them still further. They will turn up the sound still higher. One day, this folly will be plain for all to see. Perhaps then it will be said that, at least on this chapter of second world war history, the return to reason began in February 2005. The syndrome of Dresden and its white roses will have "awakened German memory" and opened the way for a "re-examination of Germany's

history". Meanwhile, in Canada, the German pacifist Ernst Zündel languishes in the high security prison where he has been kept for the past two years, without even being charged. His crime? He is a revisionist. His wrongdoing? He works to awaken German memory and demands a re-examination of his country's history.

February 15, 2005

QUESTION

Is Revisionism in a Crisis Brought on by Zundel's deportation to Germany?

Mel Fowler

Question : *Should Zundel attempt to contest the issue of his guilt in the German court which, before the trial begins, has already assumed guilt as a matter of law ?*

Answer : The Court, of course, will see that as a disruption of the proceedings and will not permit it. If he persists, he will be cited for contempt. Zundel's guilt is an issue that will have to be tried in the public forum outside the Court. That, I think, is where the Revisionists should hit the self-appointed authorities with everything they've got. They should try to create nothing less than a public crisis of disbelief. They should hammer away at the fact that German law as it presently exists is an affront to the intelligence of the German people. They should expose the underlying reality that the judicial system under which Zundel is prosecuted does not subsist under the authority of the German Reich and therefore, its Court is not a legitimate Court. It won't get Zundel acquitted, of course, but, to be sure, it has the potential of bringing a great blaze of light into the oppressed and benighted minds of the German people.

Question : *Is the "Holocaust" the issue ?*

Answer : The "Holocaust," that is to say, the extermination allegation, is not and for a long time has not been the issue. Authority for that assertion is the following quote set forth below from Professor Arthur Butz book, "The Hoax of the Twentieth Century":

"... my most important advice to those who enter the controversy is that they not lose sight of the fact that the real bone of contention, the extermination allegation, has been laid to rest beyond peradventure by ordinary historical analysis."

"The issue is the immense complex of oppressive measures built into German life and German law as it exists today, designed to force acceptance of the "Holocaust" upon the German people, and thereby upon the entire world, principally by criminalizing and punishing those who raise questions about the "Holocaust." The extermination allegation, in the words of Professor Butz, having been "laid to rest beyond peradventure," the oppressive measures intended to force acceptance of the "Holocaust" upon the German people have no foundation to rest upon and are a fraud."

The objective, then, must be to expose this fraud for what it is.

Question : *Is Revisionism in a crisis brought on by Zundel's deportation to Germany?*

Answer : I say as emphatically as I can, NO, IT IS NOT ! Quite the opposite. Zundel's deportation presents revisionists with a dramatically stepped-up opportunity to deal their enemies the heaviest blow yet!

Mel Fowler, Attorney
Zgram 17 03 05

USE AND ABUSE

Using the Holocaust to ward off criticism

By Amira Hass

The crowd of world leaders visiting the new Holocaust History Museum at Yad Vashem attests to the strength of Israel's position in the West. Israel is often criticized in the home country of these leaders, but many Israelis and Jews will, as usual, attribute such criticism to anti-Semitism. Palestinians and left wingers including Jews will discover that the knowledge about the Israeli occupation in these countries is meager, and the public's interest in it is weak.

The pilgrimage to Jerusalem of so many European leaders shows that they are not deterred by the criticism of Israel - they are taking part in a media event that can only be interpreted as support for Israel, as it is today.

At best, the visit can be seen as encouragement to both sides to stick to the "renewed peace process." But encouragement for what? For the meetings between Mohammed Dahlan and Nasser Yousef with Shaul Mofaz? For the separation barrier, whose construction is continuing with vigor, contrary to the verdict of the International Court of Justice (ICJ) in The Hague? For the condescending Israeli "gestures" - 200 more movement permits to merchants, a road open to private Palestinian vehicles, not only to public ones? Or for the continued mashing of Palestinian East Jerusalem and severing it from the rest of the Palestinian territory, in violation of the international demand that East Jerusalem serve as the Palestinian state's capital?

Are the German foreign minister and the Dutch and Swedish prime ministers - after crossing themselves and proving they remember the Holocaust - planning to remind Israel that all the settlements, not only the outposts, are illegal? Will they demand that Israel evacuate them? Which of the participants in the ceremony will go to see the roads for Jews only and for Palestinians only? Will any of them protest the laws discriminating against Israeli citizens, only because they are non-Jews - Arabs - and threaten to impose sanctions unless these laws are revoked?

One of the infuriating absurdities in every injustice, especially one of inconceivable proportions like the German murder industry (with extensive European aid), is that the victims and their offspring remember and live it day in and day out. The perpetrators, however, repress and forget it, and it is easy for their offspring to ignore it.

So let the entire diplomatic throng, which is seeking Sharon's audience today, go and talk of the European responsibility for the Holocaust in its own territory, not in Israel. Berlin, Paris, Amsterdam, Krakow, Sarajevo, and the villages and forests around them are soaked with the memories of our parents, with the forgetfulness of the perpetrators and their offspring, and with the helplessness and indifference of those standing idly by. Let the prime ministers and foreign ministers go there and raise the memory and knowledge and historic understanding. And not just once a year, on the day of Auschwitz's liberation or Germany's surrender, just to pay lip service.

We remember and feel the pain of that liquidation day by day. Let us confront them with it day by day. For example, let it be inscribed on a large marble slab outside every house in which Jews used to live, where they were deported and where they were murdered. Let every railway station from which the human transports were dispatched provide the information: when, how many trains a day, how many people. Let the names of those responsible for the transport be written down - at the police station, the railway station, city hall.

The way to fight the fading memory is not merely with memorial monuments and ceremonies. It is done mainly with an uncompromising rejection of the master race ideology, which divided the world into superior and inferior races and denied the principle of equality among human beings. We were placed at the bottom of the ladder of the Nazi ideology. Would this ideology not have been criminal had we been ranked in the upper rungs?

An ideology that divides the world into those who are worth more and those who are worth less, into superior and inferior beings, does not have to reach the dimensions of the German genocide to be improper and wrong - the apartheid in South Africa, for example.

Thirty-eight years of Israeli occupation of the Palestinian nation have accustomed generations of Israelis to regard the Palestinians as inferior, and therefore not as deserving

as we are. But hush, one must not say that out loud, because Israelis will raise an indignant cry: "How can you compare?"

In the same way, it is forbidden to demand of us - with diplomatic threats - to change our ways. Because then we will remind them of our people who were murdered.

This widely covered event shows that Israel has turned the liquidation of Europe's Jews into an asset. Our murdered relatives are being enlisted to enable Israel to continue not giving a damn about international decisions against the occupation. The suffering our parents endured in the ghettos and concentration camps that filled Europe, the physical and mental anguish and torment that our parents were subjected to every single day since the "liberation," are used as weapons to thwart any international criticism of the society we are creating here. This is a society with built-in discrimination on the basis of nationality, and the discrimination is spreading on either side of the Green Line. This is a society that is systematically continuing to banish the Palestinian nation from its land and usurp its rights as a nation and its chances for a humane future.

Ha'aretz, 16 March 2005.

<<http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/552572.html>>

CENSORSHIP AND THE EMPIRE

Dieudonné and the Uses of "Anti-Semitism"

By **Diana Johnstone**

When power becomes blatantly criminal, it's time to make people shut up. That time seems to have come throughout the Empire. Freedom of speech is increasingly threatened, both in the United States and in "old Europe", although the attacks come from quite different angles.

In the United States, the assault is clearly led by far right fanatics such as David Horowitz, who is inciting students to denounce professors who dare try to teach them something they didn't think they already knew. The purpose is clearly to ban criticism of United States war policy.

In old Europe, the assault is more subtle and probably less lucid in its aims. It is led in part by people who consider themselves on the left and who seem blissfully unaware of the danger of limiting freedom of speech.

In Germany, it has long been illegal to deny that the Holocaust took place: the offense called "the Auschwitz lie" can be punished by up to three years in prison. German television insists relentlessly on Hitler and his crimes, as if he were still lurking in the wings. This has done nothing to prevent the rise of neo-Nazi groups. It may even have helped them grow, in accordance with the phenomenon, demonstrated in the Soviet zone, that establishing "official truth"-even if true-can be the best way to make many people believe the contrary. But more than that, the far right in Germany seems to be gaining ground as a result of widespread disillusion, especially in Eastern Germany, with the neoliberal economic policies that were supposed to bring prosperity but instead have brought growing unemployment and poverty.

In any case, the center left government of Social Democrats and Greens has undertaken to react to rightist demonstrations by broadening the law against "Volksverhetzung"-a concept that can be translated as "incitement of the masses" or "poisoning of the minds of the people". In the future, it should not be enough to prosecute persons who "approve, justify, deny or play down genocide of Jews and gypsies" in a way apt to "disturb public peace" (a vague notion). The new law would make it equally criminal to speak in any of those ways about any case of "genocide" condemned by any international court whose jurisdiction has been recognized by the government of the Federal Republic of Germany.

Now, judicial history is marked by famously unjust verdicts reversed after long struggles to right the wrong. But the German law could make it a crime to challenge the

International Tribunal on Former Yugoslavia, set up by NATO powers to control and manipulate political conflict in the Balkans, when it officially convicts Serbs for "genocide". Anyone who points out that the Tribunal's definition of "genocide" has been contrived for political purposes, and that its procedures are blatantly prejudiced, might risk being arrested.

If there are to be limits on freedom of speech, they should be directly related to action. Thus, if a political leader exhorts a hall full of followers to go out and commit a pogrom, this can legitimately be considered a criminal act. But the trend is to expand criminalization of speech far beyond such incitements to embrace expression of opinions, including opinions about the past—about facts which by their very nature may be debated but cannot be changed.

In France, the restriction on freedom of speech also began with criminalization of "the Auschwitz lie". And as in Germany, it is unlikely to stop there. Incitement to racial hatred or discrimination has been outlawed in France since 1972. In July 1990, the French National Assembly adopted an amendment extending the 1972 law to persons who dispute the existence of crimes against humanity, as defined by the Nuremberg tribunal, and "which have been committed either by the members of an organization declared criminal [...] or by a person found guilty of such crimes by a French or international jurisdiction". The intent of this law was clearly to punish statements denying the reality of the Nazi genocide against the Jews. However, the unspecified reference to "international jurisdiction" may have unwittingly opened the door to prosecution of persons challenging the verdicts of quite different international tribunals, such as the NATO-linked tribunal in The Hague.

The 1990 amendment, known as the "Gayssot law", was introduced by a Communist member of the Assembly. It seems that the French left, especially the Communist Party, in its understandable desire to preserve the legacy of the French Resistance during World War II, has seen no danger in setting a precedent for punishing speech as well as acts.

In recent years, the context has changed considerably. In the face of worldwide protests over treatment of Palestinians, increasing efforts have been made to extend the definition of "anti-Semitism" to cover criticism of Israel. By insisting that there can be no distinction between Jews and "the Jewish state" (a proposition vigorously denied by many if not most French Jews), and thereby identifying criticism of Israel with "anti-Semitism", the ultra-Zionists seem to be provoking the anti-Semitism they denounce. Whether or not this is deliberate is debatable. France has the largest Jewish population in Europe, a skilled and assimilated population that Ariel Sharon is openly trying to lure to Israel by claiming that Jews are not safe anywhere else, and notably not in France because of alleged anti-Semitism.

Once criticism of Israel is identified with anti-Semitism, it becomes implicitly taboo because of the association of anti-Semitism with Holocaust denial. A main practitioner of this moral intimidation is Roger Cukierman, a far right Zionist who presides over the "Representative Council of Jewish organizations of France" (CRIF). In April 2002, Cukierman actually hailed the surprisingly strong showing of Le Pen in the first round of the French presidential elections as a "good lesson for the Arabs". Cukierman surely does not represent the countless French citizens of Jewish origin who are not members of Jewish organizations. Nevertheless, CRIF's annual dinner has become a "must" for France's political leaders, who listen docilely each year while Cukierman castigates them for not doing enough to stop anti-Semitism. (The exception, two years ago, was a Green who walked out after Cukierman identified "Greens and Reds" with fascist "browns" on account of their support to Palestinians.) This year, sixteen cabinet ministers bowed their heads while Cukierman attacked President Chirac's foreign policy. By this is meant Chirac's opposition to the U.S. war against Iraq and attempt to pursue a balanced policy toward the Middle East.

This illustrates the fact that the "fight against anti-Semitism" is increasingly being injected into geopolitical discussion, as a pretext for stigmatizing growing opposition to policies of both Israel and the United States.

This stigmatization has reached a new pitch with the current campaign to silence, legally or illegally, the French comedian Dieudonné. The campaign began back in December 2003 following a short TV sketch in which Dieudonné, dressed as a uniformed Israeli settler in the Palestinian occupied territories, called on young people to "join the American-Zionist axis of good". This was punctuated by "Isra-heil!" An uproar ensued. Jewish organizations were largely successful in forcing theaters around France to cancel Dieudonné's appearances, sometimes by threatening violent disruption. Nevertheless, courts dismissed all the numerous lawsuits brought against him. When he succeeded in finding a theater that would let him perform, he won standing ovations from a full house.

Dieudonné M'Bala M'Bala is the French son of a mother from Brittany and a father from Cameroon. As rather frequently happens, his education in Catholic schools turned "God-given" (the literal translation of his Christian name) into a freethinker sharply critical of all religions. In his one-man shows, he habitually parodies all religions without exception including the animism of his African ancestors. Irreverence is a staple of French humor, which constantly ridicules Catholicism and Islam in the most outrageous terms.

Insisting on his commitment to equality and universal human values, Dieudonné has refused to censure himself as his critics demand. They have been lying in wait. In a press conference in Algiers last month, he cited the expression "memorial pornography", coined by an Israeli historian, Idith Zerkal, to refer to aspects of certain commemorations of the Holocaust. Apparently, none of the Algerian journalists saw fit to report this particular remark, which reduced it to a private expression. However, it was picked up by a Zionist website, www.proche.orient.com, which spread the word that Dieudonné had described the Shoah as "memorial pornography".

A new and more violent "Dieudonné affair" was launched. The stock in trade of comedians is excess and bad taste. On both those counts, Dieudonné is relatively mild. His manner is good natured; with none of the venom characterizing certain U.S. talk show hosts. Back in Paris, Dieudonné told the press that his words had been distorted. He had never mentioned the Shoah itself, and stressed his respect for the victims of that great tragedy—a tragedy for all humanity.

But it was not enough to correct misquotes.. Whatever his words, hostile reporters demanded to know: "but what did you *mean*?" In other words, what did you *think*? The criminalization of spoken words leads almost inevitably to the attempt to criminalize unspoken thoughts. Explaining his political outlook, Dieudonné said that his fight against racism led him to oppose "exacerbated communitarism" which sets one religious community against another. But why was there no memorial for victims of the slave trade? Why was it that subsidies were available for some 150 films on the Holocaust, while he was unable to get backing for a film on the "code noir", the legal basis for the French slave trade? This did absolutely nothing to assuage Dieudonné's critics, and the chorus of media attacks in the following days became more virulent. Bernard-Henri Levy flamboyantly described the comedian as the "son of Le Pen"- regardless of the well-known fact that in his home town of Dreux, Dieudonné has been politically active in opposing Le Pen's National Front. For Dieudonné, the cancellations and death threats are pouring in.

Even if he wins in court, as he has in the past, the media are clearly out to destroy him. The significance of this campaign goes far beyond its effects on the career of a talented young performer with children to support. Two more general effects can be signaled.

First of all, the campaign against Dieudonné amounts to an attempt to silence a leading voice of secular universalism with a strong following among young people of all communities in France, notably-but by no means exclusively-among children of immigrants from African and Arab countries. Many, unlike him, are religious. But if veiled Muslim girls can laugh at the comedians' satire of Islamic extremists, why is similar satire of Orthodox Zionist settlers not allowed? Why does the CRIF have more influence than any organization representing the far more numerous Muslim community? Isn't the secular universalism of Dieudonné a healthy response to the threat of conflict between religious communities? Secondly, and perhaps of even greater significance, the campaign against the French comic is a small part of a broad tendency to use the charge of "anti-Semitism" to silence criticism of United States policy in the Middle East, including the conquest of Iraq. This is sometimes blatant and sometimes subtle. The expression "memorial pornography" is no doubt lacking in both precision and good taste. But it expresses a certain fatigue, not least among a number of Jewish high school students, with the constant commemoration of a terrible past tragedy, to the exclusion of others (the bombing of Hiroshima, for example). There is a growing suspicion that this repetition is not really helping to ensure that "it can't happen again". Rather, it is being exploited to silence opposition to the war policies of the United States and its main partner in the Middle East. Such opposition, after all, was the meaning of Dieudonné's parody of "the axis of evil"-essentially concerned with the present and the immediate future, and by no means a denial of the past.

On the ideological level, the constant reference to the Holocaust, with the suggestion that a new persecution of Europe's Jews may begin tomorrow, creates a subtle but profound cleavage between the United States and "old Europe". For Germany, obviously, but also-with infinitely less justification, but equal insistence from American critics-for France, reference to the Holocaust arouses an endless sense of guilt, disqualifying those European powers from any future geopolitical role.

For the United States, on the contrary, the Holocaust has become the key feature of an ideology justifying U.S. military intervention to "save victims" around the world. This is based on the mythical notion (which ignores, among other things, the decisive role of the Red Army in defeating the Third Reich) that it was the United States that finally came to the rescue of the victims of the Holocaust. The implication of this myth, which underlies the enormous exaggeration of "the return of anti-Semitism" in France, is that Europeans, if left to their own devices, will probably start to persecute the Jews once again. And only the United States can stop them.

Thus the myth of benevolent U.S. military intervention is empowered by the ideological exploitation of the Holocaust, just as "old Europe" is disempowered by it. This is one reason why politicians and media in Europe by no means all of them Jewish—who want their countries to follow Washington find it politically useful to keep harping on the Holocaust.

This is not respect for the victims but exploitation of them. By a constant implicit blackmail, the pro-NATO politicians and media help keep Europe morally crippled, disqualified from opposing the U.S.-led wars to remodel the Middle East.

There seems to have been more indignation in French media over some garbled reports of remarks by Dieudonné than over the total destruction of the Iraqi city of Fallujah. In such a world, is there much place left for humorists?

Diana Johnstone is the author of [Fools' Crusade: Yugoslavia, Nato, and Western Delusions](#) published by Monthly Review Press.

CounterPunch 28 February 2005
<<http://www.counterpunch.org/johnstone02282005.html>>

BIGOT'S BIGOT

Government Warns Publisher of Anti-Semitic Letter

(IsraelINN.com) Russian government agency has sent an official warning to the extremist Russian Orthodox newspaper "Rus Pravoslavnaya" that last month published a stridently anti-Semitic letter signed by 19 Duma members calling for a ban on Jewish organizations in Russia. The agency charges incitement of ethnic hatred, according to a January 28 report by the daily "Kommersant." Founded in 1993, "Rus Pravoslavnaya" is published in St. Petersburg, and it generally reflects the views of extremist elements in the Russian Orthodox Church whose hierarchy has denounced the anti-Semitic letter.

The warning was issued by the Federal Service for Supervising the Observance of Laws in the Media. It characterized the letter as containing "multiple negative statements against Jews and Judaism which were aimed at the incitement of ethnic and religious hatred and the humiliation of their ethnic dignity, which is inadmissible under current legislation." If "Rus Pravoslavnaya," whose past record of publishing anti-Semitic articles has been ignored by the Federal Service until now, receives another warning, the Federal Service will have the right to take the paper's editors to court and ask to shut it down.

The Russian Jewish Congress has announced its intention to pursue legal remedies against both "Rus Pravoslavnaya" and the authors of the letter, according to a February 1 report by the Sova Information-Analytical Center. The Congress plans to appeal to the Prosecutor's Office to bring charges of incitement. However, members of the Duma enjoy immunity from prosecution.

Nevertheless, Andrey Savelev -- a signer of the letter, member of the "Motherland" (Rodina) faction in the Duma, and an ardent anti-Semite -- was quoted by "Kommersant" as saying that the letter that has been withdrawn by its authors (though by no means renounced or apologized for), will be revised and re-sent to the Prosecutor's Office within a month or two. (Bigotry Monitor -- UCSJ's weekly newsletter)

Arutz sheva 22-23 02 05
<<http://www.israelnationalnews.com/news.php3?id=76441>>

OLD TALES
March 21, 1994 12:23:31 AM

ALERT!!! "60 MINUTES" HOAX!!

"60 MINUTES", HOLOCAUST PROPAGANDA and the BIG LIE

Dan Gannon

The "60 Minutes" segment entitled "Who Says It Never Happened?", aired on 3/20/94, was intentionally designed to deceive the public in an enormous way. This review will conclusively demonstrate that accusation to be true. You will, of sound mind, never trust the "Holocaust experts" or "60 Minutes" again. This review, for reasons of length, will cover only what I consider to be the worst and biggest lies of the segment.

The following dialogue contains outrageous lies. I have marked relevant locations in the dialogue with a number, preceded by an asterisk. After the dialogue, each is discussed.

BERENBAUM: "We're asked, um, by Holocaust deniers, 'Show us proof that the gas chambers existed.'"*1

VOICE OVER: Michael Berenbaum is Research Director of the Holocaust Museum in Washington. He was invited to speak at Queen's College as the debate continued there about the ad from the deniers."

BERENBAUM: "One of the things you have to understand is the Holocaust is the most documented crime in human history."*2

HOST: "Why?"

BERENBAUM: "In a very real way, the Germans were proud of what they were doing, they wanted to document it, they wanted to proclaim it."*3

HOST: "Proud because..."

BERENBAUM: "Because they thought they were doing the world a favor in getting rid of the Jews. They thought that this was essential to the salvation of the German nation."

1. (See number 2.)

2. If the "Holocaust" is really "the most documented crime in human history", the fact that the "Holocaust deniers" ask for "proof that the gas chambers existed" should pose no problem whatsoever to Mr. Berenbaum. Why can't he produce the requested proof? Where is all of this "documentation" he speaks of? If he refers to "eyewitness testimony", he should know that even Shmuel Krakowski -- director of archives at Israel's Yad Vashem Holocaust Documentation Center -- reports that over 10,000 "eyewitness testimonies" to Nazi atrocities have been found to be FALSE at Yad Vashem alone!

3. Notice how he says "the Germans" and not "the Nazis". He claims that "the Germans", as a whole, not only KNEW about these alleged exterminations, but he is also saying that they "wanted to document it, they wanted to proclaim it." That claim DIRECTLY CONTRADICTS his key piece of "evidence"

-- Heinrich Himmler's alleged "Posen speech" -- in which Himmler supposedly says, "This is an unwritten, never to be written, glorious page in our history." How can Mr. Berenbaum reconcile these directly contradictory statements? One certainly wonders.

The following dialogue contains horrendous lies:

[A clip is being shown of Hitler standing in his vehicle, saluting his troops as they march by on the street.]

BERENBAUM: "We have the speech of Himmler indicating that this was a chapter of German history never to be written--" (interrupted)

HOST: "Wait--" (interrupted)

BERENBAUM: "But one of the proudest moments in German history."

[break]

HOST: "Extermination never took place?" [pause] "Heinrich Himmler was not in charge of exterminating anyone?"

ZUNDEL: "No."

HOST: "In a speech to his SS Generals, Poland, October 4th, 1943, Heinrich Himmler said, quote:"

[a short sentence in German is played on a tape recorder]

HOST: "The Jewish race is being exterminated. We have the duty towards our people to destroy these people that want to destroy us. We can talk about it openly here. We must never talk about it publicly. This is an unwritten, never to be written, glorious page in our history."

ZUNDEL: "Give the source?"

HOST: "This is a forgery, you think?"

ZUNDEL: "I want proof. Page numbers, documents, originals."

HOST: "If we could show you proof, that'll change your mind?"

ZUNDEL: "Yeah, it would."

[break]

VOICE OVER: "Well, we were able to provide that proof! Robert Wolf of the National Archives in Washington gave us a copy of the original tape and transcripts of that speech, made by the Nazis themselves, plus notes for that speech in Himmler's own handwriting. When we told Zundel he claimed they were ALL forgeries, the way he dismisses ALL documentation of the Holocaust."

BERENBAUM: "The wonderful thing about Zundel is that he doesn't -- he's not bound by any historic information. If it's a forgery, then you'd have to forge the historic accounts that were detailed of the various ways in which people were killed. You'd have to forge the testimony that took place in the aftermath of the war at the trials. You'd have to forge the films. My God, it would have taken THOUSANDS if not TENS OF THOUSANDS of people in order to achieve this type of forgery."

[During the above, footage is shown of a British bulldozer pushing the corpses Typhus victims into a mass grave (at Bergen-Belsen), after the camp had been captured by the Allies. This clip is, as usual, deceptively shown to make people think it represents the "extermination of the Jews" by the Nazis. They normally -- and incorrectly -- think it's a Nazi bulldozer pushing "gassed" victims, and they are not informed that it is actually a British bulldozer pushing Typhus victims!]

Good God! Before commenting on this supposed "Posen speech", I must comment on Berenbaum's claims about forgeries. It is well-documented that a significant number of photos and documents WERE FORGED, specifically for the purpose of falsely incriminating Nazis and the German people. (See Udo Walendy's *Forged War Crimes Malign the German People* and Carlos Porter's *Not Guilty at Nuremberg: The German Defense Case*.) Many "eyewitness accounts" are simply demonstrably false. Just ask Shmuel Krakowski at Yad Vashem -- they've identified over 10,000 such false testimonies! Or read first-hand about Filip Muller's infamous **jumping buckets of flesh**, etc. ad infinitum. Now the thing that Berenbaum apparently thinks seals his argument about forgeries is the FILMS. It would indeed have been difficult for forgers to simply manufacture a film out of thin air, drawing frame by frame by hand or whatever. No, Mr. Berenbaum, Revisionists do not claim that! The film footage is real. Some stuff was staged, but it was real footage (of staged items). And some footage is simply mislabeled, like the often-used footage of the British bulldozer pushing the bodies of Typhus victims into a mass grave. Mr. Berenbaum, your argument falls of its own weight. (If you even had an argument to begin with.)

Now, about this alleged "Posen speech". I quote *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, by Arthur Butz, pp. 193-195, including notes:

There is a speech allegedly given by Himmler in Posen in October 1943. The translation of the relevant part, as it appears in the NMT volumes, is as follows, with the original German given in some cases:*1

I also want to talk to you, quite frankly, on a very grave matter. Among ourselves it should be mentioned quite frankly, and yet we will never speak of it publicly. Just as we did not hesitate on 30 June 1934 to do the duty we were bidden and stand comrades who had lapsed up against the wall and shoot them, so we have never spoken about it and will never speak about it...

I mean the evacuation of the Jews [die Judenevakuierung], the extermination [Ausrottung] of the Jewish race. It's one of those things it is easy to talk about, "The Jewish race is being exterminated [ausgerottet]," says one Party Member, "that's quite clear, it's in our program -- elimination [Ausschaltung] of the Jews and we're doing it, extermination [Ausrottung] is what we're doing." And then they come, 80 million worthy Germans, and each one has his decent Jew. Of course the others are vermin, but this one

is an A-1 Jew. Not one of all those who talk this way has watched it, not one of them has gone through it. Most of YOU must know what it means when 100 corpses are lying side by side, or 500, or 1,000. To have stuck it out and at the same time -- apart from exceptions caused by human weakness -- to have remained decent fellows, that is what has made us hard. This is a page of glory in our history which has never been written and is never to be written, for we know how difficult we should have made it for ourselves, if with the bombing raids, the burdens and the deprivations of war we still had Jews today in every town as secret saboteurs, agitators, and trouble-mongers. We would now probably have reached the 1916-1917 stage when the Jews were still in the German national body.

We have taken from them what wealth they had. I have issued a strict order, which SS OBERGRUPPENFUEHRER Pohl has carried out, that this wealth should, as a matter of course, be handed over to the Reich without reserve. We have taken none of it for ourselves ... We had the moral right, we had the duty to our people, to destroy this people [*dieses Volk umzubringen*] which wanted to destroy us. But we have not the right to enrich ourselves with so much as a fur, a watch, a mark, or a cigarette, or anything else. Because we exterminated [*ausrotteten*] a germ, we do not want in the end to be infected by the germ and die of it ... Wherever it may form, we will cauterize it.

The evidence that Himmler actually made these remarks is very weak. The alleged text of the Posen speech is part of document 1919-PS and covers 63 pages in the IMT volumes. The quoted portion occurs in a section of 1 1/2 pages length which stands about mid-way in the text under the heading "Jewish evacuation". The manuscript of the speech, which bears no signature or other endorsement, is said (in the descriptive material accompanying the trial document) to have been found in Rosenberg's files. It was put into evidence at the IMT as part of document 1919-PS but it was not stated, during the IMT proceedings, where the document was supposed to have been found, and nobody questioned Rosenberg in connection with it. On the other hand Rosenberg was questioned in regard to 3428-PS, another document said to have been found in his files (which is discussed briefly below), and he denied that it could have been part of his files.*2 It is further claimed that during Case 11 "the Rosenberg files were rescreened and 44 records were discovered to be a phonographic recording of Himmler's Poznan speech of 4 October 1943".*3 The records are supposed to be document NO-5909, and were put into evidence during the testimony of defendant Gottlob Berger, SS General, former head of the SS administrative department, Himmler's personal liaison with Rosenberg's Ministry for the Occupied East, and chief of POW affairs toward the end of the war. In his direct examination Berger had testified that he had known nothing of any extermination program and also that Himmler had, indeed, delivered an "interminable" speech at Posen in 1943, to an audience of higher SS leaders which included himself. However he denied that document 1919-PS was an accurate transcript of the speech since he recalled that part of the speech had dealt with certain Belgian and Dutch SS leaders who were present at the meeting, and*4

That is not contained in the transcript. I can say with certainty that he did not speak about the Ausrottung of the Jews, because the reason for this meeting was to equalize and adjust these tremendous tensions between the Waffen SS and the Police.

In the cross examination prosecutor Petersen played a phonograph recording of somebody speaking the first lines of the alleged speech, but Berger at first denied that the voice was Himmler's and then, after a second playing of the same lines, he said that it "might be Heinrich Himmler's voice". The records were then offered in evidence and more excerpts, including the one dealing with Jewish evacuation which is quoted above, were played in court. Berger was not questioned further, however, on the authenticity of the voice, and was excused immediately after the playing of the records. It was only with some reluctance that the court accepted these records into evidence:

JUDGE POWERS, Presiding: Well, I think that there is enough evidence here, PRIMA FACIE, that the voice is the voice of Himmler to justify receiving the document in evidence. There is no evidence, however, that it was delivered at Poznan or any other particular place. The discs will be received in evidence as an indication of Himmler's general attitude.

The only "prima facie" evidence for the authenticity of the voice (at only one point in the speech), as far as I can see, was the Berger statement at one point that the voice "might be Heinrich Himmler's".

In our judgment the prosecution did not submit one bit of evidence that the voice was that of Himmler or even that the Posen speech, which everyone would agree dwelled on

sensitive subjects, was recorded phonographically. Thus the authenticity of these phonograph recordings has not even been argued, much less demonstrated.

It may be that no recording purporting to be Himmler's remarks on "Jewish evacuation" still exists. No such recording, so far as I know, surfaced in public during the avalanche of propaganda that accompanied the Eichmann affair. Reitlinger remarks that a "partial gramophone recording" of the Posen speech exists, but he does not say what part still exists.*5 I have not pursued the question any further, since I would not be qualified to evaluate such recordings if they were produced.

Note that these recordings, claimed to have been belatedly discovered in a dead man's files, were put into evidence at the same "trial", Kempner's circus, which the analysis has already conclusively discredited on independent grounds. In addition, it seems quite peculiar that Himmler would have allowed the recording of a speech containing material that he "will never speak of...publicly" and then, despite his control of the Gestapo, have seen these recordings fall into the hands of his political rival Rosenberg. On the basis of these considerations, and also on account of the fact that it is very difficult to believe that Himmler would have wasted the time of so many high SS leaders by delivering the supposed text in document 1919-PS (a most general discussion of the war), one can be sure that we have another forgery here. However, parts of the alleged speech may be authentic, and some parts may have been delivered during the Posen speech or on other occasions.

NOTES:

1. 1919-PS in IMT, vol. 29, 110-173 (in German). Excerpts in English translation in NMT, vol. 13, 318-327.
2. IMT, vol. 11, 561.
3. NMT, vol. 13, 318.
4. NMT, vol. 13, 457-487.
5. Gerald Reitlinger, *The Final Solution*, 2nd ed., Vallentine, Mitchell, London, 1968, p 317.

Note that, although the recordings were (according to the trial documents cited above) done on a phonograph, the "voice over" said: "Well, we were able to provide proof! Robert Wolf of the National Archives in Washington gave us a copy of the original tape..." NOT "original phonographic discs", but ORIGINAL TAPE?!? Yeah, right!

The following dialogue illustrates another major lie:

VOICE OVER: "The number of Jews who died under the Nazis, says Zundel and the other deniers [always that slanderous label], was nothing like 6 million."

ZUNDEL: "I put the figure at the lower 300,000 range."

HOST: "And they died of what?"

ZUNDEL: "Illnesses, disease, mistreatment, some of them had medical experiments performed on them, overwork--" (interrupted)

HOST: "Nobody was gassed?"

ZUNDEL: "No."

HOST: "But if only 300,000 died, then what happened to the rest of the 6 million, who were missing after the war?" [see comments below]

VOICE OVER: "Zundel says they were secretly spirited off to the Soviet Union, to Israel, and the United States." [A gross and deliberate misrepresentation!]

There were ABSOLUTELY NOT "6 million [Jews] missing after the war"! The claim that there were is pure propaganda and an inexcusable lie.

The total number of Jews in the German sphere of influence in Europe during the Second World War was only 3.5 million. That includes the 3/4 million Jews who fell into German hands when Axis troops struck in order to forestall a Soviet attack. From the end of WWII until 1970 1,778,000 Jews found shelter in the main Jewish immigration countries; this number is about half again as large as the 1.1 million Jews who entered the same countries during the 1930's. After World War Two, 2.4 million Jews were found to be alive in the former German-occupied European countries (excl. the USSR);

ONLY ABOUT 300,000 are statistically unaccounted for. Over one million of the more than five million Jews died during the war in the Soviet Union, the largest part either as Red Army men or in Siberian labor and concentration camps. (Source: *The Dissolution of Eastern European Jewry*, by Walter Sanning, pp 173, 181, 184.)

The above data conclusively confirms the figure Zundel gave ("the lower 300,000 range) and disproves the claimed figure of "6 million."

Here is another BIG LIE, which I will get back to:

[...] most of them lack any serious credentials as historians, and no serious historians give them any credence.

Two more major lies exposed:

VOICE OVER: "Even a nationally syndicated TV talk show like Montel Williams has made room for the deniers ["deniers" said very derisively]."

MONTEL WILLIAMS (on his show): "We're talking about the Holocaust and whether or not it happened or it didn't happen."

VOICE OVER: "One of the guests: Mark Weber, a white supremacist who now heads up something called the Institute for Historical Review. They publish seemingly scholarly tracts, most of them concerned with the Holocaust."

First, and as Mark Weber immediately explained on the Montel Williams show, they were NOT talking about whether the "Holocaust [...] happened or it didn't happen". That show, too, had incorrectly reported that Holocaust Revisionists claim that "the Holocaust didn't happen." Yet "60 Minutes" repeats the error, even though it had been corrected (and they knew it!)

Second, Mark Weber is not a "white supremacist"! Deborah Lipstadt, George Will and others have blatantly lied about Mr. Weber. (See Mark Weber's "My Lunch With George: How an Influential Journalists Twists the Truth," and Theodore J. O'Keefe's review of Lipstadt's pitiful book, *Denying the Holocaust: The Growing Assault On Truth and Memory*, both published in the IHR *Journal*) Mark Weber is a historian. He obtained his master's degree in history at Indiana University.

This fact disproves the lie pointed out previously, that "most of them lack any serious credentials as historians, and no serious historians give them any credence." Mark Weber himself is a serious historian. As for the credentials issue, the following list should serve as a brief answer to that puerile lie:

Here's a really big whopper of a lie:

A film clip is shown of the "gas chamber" at Dachau. This is the SAME footage that was shown during Bradley Smith's and David Cole's recent appearance on the "Donahue" show. A real scandal broke out on the "Donahue" show, because David Cole pointed out that there is a sign on the wall in Dachau's "gas chamber" which says it was NEVER USED TO GAS ANYONE!!! What kind of "gas chamber" is that?!? And, since it was admittedly never used to gas anyone, why did both "Donahue" and "60 Minutes" use THAT footage to "prove" that there were homicidal gas chambers? On the Donahue show, David Cole asked the same question to Dr. Michael Shermer, a "Holocaust historian" from Occidental college. Dr. Shermer replied, "It doesn't matter"! Donahue commented that he wasn't "at all sure" that it was Dachau's "gas chamber"!!! (Although it was!) What excuse could Mr. Donahue have to not know which "gas chamber" was being shown on HIS SHOW??? Especially considering the importance that was being put on that film clip ("proof" of "gassings")! After that, David Cole understandably walked off the set!

Back to "60 Minutes": As the film clip of this "gas chamber" at Dachau is being shown, the following dialogue begins:

VOICE OVER: "And what about the charge that there were no gas chambers? And no use of the deadly gas called Zyklon B to exterminate Jews?"

ZUNDEL: "Nobody was gassed."

HOST: "Gas chambers did not exist?"

ZUNDEL: "Not for the extermination of people. Only for lice."

[break]

BERENBAUM: "We can show you the systematic bills of waiting for Zyklon-B, the instructions for the use of Zyklon-B in gassing, the accounts of perpetrators who put the Zyklon-B in, the accounts of the Sondercommando who witnessed the gassing process."

Oh my! Zyklon-B was an insecticide. There were Zyklon-B shipments to camps that WEREN'T ALLEGED TO HAVE GAS CHAMBERS. Zyklon-B has been used by countries all over the world as an insecticide for killing lice. Even anti-Revisionist "Holocaust expert" Jean-Claude Pressac estimates that approximately 95% of the Zyklon-B that arrived at

Auschwitz was used for DELOUSING, not for killing. He assigns only 5% to homicidal purposes.

Revisionists say none of it was used for homicidal purposes. Obviously, the shipments of Zyklon-B do not prove that people were murdered with it.

As for "instructions for the use of Zyklon-B in gassing", yes there were instructions. For gassing clothing and such, in order to kill lice. Lice were carriers of the deadly disease Typhus that plagued the camps. Zyklon-B was a licensed product of the DEGESCH company. I have a copy of a manual from DEGESCH, entitled "ZYKLON for pest control". Yes, it is "instructions for the use of Zyklon-B in gassing" -- gassing lice! As for these "accounts of perpetrators who put the Zyklon-B in", Mr. Berenbaum should cite specific witnesses. Otherwise, it is impossible to evaluate the testimonies. As for the "Sondercommando who witnessed the gassing process", I'll bet he's referring to Filip Mueller, of the JUMPING BUCKETS OF FLESH fame. (His testimony is far from credible, as Revisionists have demonstrated.) So much for Mr. Berenbaum's "proof" of "gassings".

Another BIG LIE emerges:

VOICE OVER: "And according to Berenbaum and scores of other experts, Jews and non-Jews, who have studied the Holocaust, there is no question that the number of Jews killed by the Nazis was indeed about 6 million!"

BERENBAUM: "Look, we can list, name by name, place of deportation, place of destruction. Village by village, city by city. Name by name, the death of millions of people."

Excuse my language, but that's a crock of shit! As *The Dissolution of Eastern European Jewry* (and the figures already cited from it) demonstrate, only about 300,000 Jews were unaccounted for at the end of the war -- not "6 million"! There do exist transport records and death records. Some of the records for Auschwitz were only recently released by the Soviets! (They kept them away from Western researchers for all those decades! Also, the Israelis are still SUPPRESSING key documents, such as the wartime diaries of important Nazi officials.) The records are in accordance with the Revisionists' figures, not Berenbaum's. Berenbaum is deliberately lying!

The segment began with the words:

Schindler's List, with its chilling portrayal of the Holocaust, is the odds-on favorite to win the Oscar tomorrow night. And yet for years there's been a strange constellation of individuals who claim [...] that the Holocaust never happened.

That is false and intentionally misleading. Holocaust Revisionists, as we have repeatedly stressed, do say "the Holocaust never happened". Holocaust Revisionists deal with specific claims within the broader "Holocaust" story. In fact, these are the very first words in Bradley Smith's latest advertisement, "A REVISIONIST CHALLENGE TO THE U.S. HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM":

This ad does not claim "the Holocaust never happened." Those who say it does want to muddy the issue. This is what the ad does claim:

The U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum displays no proof whatever of homicidal gassing chambers, and no proof that even one individual was "gassed" in a German program of "genocide."

Banished CPU supports Freedom of Speech!
Date: Mon, 21 Mar 94 07:23:42

IN BED TOGETHER

Senator to Ask C.I.A. to Explain Nazi-File Stance

By Douglas Jehl

Washington, Feb. 1 - A Republican senator said Tuesday that he planned to demand a public explanation from the director of central intelligence about his agency's decision to withhold from a government working group hundreds of thousands of pages of documents related to Nazi war criminals.

The senator, Mike DeWine of Ohio, spoke after convening a closed-door meeting on Capitol Hill between C.I.A. officials and representatives of the working group. The group has a broad legislative mandate to make public all classified materials related to Nazi criminals.

Mr. DeWine, an author of the legislation, said that the meeting had not resolved sharp disagreements between the sides, and that he expected the director, Porter J. Goss, to appear before his committee.

"We have a fundamental difference, and I think the C.I.A. is interpreting the law incorrectly," Mr. DeWine said. The dispute between the C.I.A. and three public members of the working group was disclosed in a report in *The New York Times* on Sunday.

Mr. DeWine had previously signaled that he planned to hold a Judiciary Committee hearing on the issue, but his aides said he had decided to try to mediate the dispute privately first. In a telephone interview on Tuesday afternoon, he described the C.I.A.'s position as "ridiculous" and said that if upheld, the agency's stance would prevent the release of important information on relations between American intelligence agencies and former Nazis after 1945.

The C.I.A. has already released more than a million pages of documents under the law, though most are from predecessor organizations. But intelligence officials have said **they do not believe the law requires the C.I.A. to disclose full details of all relationships with former Nazis.**

<http://www.nytimes.com/2005/02/02/politics/02nazi.html?oref=login> > 2 Feb. 2005

PAPERS FROM THE CONFERENCE HELD AT SOAS

Resisting Israeli Apartheid: Strategies and Principles
An International Conference on Palestine
London, 5 December 2004

Settler Colonialism as Genocide: Implications for a Strategy of Solidarity with the Palestinians

John Docker
Australia

I would first like to express my pleasure to the conference organisers for inviting me to come to London from Australia. It is an honour to be here. It is also a great pleasure to see again Hilary Rose and Steven Rose, whom I met for the first time in London in May 2004, and Ilan Pappé, who visited Canberra and Sydney in August 2004. It has also been a delight to meet in person Nur Masalha and Mona Baker after the exchange of so many friendly emails across the world.

I have been writing critiques of Israel and Zionism since the middle 1980s. In April 2002 I co-organised with Ghassan Hage an Australian boycott of research and cultural links with Israel. My co-organiser Ghassan Hage, of the Anthropology Department, University of Sydney, is well-known for his writings on nationalism and ethnic and cultural identities in Australia. I should say how the Australian boycott call came about. I saw on emails that were coming around that Steven and Hilary Rose with significant others had sent an open letter to *The Guardian* calling for a moratorium on all future cultural and research links with Israel at European and national

levels. I immediately emailed Steven and Hilary, asking if I could put my name to their call. Steven kindly emailed back saying no, you can't, the call is for European academics only, and you'll have to organise your own. This was excellent advice, because, as I soon realised, the situation in Europe and Australia is quite different: the kind of funding projects that Israel is involved with in terms of the European Community don't – not at least as far as I know or anyone else we talked to knows – exist in Australia.

Accordingly, I quickly sent an email to Ghassan, suggesting we should put out a call for a boycott, saying there may be an extra effect in that it would be a dual call from an Arab Australian person and a Jewish Australian person. Ghassan at once agreed, and then – he in Sydney, while I was in Canberra – sent emails back and forth working out the wording. Our call went out, and was quickly signed by some one hundred of the most interesting humanities academics and intellectuals in Australia. When it became public, there was some degree of furore, with stories in the papers including interviews with Ghassan and me, accompanied by Australian government ministers denouncing us. I can't speak for Ghassan's post-boycott call experience here. For myself, the Vice-Chancellor of my institution the Australian National University defended the right of university staff to engage in controversy. Predictably, I received from Zionists more or less unpleasant emails, phone calls, and letters, and I gathered from Ghassan that he'd received much the same. I was not surprised by such – invariably anonymous – ad hominem attacks, since **vilification is pretty well all that Zionism has left in its intellectual armoury**. Some who signed also received insulting and violently phrased emails, and they, not being used to such things as I was from previous occasions when I'd publicly critiqued Zionism, were understandably shocked. I also received many supportive phone calls and emails, including from people who said they would have signed the call if they had seen it in time.

Because our call did not involve questioning specific scientific and funding agreements that pertain in Europe in regard to Israel, our call was largely symbolic. We did call for a boycott of research and cultural links with Israel, we urged our colleagues not to attend conferences in Israel, and we asked them to suspend any existing exchange or linking arrangements and to refuse to distribute scholarship and academic position information. Whether these things occurred or not I don't know. But symbolic protests – as the great Gandhi knew well – can be powerful, perhaps in changing in quiet and invisible ways the climate of opinion around an issue. In any case, when Dr Hanan Ashrawi visited Australia in 2003 to accept the Sydney Peace Prize, the reception of her was certainly friendly and supportive, to the annoyance of the Australian Zionist community, which had tried, in various backhanded behind-closed-doors ways to prevent her from speaking in particular places, for example in the Great Hall at the University of Sydney, or the Sydney Town Hall. When such Zionist behind-the-scenes interventions and pressures became known, there was much adverse public commentary on the Zionists and their sabotaging actions, anti-democratic in spirit, hidden from public view. It quickly emerged that some in the Sydney Jewish community were embarrassed, and said they had felt pressured by Melbourne Zionists to attempt to besmirch Dr Ashrawi's reputation and visit.

I should explain some geocultural differences here amongst Australian Zionists. The Jewish community in Melbourne has always been regarded, and has presented itself, as the centre and soul of pro-Israeli sentiment and activity. Melbourne Zionism is perhaps more like Zionism in New York: abusive and denunciatory of anyone who opposes Israel in any way, and making it very difficult for anyone, including anti-Zionist Jews like myself, to hold contrary opinions. I know this from personal experience, when I was hissed while speaking at a session on Jewishness at the 2001 Melbourne Writers Festival. Historically, the Sydney Jewish community has been regarded by Melbourne Zionists as unfortunately rather hedonistic and lacking in fervour for the cause.

In our public letter, Ghassan and I stressed how much we saw Israeli control of the West Bank and Gaza as part of a long relentless process of "colonisation", and we called for a boycott in similar spirit to the boycotts against apartheid South Africa. I think, though we did not say this in the letter, we were so mindful of Israeli colonisation because of all the critiques – critiques we had engaged in ourselves in our separate writings – of Australia as a settler-colony, a settler-colony like other settler-colonies historically established by the British Empire, including the mandated colony of Palestine, whose colonialism Israel continued in its own ways during and after 1948. When I say Israel is a settler-colony, in comparable ways to other ex-British colonial societies like Australia, New Zealand, Canada, the United States, I have in mind, for a theoretical start, the late Maxime Rodinson's classic analysis, first published in 1967, *Israel: A Colonial Settler State?* As the years have gone by, I regard Rodinson's careful and subtle critique as more salient than ever, especially if we connect his notion of Israel as a colonial settler state to recent genocide theory.

At this point, I would like to comment on the theme of the conference, “Resisting Israeli Apartheid”. I agree that Israel has been creating apartheid-like conditions that can be compared to *bantustans*. But my strong feeling is that Israeli ‘apartheid’ is as it were a sub-topic, a very cruel sub-topic, of Israeli genocide, genocide conceived in its historical relationship to settler-colonialism around the world. I’ll end my talk by very briefly discussing this issue.

An all-important question here is: how is genocide to be defined? Usually, especially in the 1970s, 80s, and into the 90s, genocide has been defined as a devastating episode of mass murder, with the Holocaust as the extreme and appalling example. But genocide studies in the last few years – in a rapidly changing international field – has become interested in a much broader conception of genocide, one that is not centred on the Holocaust.

In particular, genocide studies has returned to the original definition of genocide made by Raphaël Lemkin in 1944 in his book *Axis Rule in Occupied Europe*. There, in chapter nine, Lemkin defined genocide as composite and manifold: it signifies a coordinated plan of different actions aiming at the destruction of the essential foundations of the life-world of an oppressed group. Such actions can but do not necessarily involve mass killing. They involve considerations that are economic, political, cultural, social, legal, intellectual, spiritual, biological, physiological, religious, and moral. Such actions involve considerations of health, food, and nourishment. And such actions involve an attack on the honour and dignity of a people.

Lemkin went further in his definition: he also conceived genocide as a two-fold process of destruction of the national pattern and life-world of an oppressed group, and the attempted imposition of the national pattern of the oppressor: “This imposition, in turn, may be made upon the oppressed population which is allowed to remain, or upon the territory alone, after removal of the population and the colonisation of the area by the oppressor’s own nationals”. When Lemkin escaped Nazi Europe and found refuge in the US in 1941, he assembled the research material for his 1944 book but also began writing chapters for a second book, on the history of genocide, that remained unpublished when he died, in 1959. In these unpublished manuscripts, Lemkin researched the historical relationship between genocide and settler-colonialism, including the post-1492 colonisation of the Americas, including his new home in North America. In his original definition, and in these unpublished writings, Lemkin constitutively and inherently links genocide with colonisation.

Given Lemkin’s wide-ranging definition of genocide – Lemkin after all invented the term! – it is clear that Israel constantly and daily pursues the aim of genocide against every aspect it can of the Palestinian life-world; and such actions may include forms of apartheid, as well as direct killing of Palestinians. What, then, might be the implications of Israel being a genocidal state for a strategy of support for the Palestinian people? One thing would be to assist in the process of de-normalising and isolating Israel. What I’m calling for is a reconceptualisation of the history of Zionism as the history of a genocidal idea. To quote Sven Lindqvist in *Exterminate All the Brutes*, largely a book about European colonisation of Africa, it’s time to draw conclusions and make comparisons about that which we already know of the historical characteristics of Zionism as a genocidal project. In his writings Lemkin worked out a methodology of genocide situations, which included analysis of the genocidist group, dissent within the genocidist group, responses of the victims of genocide, and the responses and actions of other groups. Clearly all these categories have salience in discussing Israel, dissenters within Israel and the worldwide Jewish Diaspora, and support from the United States.

One final thing. It may seem strange and insensitive to accuse the Jews of Israel of genocide, given that the Jews were victims of the Holocaust. Here we must remember that post-1890s Zionism, as a European settler-colonial idea and project, always had a genocidal potential.

John Docker, Humanities Research Centre, Australian National University

Appendix I

PRESS RELEASE – APRIL 2002 From: John Docker and Ghassan Hage To: The Australian Academic Community

Dear Friends and Colleagues,

As of noon on Friday, 5 April, 2002, more than 120 university academics and researchers from across Europe had signed an open letter, presented for publication in *The Guardian*, calling for a moratorium on all future cultural and research links with Israel at European or national levels until such time as the Israeli government abides by UN resolutions and opens serious peace negotiations with the Palestinians along the lines of the recent Saudi peace plan.

This open letter was quickly followed by a similar open letter in the US. Some Israeli academics protested, arguing that there are Israeli academics who actively oppose Israeli state

policy and actions. In addition, some projects funded by the European Community involve cooperation between European, Israeli, Palestinian, Arab and North African academics; a freezing of cultural and research links between Europe and Israel would hinder interaction and dialogue.

We, John Docker and Ghassan Hage, take these objections seriously. We still believe, however, that academics and intellectuals in Australia should act on their outrage at Israel's state terrorism. We therefore propose the following letter of protest along the lines of the European and American letters, but modified both by the force of the objections of the anti-government Israeli academics and Australia's own specific situation.

Appendix II

CALL FOR AUSTRALIAN BOYCOTT OF RESEARCH AND CULTURAL LINKS WITH ISRAEL

Despite widespread international condemnation for its policy of violent repression against the Palestinian people in the Occupied Territories, the Israeli government appears impervious to moral appeals from world leaders. It is clear that while the Palestinians are rightly requested to reign in their extremists, the Israelis have elected their extremists to power. The slow, dehumanising and relentless colonisation of the West Bank and Gaza that has been continuing unabated in recent years has now taken an ugly murderous turn of immense proportions. How long are we, the citizens of a Western democracy, going to accept the silence of our government in the face of the current rampage of the Israeli army in the West Bank? How long are we going to look passively at the Israeli crimes of war perpetrated daily and systematically, not as something anomalous, but as a matter of national policy? In the face of our government's unwillingness or inability to act, civil society must step in to exert pressure against the continuation of this savagely anachronistic act of colonisation. In a globalised world, our passivity as citizens of the world in the face of such inhumanity will stain all of us. Academics and intellectuals as always can play an important role in fostering the growth of such a non-violent movement within civil society. It is in this spirit that we call for a boycott of research and cultural links with Israel. We urge our colleagues not to attend conferences in Israel; to pressure our universities to suspend any existing exchange or linkage arrangements; and to refuse to distribute scholarship and academic position information. We note that while some academics and intellectuals in Israel oppose the government and some also are involved in cooperative Israeli/Palestinian research projects, the vast majority have either supported the current Israeli Army onslaught on the Palestinians, or failed to voice any significant protest against it. The boycott we propose will inevitably also adversely affect those who don't deserve it, and we regret that this has to happen. We ask our Israeli colleagues and friends to bear with us in solidarity. They know as well as we do that what they will endure because of these boycotts is minimal compared to what the Palestinian people and their academics continue to endure. As with boycotts against apartheid South Africa, urgent international action is now required to stop the massacres perpetrated against the Palestinian people.

WITH HAMMER BUT NO SICKLE

U.S. Intervention in the Middle East

"Why do people in the Middle East hate the United States," people are asking, in the wake of the events of September 11.

This partial chronology of U.S. intervention in the Middle East illustrates the lengths to which the U.S. power structure has gone to gain and maintain U.S. domination of the Middle East--a region considered key to the U.S.'s standing as an imperialist world power. This is not a complete list of the invasions, bombings, assassinations, coups and other interventions by the U.S. government, its allies, or its client states, nor does it fully document the U.S.'s economic domination and exploitation of the region's people and resources.

1918-1945: BREAKING INTO THE MIDDLE EAST:
THE FIGHT FOR INFLUENCE & OIL

1920-28: U.S. pressures Britain, then the dominant Middle East power, into signing a "Red Line Agreement" providing that Middle Eastern oil will not be developed by any single power without the participation of the others. Standard Oil and Mobil obtain shares of the Iraq Petroleum Company.

1932-34: Oil is discovered in Bahrain, Saudi Arabia and Kuwait, and U.S. oil companies obtain concessions.

1944: U.S. State Department memo refers to Middle Eastern oil as "a stupendous source of strategic power, and one of the greatest material prizes in world history." During U.S.-British negotiations over the control of Middle Eastern oil, President Roosevelt sketches out a map of the Middle East and tells the British Ambassador, "Persian oil is yours. We share the oil of Iraq and Kuwait. As for Saudi Arabian oil, it's ours." On August 8, 1944, the Anglo-American Petroleum Agreement is signed, splitting Middle Eastern oil between the U.S. and Britain.

Between 1948 and 1960, Western capital earns \$12.8 billion in profits from the production, refining and sale of Middle Eastern oil, on fixed investments totaling \$1.3 billion.

1945-1955: REPLACING RIVALS AND WAGING WAR ON NATIONAL LIBERATION

1946: President Harry Truman threatens to drop a "super-bomb" on the Soviet Union if it does not withdraw from Kurdistan and Azerbaijan in northern Iran.

November 1947: The U.S. helps push through a UN resolution partitioning Palestine into a Zionist state and an Arab state, giving the Zionist authorities control of 54% of the land. At that time Jewish settlers were about 1/3 of the population.

May 14, 1948: War breaks out between newly proclaimed state of Israel, and Egypt, Iraq, Jordan and Syria, who had moved troops into Palestine to oppose the partition of Palestine. Israeli attacks force some 800,000 Palestinians--two-thirds of the population--to flee into exile in Lebanon, Jordan, Syria, Gaza, and the West Bank. Israel seizes 77 percent of historic Palestine. The U.S. quickly recognizes Israel.

March 29, 1949: CIA backs a military coup overthrowing the elected government of Syria and establishes a military dictatorship under Colonel Za'im.

1952: U.S.-led military alliance expands into the Middle East with Turkey's admission to NATO.

1953: The CIA organizes a coup overthrowing the Mossadeq government of Iran after Mossadeq nationalizes British holdings in Iran's huge oilfields. The Shah, Mohammed Reza Pahlevi, is put on the throne, ruling as an absolute monarch for the next 25 years--torturing, killing and imprisoning his political opponents.

1955: U.S. installs powerful radar system in Turkey to spy on the Soviet Union.

1956-1958: UPHEAVAL AND INTRIGUE IN EGYPT, IRAQ, JORDAN, SYRIA & LEBANON

July 1956: After Egypt's nationalist leader, Gamal Abdul Nasser, receives arms from the Soviet Union, the U.S. withdraws promised funding for Aswan Dam, Egypt's main development project. A week later Nasser nationalizes the Suez Canal to fund the project. In October Britain, France and Israel invade Egypt to retake the Suez Canal. President Eisenhower threatens to use nuclear weapons if the Soviet Union intervenes on Egypt's side; and at the same time, the U.S. asserts its regional dominance by forcing Britain, France and Israel to withdraw from Egypt.

October 1956: A planned CIA coup to overthrow a left-leaning government in Syria is aborted because it was scheduled for the same day Israel, Britain and France invade Egypt.

March 9, 1957: Congress approves Eisenhower Doctrine, stating "the United States regards as vital to the national interest and world peace the preservation of the independence and integrity of the nations of the Middle East."

April 1957: After anti-government rioting breaks out in Jordan, U.S. rushes 6th fleet to the eastern Mediterranean and lands a battalion of Marines in Lebanon to "prepare for possible future intervention in Jordan." Later that year, the CIA begins making secret payments of millions a year to Jordan's King Hussein.

September 1957: In response to the Syrian government's more nationalist and pro-Soviet policies, the U.S. sends Sixth Fleet to eastern Mediterranean and rushes arms to allies Jordan, Lebanon, Iraq, Turkey and Saudi Arabia; meanwhile the U.S. encourages Turkey to mass 50,000 troops on Syria's northern border.

1958: The merger of Syria and Egypt into the "United Arab Republic," the overthrow of the pro-U.S. King Feisal II in Iraq by nationalist military officers, and the outbreak of anti-government/anti-U.S. rioting in Lebanon, where the CIA had helped install President Camille Caiman and keep him in power, leads the U.S. to dispatch 70 naval vessels, hundreds of aircraft and 14,000 Marines to Lebanon to preserve "stability." The U.S. threatens to use nuclear weapons if the Lebanese army resists, and to prevent an Iraqi move into the oilfields of Kuwait, and draws up secret plans for a joint invasion of Iraq with Turkey. The plan is shelved after the Soviet Union threatens to intervene.

1957-58: Kermit Roosevelt, the CIA agent in charge of the 1953 coup in Iran, plots, without success, to overthrow Egypt's Nasser. "Between July 1957 and October 1958, the Egyptian and Syrian governments and media announced the uncovering of what appear to be at least eight separate conspiracies to overthrow one or the other government, to assassinate Nasser, and/or prevent the expected merger of the two countries." (Blum, p. 93)

1960: U.S. works to covertly undermine the new government of Iraq by supporting anti-government Kurdish rebels and by attempting, unsuccessfully, to assassinate Iraq's leader, Abdul Karim Qassim, an army general who had restored relations with the Soviet Union and lifted the ban on Iraq's Communist Party.

1963: U.S. supports a coup by the Ba'ath party (soon to be headed by Saddam Hussein) to overthrow the Qassim regime, including by giving the Ba'ath names of communists to murder. "Armed with the names and whereabouts of individual communists, the national guards carried out summary executions. Communists held in detention...were dragged out of prison and shot without a hearing... [B]y the end of the rule of the Ba'ath, its terror campaign had claimed the lives of an estimated 3,000 to 5,000 communists."

1966: U.S. sells its first jet bombers to Israel, breaking with 1956 decision not to sell arms to the Zionist state.

June 1967: With U.S. weapons and support, Israeli military launches the so-called "Six Day War," seizing the remaining 23 percent of historic Palestine--the West Bank, Gaza, and East Jerusalem--along with Egypt's Sinai Peninsula and Syria's Golan Heights.

September 17, 1970: With U.S. and Israeli backing, Jordanian troops attack Palestinian guerrilla camps, while Jordan's U.S.-supplied air force drops napalm from above. U.S. deploys the aircraft carrier Independence and six destroyers off the coast of Lebanon and readies troops in Turkey to support the assault. The U.S. threatens to use nuclear weapons against the Soviet Union if it intervenes. 5000 Palestinians are killed and 20,000 wounded. This massacre comes to be known as "Black September."

1973: The U.S. rushes \$2.2 billion in emergency military aid to Israel after Egypt and Syria attack to regain Golan Heights and Sinai. U.S. puts forces on alert, and moves them into the region. When the Soviet Union threatens to intervene to prevent the destruction of Egypt's 3rd Army by Israel, U.S. nuclear forces go to DEFCON III to force the Soviets to back down.

1973-1975: U.S. supports Kurdish rebels in Iraq in order to strengthen Iran and weaken the then pro-Soviet Iraqi regime. When Iran and Iraq cut a deal, the U.S. withdraws support, denies the Kurds refuge in Iran, and stands by while the Iraqi government kills many Kurdish people.

1979-84: U.S. supports paramilitary forces to undermine the government of South Yemen, which was allied with the Soviet Union.

THE FALL OF THE SHAH AND THE SOVIET INVASION OF AFGHANISTAN

1978: As the Iranian revolution begins against the hated Shah, the U.S. continues to support him "without reservation" and urges him to act forcefully against the masses. In August 1978, some 400 Iranians are burned to death in the Rex Theater in Abadan after police chain and lock the exit doors. On September 8, 10,000 anti-Shah demonstrators are massacred at Teheran's Jaleh Square.

1979: The U.S. tries, without success, to organize a military coup to save the Shah. In January, the Shah is forced to flee and the reactionary Shi-ite Islamists led by Ayatollah Khomeini take power in February.

Summer 1979: The U.S. publicly supports the Khomeini regime's efforts to suppress the Kurdish liberation struggle and maintain Iranian domination of Kurdistan.

1979: U.S. President Jimmy Carter designates the Persian Gulf a vital U.S. interest and declares the U.S. will go to war to ensure the flow of oil.

1979: In response to Soviet military maneuvers on Iran's northern border, Carter secretly puts U.S. forces on nuclear alert and warns the Soviets they will be used if the Soviets intervene.

Summer 1979: U.S. begins arming and organizing Islamic fundamentalist "Mujahideen" in Afghanistan. National Security Advisor Zbigniew Brzezinski writes, "This aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention," drawing the Soviets into an Afghan quagmire. Over the next decade the U.S. alone passed more than \$3 billion in arms and aid to the Mujahideen, with another \$3 billion provided by the U.S. ally Saudi Arabia.

November 4, 1979: Islamic militants, backed by the Khomeini regime, seize the U.S. embassy in Teheran and demand the U.S. return the Shah to Iran for trial. The Embassy and 52 U.S. personnel are held for 444 days; this international embarrassment prompts new U.S. actions against Iran--including an abortive rescue attempt.

December 1979: Soviet troops invade Afghanistan--which the U.S. rulers considered a "buffer state" between the Soviet Union to the north and the strategically important states of Iran and Pakistan to the south--overthrowing the Amin government and installing a more pro-Soviet regime.

1980: U.S. begins organizing a "Rapid Deployment Force," increasing its naval presence and pre-positioning military equipment and supplies. It also steps up aid to reactionary client states such as Turkey, Pakistan and Saudi Arabia. On September 12, Turkey's military seizes power and unleashes a brutal clampdown on revolutionaries and Kurds struggling for liberation in order to "stabilize" the country as a key U.S. ally.

Summer 1980: As the Carter administration tries to bully Iran into surrendering the U.S. hostages, supporters of presidential candidate Ronald Reagan cut a secret deal with the Islamic Republic: promising that the Reagan administration will allow Israel to ship arms to Iran if Iran continues to hold the hostages during the coming presidential campaign to cripple Carter's campaign for re-election. (Gary Sick)

September 22, 1980: Iraq invades Iran with tacit U.S. support, starting a bloody eight-year war. The U.S. supports both sides in the war providing arms to Iran and money, intelligence and political support to Iraq in order to prolong the war and weaken both sides, while trying to draw both countries into the U.S. orbit.

1981: U.S. holds military maneuvers off the coast of Libya to bully the Qaddafi government. When a Libyan plane fires a missile at U.S. planes penetrating Libyan airspace, two Libyan planes are shot down.

1981: The Reagan administration secretly encourages Israel and other allies, such as South Korea and Turkey, to ship hundreds of millions of U.S.-made arms to Iran despite a ban on the shipment of U.S.-made weapons.

From the fall of 1981 through the winter of 1982, forces led by the Union of Iranian Communists, Sarbederan, mount an historic resistance to the Islamic Republic; the uprising at Amol at the end of January 1982 is brutally crushed by the forces of the Islamic Republic.

1982: After receiving a "green light" from the U.S., Israel invades Lebanon to crush Palestinian and other anti-U.S. and anti-Israeli forces. Over 20,000 Lebanese and Palestinians are killed, and Israel seizes southern Lebanon, holding it until 2000.

September 14, 1982: Lebanon's pro-U.S. President-elect, Bashir al-Jumayyil, is assassinated. The following day, Israeli forces occupy West Beirut, and from 16 to 18 September, the Phalangist militia, with the support of Israel's military under now-Prime Minister Ariel Sharon, move into the Sabra and Shatila refugee camps and barbarically massacre over 1,000 unarmed Palestinian men, women, and children.

1983: U.S. sends troops to Lebanon, supposedly as part of a multinational "peace-keeping" operation but in reality to protect U.S. interests, including Israel's occupation forces. U.S. troops are withdrawn after a suicide bomber destroys a U.S. Marine barracks.

1983: CIA helps murder Gen. Ahmed Dlimi, a prominent Moroccan Army commander who seeks to overthrow the pro-U.S. Moroccan monarchy.

Spring 1983: The U.S. provides the Islamic Republic of Iran with a list of Soviet agents.

1984: U.S. shoots down two Iranian jets over Persian Gulf.

1985-1986: The U.S. secretly ships weapons to Iran, including 1,000 TOW anti-tank missiles, Hawk missile parts, and Hawk radars. The weapons are exchanged for U.S. hostages in Lebanon, and in hopes of increased U.S. leverage in Iran. The secret plot collapses when it is publicly revealed on November 3, 1986, by the Lebanese magazine, Al-Shiraa. (The Chronology)

1985: U.S. attempts to assassinate Sheikh Mohammed Hussein Fadlallah, a Lebanese Shiite leader. 80 people are killed in the unsuccessful attempt. (Blum)

1986: When a bomb goes off in a Berlin nightclub and kills two Americans, the U.S. blames Libya's Qaddafi. U.S. bombers strike Libyan military facilities, residential areas of Tripoli and Benghazi, and Qaddafi's house, killing 101 people, including Qaddafi's adopted daughter.

1987: The U.S. Navy is dispatched to the Persian Gulf to prevent Iran from cutting off Iraq's oil shipments. During these patrols, a U.S. ship shoots down an Iranian civilian airliner, killing all 290 onboard.

1988: The Iraqi regime launches mass poison-gas attacks on Kurds, killing thousands and bulldozing many villages. The U.S. responds by increasing its support for the Iraqi regime.

July 1988: A cease-fire ends the Iran-Iraq war with neither side victorious. Over 1 million Iranians and Iraqis are killed during the 8-year war.

1989: The last Soviet troops leave Afghanistan. The war, fueled by U.S.-Soviet rivalry, has torn Afghanistan apart, killing more than one million Afghans and forcing one-third of the population to flee into refugee camps. More than 15,000 Soviet soldiers die in the war.

July 1990: April Glaspie, U.S. Ambassador to Iraq, meets with Saddam Hussein, who threatens military action against Kuwait for overproducing its oil quota, slant drilling for oil in Iraqi territory, and encroaching on Iraqi territory--seriously harming war weakened Iraq.

Glaspie replies, "We have no opinion on the Arab- Arab conflicts, like your border disagreement with Kuwait."

August 1990: Iraq invades Kuwait. The U.S. seizes the moment to assert its hegemony in the post-Soviet world and strengthen its grip on the Persian Gulf: the U.S. condemns Iraq, rejects a diplomatic settlement, imposes sanctions, and prepares for an all-out military assault on Iraq.

January 16, 1991: After a 6-month military buildup, the U.S.-led coalition launches "Operation Desert Storm." For the next 42 days, U.S. and allied planes pound Iraq, dropping 88,000 tons of bombs, systematically targeting and largely destroying its electrical and water systems. On February 22, 1991, the U.S. coalition begins its 100-hour ground war. Heavily armed U.S. units drive deep into southern Iraq. Overall, 100,000 to 200,000 Iraqis are killed during the war.

Spring 1991: Shi'ites in the south and Kurds in the north rise up against Hussein's regime in Iraq. The U.S., after encouraging these uprisings during the war, now fears turmoil and instability in the region and refuses to support the rebels. The U.S. denies the rebels access to captured Iraqi weapons and allows Iraqi helicopters to attack them.

1991: Iraq withdraws from Kuwait and agrees to a UN-brokered cease-fire, but the U.S. and Britain insist that devastating sanctions be maintained. The U.S. declares large parts of north and south Iraq "no-fly" zones for Iraqi aircraft.

1991-present: U.S. military deployments continue after the war, with 17,000 to 24,000 U.S. troops in the Persian Gulf region at any given time. (CSM)

1992: U.S. Marines land near Mogadishu, Somalia, supposedly to ensure humanitarian relief and "restore order." But the U.S. also plans to remove the dominant warlord, Mohammed Aidid, and install a more pro-U.S. regime. In June 1993, after numerous gun battles with Aidid forces, U.S. helicopters strafe Aidid supporters, killing scores. In October, when U.S. forces attempt to kidnap two Aidid lieutenants, a fierce gunbattle breaks out. Five U.S. helicopters are shot down, 18 U.S. soldiers killed and 73 wounded, while 500 to 1000 Somalians are killed and many more injured.

March 1992: U.S. Defense Department drafts new, post-Soviet "Defense Planning Guidance" paper stating, "In the Middle East and Southwest Asia, our overall objective is to remain the predominant outside power in the region and preserve U.S. and Western access to the region's oil."

1993: U.S. brokers a "peace" agreement between Israel and the Palestine Liberation Organization at Oslo, Norway. The agreement strengthens Israel and U.S. domination, while leaving Palestinians a small part of their historic homeland, broken up into isolated pieces surrounded by Israel. No provisions are made for the return of the four million Palestinian refugees living outside of Israel, the West Bank, and Gaza.

1993: U.S. launches missile attack on Iraq, claiming self-defense against an alleged assassination attempt on former president Bush two months earlier.

1995: The U.S. imposes oil and trade sanctions against Iran, reinforcing sanctions in effect since 1979, for alleged sponsorship of 'terrorism', seeking to acquire nuclear arms and hostility to the Middle East process. (BBC, CSM)

1995: With U.S. backing, Turkey launches a major military offensive, involving some 35,000 Turkish troops, against the Kurds in northern Iraq.

1998: Congress passes the "Iraq Liberation Act," giving nearly \$100 million to groups attempting to overthrow the Hussein regime.

August 1998: Claiming retaliation for attacks on U.S. embassies in Tanzania and Kenya, President Clinton sends 75 cruise missiles pounding into rural Afghanistan – supposedly targeting Osama Bin Laden. The U.S. also destroys a factory producing half of

Sudan's pharmaceutical supply, claiming the factory is involved in chemical warfare. The U.S. later acknowledges there is no evidence for the chemical warfare charge.

December 16-19, 1998: The U.S. and Britain launch "Operation Desert Fox," a bombing campaign supposedly aimed at destroying Iraq's nuclear, chemical and biological weapons programs. For most of the next year, U.S. and British planes strike Iraq every day with missiles. (BBC)

October 1999: The U.S. Department of Defense shifts command of its forces in Central Asia from the Pacific Command to the Central Command, underlining the heightened importance of the region, which includes vast oil reserves in and around the Caspian Sea.

January 2001: Tenth anniversary of the U.S. war on Iraq: sanctions are still in place and the UN estimates that 4,500 children are dying per month from disease and malnutrition as a result. The U.S. planes, which have flown over 280,000 sorties in Iraq over the past decade, continue to attack from the air. In the past two years, over 300 Iraqis have been killed in these bombings.

October 2001: U.S. begins bombing Afghanistan, as the first act of war in "Operation Enduring Freedom"--the U.S. "war against global terrorism."

SOURCES

Many different sources were used in compiling this chronology of U.S. aggression. Here are the main ones:

Numerous issues of the *Revolutionary Worker* newspaper including:

"Palestine: A History of Occupation and Resistance," November 10, 1991

"Fort Apache: The Middle East" a four-part series, January 6-27, 1984

"Israel: A State of Occupation," November 20, 2000

William **Blum**, *Killing Hope--U.S. Military and CIA Interventions Since World War II* (Common Courage Press 1995)

Berch **Berberoglu**, *Turmoil in the Middle East--Imperialism, War, and Political Instability* (State University of New York Press 1999)

Peter **Mansfield**, *The Arabs* (Pelican Books 1980)

Daniel **Yergin**, *The Prize: The Epic Quest for Oil, Money, and Power* (Touchstone Books 1993)

Micah L. **Sifry** and Christopher **Cerf**, eds., *The Gulf War Reader* (Times Books 1991)

Michio **Kaku** & Daniel **Axelrod**, *To Win a Nuclear War* (South End Press 1987)

Joseph **Gerson**, ed., *The Deadly Connection: Nuclear War & U.S. Intervention* (American Friends Service Committee 1983)

Thomas **Naff**, ed., *Gulf Security and the Iran-Iraq War* (National Defense University Press and Middle East Research Institute 1985)

The National Security Archive, *The Chronology* (Warner Books 1987)

Gary **Sick**, *October Surprise--America's Hostages in Iran and the Election of Ronald Reagan* (Times Books 1991)

"50 Years of U.S. Policy in the Middle East," *Christian Science Monitor*, September 27, 2001

Zoltan **Grossman**, "A Century of U.S. Military Interventions: >From Wounded Knee to Afghanistan," online at zmag.org

V. K. **Sin**, "Israel: Imperialism's Attack Dog in the Middle East," *A World To Win*, 1988/11

Noam **Chomsky**, *The Fateful Triangle* (South End Press 1983)

Nicholas **Guyatt**, *The Absence of Peace--Understanding the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict* (Zed Books 1998)

Edward W. **Said**, Ibrahim **Abu-Lughod**, Janet L. **Abu-Lughod**, Muhammad **Jallaj**, Elia **Zureik**, "A Profile of the Palestinian People," in Edward **Said** & Christopher **Hitchens**, eds., *Blaming the Victims--Spurious Scholarship and the Palestinian Question* (Verso 1988)

Bob **Woodward**, *Veil: The Secret Wars of the CIA 1981-1987* (Simon & Schuster 1987)

RAIN Newsletter 16 Feb. 2005

URVATER

Poison Gas *Über Alles*

Friedrich Paul Berg

Twenty years ago I had the good fortune to spend many hours with **Austin J. App** who was one of the first Holocaust revisionists and an American of German descent. Almost as soon as the war had ended, he had begun to speak out and write against the anti-German atrocity claims. He admitted to me decades later during our long talk around 1980 and with great frankness that he had had little to go on in the way of strong evidence or arguments against the horror stories that were filling the newspapers, books and media outlets of that time. What drove him, however, was—and these were his exact words to me—“his faith in the inherent goodness and decency of the German people.” I was moved by those words and recognized that the same kind of faith had driven me and many others who I knew. The inherent decency of the Germans has a serious downside which has, I believe, made them especially vulnerable to the propaganda. For the ordinary decent Germans, how could the stories not be true when there were all those trials and eyewitnesses and confessions and so on? In any event, my faith in Germany is shaken by what one sees there today. Years of Americanization and re-education and terror have had their effect. The moral breakdown and loss of self-pride of the Germans which had not been achieved by the enormous destruction and defeat of two world wars were finally achieved by the holocaust propaganda campaign of which the Nuremberg Trials were only a small part. A major reason for why the propaganda campaign succeeded is precisely because the Germans are a moral people. Americans by contrast would have been far more tenacious because they are surrounded by the kinds of corruption and accommodating to corruption which Germans found almost unimaginable.

Within the revisionist message there is an implied, unstated message, which shocks most listeners and should therefore be recognized and dealt with by revisionists. When a revisionist says the Holocaust story is a hoax or substantially false he or she is, in effect, also saying there is something seriously wrong with America. This wonderful, greatest of all countries with boundless freedoms and what to many seems like a brilliant, totally free press where all ideas are thoroughly thrashed out in the open so that the country as a whole continues on the path to near perfection could not possibly have made such an enormous, collective error. The revisionists must be wrong on that basis alone. We may as well spit it out for all to hear: there is a lot that is seriously wrong with this country. When people like Tom Brokaw write best selling books about America's wartime generation being “the greatest generation,” they are criminally insane.

Twenty-five years ago Arthur Butz referred to the Jewish extermination claims as a hoax in order, as he explained, to suggest that those claims constituted something “cheap and vulgar.” I share that view and would add that the Holocaust story is also obscene and racist and downright crazy. The mass gassings were carried out supposedly with either Diesel engine exhaust or cyanide. Although mass gassings with either diesel exhaust or cyanide are certainly possible—a critical examination of the details shows the claims to be either totally impossible or so absurd that they are unbelievable. But one must be cautious with one's technical analysis and arguments. It does no good to insist that impossibly large fans would have been required to ventilate a pile of corpses, or to insist that one could not possibly have used cyanide for murder because of the proximity to crematory ovens or to insist on any number of other quickie arguments that have no real merit. One fools hardly anyone except the faithful and sooner or later their faith is thoroughly shaken as well, as the truth emerges. Prosecutors who are brought against us are well armed against the quickie, false arguments. The point is that the Germans certainly could have committed mass gassings with technology that was readily available to them throughout German-occupied Europe, even within the concentration camps. The terrible problem for the exterminationists is however in the details—which are generally technical in nature. The devil for them is in the technical details.

The Abundance of Survivors

The Holocaust story is a hoax because no one was murdered by the 'Nazis' in gas chambers or gas vans. and because the total number of Jews who could have possibly died in German-occupied territory is minuscule compared to what is alleged. Just a few years ago, Steven Spielberg proudly announced to the world in an Academy Award acceptance speech that "there are 350,000 survivors of the Holocaust alive today."^[1] Other sources, including Israeli sources, have in recent years given even higher numbers.^[2] All such numbers more than fifty years after the war would be impossible if there had been any kind of physical extermination of the Jewish people under German control. It never happened!

The Killers

Most of the alleged three million gassings were supposedly carried out with Diesel exhaust which is technically absurd since Diesel exhaust contains hardly any carbon monoxide. This is well-known to anyone who owns a Diesel-driven car or truck from their own state vehicle inspection results (just check the auto emission inspection procedures for diesel cars or trucks in any state.) Zyklon-B was only used by the 'Nazis' to keep people alive using well-designed gas chambers rather than the makeshift, Rube-Goldberg-like^[3] confabulations alleged for mass murder at Auschwitz for example. Although the US Army War Crimes Branch assigned doctors such as Dr. Charles P. Larson to perform autopsies on many of the thousands of dead found in Germany's concentration camps at the end of the war, those doctors never found any forensic evidence of deaths from poison or poison gas. No such evidence was ever presented at the Nuremberg trials where precisely such evidence would have been expected. The killer had been disease, especially typhus, brought on primarily as an indirect but inevitable result of Allied bombing.^[4]

The Choices

In the book for which Elie Wiesel is most famous, namely "Night,"^[5] which is recommended reading in public schools across this country, Wiesel paints an horrendous picture of life in Auschwitz from April 1944 to January 1945 when he was there. Although many hundreds of thousands of Jews were supposedly gassed there during this time, Wiesel makes no mention of gassings or gas chambers anywhere in his book, as Jürgen Graf and Robert Faurisson have pointed out to us.^[6] He does however claim to have seen flames from the chimneys and Dr. Mengele wearing a monocle. Both claims are clearly lies.

When the Russians were about to overrun Auschwitz in January 1945, both Elie and his father "chose" to go west with the retreating 'Nazis' and SS rather than be "liberated" by America's greatest ally. They could have told the whole world about Auschwitz within days—but, both Elie and his father as well as countless thousands of other Jews chose instead to trek west with the 'Nazis' on foot at night in the middle of one of the coldest winters and continue working for the defense of the Reich thereafter. In effect, they chose to collaborate.

Some of Wiesel's exact words in "Night" are:^[7]

"The choice was in our hands. For once we could decide our fate for ourselves. We could both stay in the hospital, where I could, thanks to my doctor, get him [the father] entered as a patient or nurse. Or else we could follow the others. 'Well, what shall we do, father?' He was silent. 'Let's be evacuated with the others,' I told him."

Elie's tale in this regard is corroborated by other "survivor" accounts including that of Primo Levi. In Levi's book *Survival in Auschwitz*, we have his words for January 17th, 1945:

"It was not a question of reasoning: I would probably also have followed the instinct of the flock if I had not felt so weak: fear is supremely contagious, and its immediate reaction is to make one try to run away."

But he's talking here about running away with the 'Nazis'—and not 'Nazis' who were mere rank and file party members but supposedly the worst of the worst. He's talking here about running away with the same 'Nazis' and SS who had supposedly carried out the greatest imaginable mass murders of Jews and others in the entire history of the universe. He's talking about running away with the people who supposedly did the actual killings of thousands daily for several years. But, according to his own words he would probably have gone with them nonetheless, except that he was not feeling good that day; he was feeling

weak. The “fear” that he overcame was clearly fear of the Russians and not the ‘Nazis;’ there is no mention of fear of what the ‘Nazis’ and SS might do when the evacuees entered the forest or sometime later.

The choices that were made here in January 1945 are enormously important. In the entire history of Jewish suffering at the hands of gentiles what moment in time could possibly be more dramatic than this precious moment when Jews could choose between, on the one hand, liberation by the Soviets with the chances to tell the whole world about the evil ‘Nazis’ and to help bring about their defeat—and the other choice of going with the ‘Nazi’ mass murderers and to continue working for them and to help preserve their evil regime. In the vast majority of cases, they chose to go with the ‘Nazis’.

The momentous choice brings Shakespeare's Hamlet to mind:

“To remain, or not to remain; that is the question:” to remain and be liberated by Soviet troops and risk their slings and rifles in order to tell the whole world about the outrageous ‘Nazis’—or, take arms and feet against a sea of cold and darkness in order to collaborate with the very same outrageous ‘Nazis’. Oh what heartache—ay there's the rub! Thus conscience does make cowards of us all.

So what was the final score—here a drum roll seems fitting in the background as Vanna White comes onto the stage with the sealed envelope and the answer to the great riddle. The envelope is torn open and the choice is—drum roll again—according to Levi himself 800 choose to remain in Auschwitz, but 20,000 choose to go and collaborate with the ‘Nazi’ mass murderers. Wow! Such a surprise—already!

We see the same deliberate pro-‘Nazi’ collaboration in the “survivors” from Schindler's List. In their well-known story, as the Russians were about to overrun Plaszow just thirty miles down the road to the east from Auschwitz in November 1944, Schindler and more than a thousand Jews chose to go west with the retreating ‘Nazis’ rather than hang back and be “liberated” by the Soviets. Some even spent the next several weeks at Auschwitz—and none were gassed, not even in the movie. The hoax has certainly had its day. If there had been any kind of extermination of Jews at all Auschwitz, all of the Jews in Cracow and Plaszow would have known about it as well. All of the Jews who went west in effect also denied the Holocaust albeit only with their hands and feet. The Jews themselves were the first true Holocaust deniers, and it is about time they get all the credit they deserve.

The rather simple analysis of Holocaust survivor tales I have given here is an easy to understand refutation of the hoax in general. I urge all readers to reexamine the survivor accounts for themselves but critically and systematically. The internet with search engines like Google allows anyone to analyze literally thousands of survivor accounts in seconds for major flaws of the type I have discussed. Just search for keywords like “evacuation” or combinations of words like “holocaust survivor Auschwitz.”

One last piece of literature for this discussion is the highly acclaimed book *Sophie's Choice* by William Styron. What does Styron have to say about Sophie or any other Auschwitz survivor going west in January 1945? The book is a novel, but it is an historical novel by a great writer and intellect—or so we are told—and where we might find an explanation or insight for Elie's kind of choice. But there is really nothing there. The important choice Sophie made in the book was between her two children; which one should be killed in the gas chamber and which one should live? Certainly that would have been a heart-wrenching choice and worthy of a great novel—but as to the later choice to go west with the ‘Nazi’ mass murderers, even the murderers of one of those same precious children, there was nothing except for the following:

“The Russians were coming and the SS wanted the children destroyed. Most of them were Polish; the Jewish children were already dead. They thought of burning them alive in a pit, or shooting them, but they decided to do something that wouldn't show too many marks and evidence. So in the freezing cold they marched the children down to the river and made them take off their clothes and soak them in the water as if they were washing them, and then made them put on these wet clothes again. Then they marched them back to the area in front of the barracks where they had been living and had a roll call. Standing in their wet clothes. The roll call lasted for many, many hours while the children stood wet and freezing and night came. All of the children died of being exposed that day. They died of exposure and pneumonia, very fast.”

If anything like that had actually happened, it would have been all the more reason to stay in Auschwitz and wait for the Soviets to arrive rather than go west with the 'Nazis' and the SS. I dare say there is absolutely no serious corroboration of Styron's tale of the freezing children. Although Styron does not tell us, Sophie apparently chose to trek west with the Nazi murderers as well.

An implied Message

There is an implied but unstated message in Holocaust revisionism which we should address because that message is so shocking that it is actually a major hurdle for our work in general and, therefore, we should deal with it. When we say the Holocaust story is not true, I believe we are, in effect, also saying that there is something seriously wrong with America. Most Americans firmly believe that America is still far and away the most wonderful, most nearly perfect society in every possible way that the world has ever seen. If the Holocaust is not true, then there must be something seriously wrong here because the accepted story is almost universally embraced by the media, press and institutions generally. Since America is so wonderful, the revisionists must therefore be wrong—or so the pseudologic goes.

The real Holocaust

Japanese and German women and children were murdered by the US by the most excruciatingly horrible means imaginable—by roasting them alive. If the 'Nazis' had murdered people in gas chambers, although criminal it would nonetheless have been humane and painless and even civilized compared to what Americans actually did even when, in the very last months of the war, there was no real danger to the US. To this day in America, there is still no sense of shame or apology. Please do not be taken in by the false argument that it was the Germans who started the bombing of civilian targets and therefore have nothing to complain about. It was the British who began the deliberate bombing of civilian targets already in May of 1940, to which Germany, after much restraint, only responded in kind in September of 1940. The Japanese bombing of Pearl Harbor was clearly aimed only at military targets without any consultation with the women and children of Hiroshima or Nagasaki or Tokyo or any other Japanese city.

Biography

As many of you know, I am an engineer by profession. I received a degree in mining engineering from Columbia University in 1965. I never worked as a mining engineer as such but rather as a mechanical engineer first for a number of consulting design engineering companies and later as a technical writer and even as an environmental specialist for a government agency at a major New York airport. For a time I had designed poultry processing plants and slaughterhouses. That experience had prompted Keith Stimely to introduce me to an IHR audience in 1983 as a person who had actually worked on the Final Solution to the chicken problem.

The AIDs Disaster

Keith Stimely was a great editor who is unfortunately no longer with us. He died of Aids which is well worth mentioning here because in this terrible worldwide epidemic we actually see one of the horrible consequences of holocaust propaganda. The logical countermeasures such as quarantine of carriers and other restrictive controls have been known and used effectively for centuries for many diseases from plague to measles, but ever since WW2 they have also been so closely associated with the supposedly fiendish work of 'Nazi' 'doctors that public health officials and doctors dare not recommend any of these controls. They fear for their careers and reputations as tens of millions die. This cowardly behavior will certainly contribute enormously to the AIDS disaster—but the key is the Holocaust hoax and its power to intimidate.

We all know how hard it is to breakthrough with our views. The establishment has an aura of respectability that is hard to shake—but it is an aura that it certainly does not deserve at all.

Even if the Holocaust story were true and even if we revisionists were completely wrong, the crimes by the Allies in World War 2, especially the United States are still far worse. The United States murdered well over a million totally innocent civilians, mostly women and children, by deliberately roasting them to death. If the 'Nazis' had ever committed mass murder of millions of innocent people in gas chambers—as horrific and criminal as that would truly be, it would still have been relatively humane, and painless and even civilized compared to the mass murder by incineration that the US inflicted deliberately

upon well over a million civilians. That the numbers of innocent people murdered in America's incendiary and nuclear attacks is less than the mythical six million attributed to the 'Nazis' was certainly not for lack of trying on the part of Americans nor from any lack of popular support—even to this day. Those crimes were as cowardly as they were evil. They actually grew in ferocity as the war approached its inevitable end and long after there was any danger to the United States.

The truly horrible scenes at Bergen-Belsen and Dachau and elsewhere in Germany at the end of World War 2 are falsely and routinely presented as if they were typical of conditions in Germany's wartime concentration camps; they were not typical at all—far from it. On the contrary, they were a direct, albeit unintended result of American and British bombing of German civilian targets. The real wartime mass murderers were the Americans.

The fire-bombing of entire German cities was generally a specialty of the British but those attacks would not have been possible without American financing beginning with Lend-Lease in March of 1941. From that point on, the entire British war effort was subject to control from the US and that continued even long after World War 2 as Eisenhower demonstrated in 1956 when he brought the entire British, French, Israeli invasion of Egypt to a speedy halt when he simply threatened to call on the British to pay off American government bonds that had been issued during the war to finance Britain's war effort. The deliberate, terror bombing of civilians was begun by the British in World War 2 in May, June and July of 1940 and not by the Germans lest anyone is uninformed about that fact—furthermore, lest one think it was inevitable that all sides would take up this practice, we have the example of the French military leaders who refused to go along with the British and actually denounced this kind of mass murder from the skies. The German air response only began in August of 1940.

Cigarettes

I find it useful in some of my verbal confrontations to ask someone questions which I recommend to all of you for your own missionary work. I ask the true Holocaust believer whether they believe the Germans murdered millions of innocent people in gas chambers and what do they think about that—after they finish their usually quite pious answer, I ask them how they feel about roasting people to death? They are usually a bit stunned by the question and so the answers vary—but then I remind them that roasting people to death was just what nice, happy-go-lucky all-Americans were actually doing with almost unanimous support from their fellow, happy-go-lucky Americans. Here the responses often turn quite ugly and I have to explain that the women and children and old men of Hiroshima and Nagasaki and Tokyo and every other Japanese city were not responsible for or even aware of the planning of the attack on Pearl Harbor—and that the attack on Pearl Harbor was only upon military installations and not on civilians.

Before Americans condemn anyone else in the world for crimes of any sort—whether it be Saddam Hussein or Hitler—they should look at themselves in the mirror. With the World Trade Center bombing in 2001, Americans got a small taste of their own medicine!

The counter-argument to some of what I have said is that it really doesn't matter how you murder people—murder is murder, regardless of whether it is done with poison gas or with flames. At this point, I recommend a simple "cigarette experiment, and here I can also begin to get into my more technical arguments. I suggest that the true Holocaust believer smokes a cigarette by taking a few long, deep breaths. In those deep breaths the CO concentration may be as high as 3% which, if they continue to inhale much longer, should kill them rather quickly—in about a minute or so. But, the whole experience may not be all that agonizing. Dr. Kervorkian admitted that he preferred death by CO for his euthanasia patients over lethal injection and over death from any other gas.^[9] It caused the least amount of stress on the patient was the way he put it. Usually, the true believer is surprised but willing to admit that death from cigarette smoke may not be that unpleasant. I then suggest they try the other end of the cigarette; place the burning end of the cigarette on their lip or on any other part of their body. At that point the argument is over. It does make a difference how one murders people.

Bergen-Belsen and the Horrific Photographs

The picture from Bergen-Belsen which appears with this text was taken after the SS had turned the camp over to the British by mutual agreement. It is typical of many pictures that were used to condemn the SS as an organization and many individual members of the SS—often to death. It is also used in the endless racist hate campaign to condemn Germans and Germany in general even to this very day. The medical reality is quite different because none of the victims in any of these photos died in gas chambers but from disease. I once had a terrible argument with a Czech émigré doctor of some renown about this subject which I brought to an end by challenging him to let me go to his favorite, major hospital anywhere in America—but, with the authority to line up all of the patients according to how near they were to dying. And then, after they were all lined up—have them take the tops off their pajamas so that I could photograph them. The Czech doctor understood my point instantly—and, needless to say, he could not accept my challenge. If we do not die quickly, we die slowly—often from cancer or aids. In such cases, we do not look any better than the victims of Belsen or anywhere else as we meet our ends—that is a fact of life which we should recognize before we condemn anyone. In January of 2001 I was severely ill with cancer. In two weeks I lost more than 25 pounds and did not look much better than the poor souls in this picture.

The following pictures are of innocent German civilians who were murdered in the British firebombing attack on Hamburg in 1943. They did not die from disease. Are these photos any less horrible than any Holocaust type pictures?

It was not cigarette smoke that the 'Nazis' are accused of having used for mass murder for most of their gassings—but Diesel exhaust. Diesel exhaust does smell quite terrible at times—but that has nothing whatever to do with the presence or absence of carbon monoxide which is totally odorless. But, the smell alone has given rise to the widely held, false belief that diesel exhaust must also be highly toxic—and that the Diesel holocaust claims are plausible. The fact is that it is far from easy to kill people with diesel exhaust—it is so difficult in fact that I regard the claims as absurd, especially when one considers the alternative technology that was available with the gasoline engine—and furthermore, with an additional form of technology which the Germans had readily available at that time but which is no longer readily. That additional technology was the producer gas technology which is enormously important to unraveling the entire hoax. I have never claimed that it is impossible to commit mass murder with Diesel exhaust or Zyklon-B—although there have been a number of people who have tried to get me to say precisely that.

The title of my chapter in *Dissecting the Holocaust* is I believe an excellent title—it only took fifteen years to formulate it: "Diesel Gas Chambers: Ideal for Torture—Absurd for Murder."^[10] I might have expanded on the subtitle by adding "... and Unbelievable." It is impossible for me to believe that the 'Nazis', otherwise so clever and technically sophisticated, would have ever been so stupid as to use Diesel exhaust to even try to murder anyone.

Let us see what experts on Diesels and diesel emissions are saying today. A major engineering textbook from 1998, which should contain just about everything one would need to know about diesel emissions, is entitled: *Handbook of Air Pollution from Internal Combustion Engines*—subtitle: *Pollutant Formation and Control*. The book is more than 550 pages and is co-authored by a dozen of the world's leading experts on emissions from internal combustion engines. It should an excellent source of information on how one might kill people with Diesel exhaust. But in this entire book, which is typical of all other books one can find, there is only one sentence which is relevant to our subject—and here it is from page 288:^[11]

"Although carbon monoxide (CO) emissions are regulated, they will not be considered here, as the diesel engine combustion process by definition inhibits the production of CO."

In other words, the entire subject of toxic effects from carbon monoxide in diesel exhaust, including long-term effects, is just not worth bothering with. What is ironic is that the editor of this major work on engine emissions and pollution is an Israeli professor of engineering. His name is Eran Sher and he is in the Department of Mechanical Engineering at Ben-Gurion University in Israel. Someone should reach out to him and ask if he actually believes the 'Nazis' murdered people with Diesel exhaust—and whether he had ever considered testifying as an expert witness in the trial of John Demjanjuk.^[12]

Surely, if Eran Sher and the Israelis really believe it happened in 'Nazi' Germany, then it might happen again. Surely, we should all be concerned that Saddam Hussein might someday use some of his tens of thousands of Diesel trucks to perpetrate another Holocaust. Surely, the United Nations arms inspectors who are so concerned about weapons of mass destruction in Iraq will miss the boat if they fail to report on Saddam's diesels.

One can judge the murderous possibilities of a diesel gas chamber arrangement by studying industrial accidents involving diesel engines—especially in underground mines which can often become totally enclosed spaces from the inevitable accidents which occur there. Gasoline engines have generally been outlawed for underground applications because of their toxic exhaust but the history of diesels underground is quite different.

Diesel engines were first used underground in coal mines already in 1928 in Germany, in the Saar, and quite safely from all I have seen in the excellent German literature on this subject—especially in the German mining journal *Glückauf*.^[13] In Britain, Diesels were first used underground in 1939 more than ten years later in Yorkshire—but over the following decades, thousands more were used throughout Britain. For an industry where heavy machinery is used in the most difficult and unnatural circumstances imaginable—and where the industrial accident rate has always been among the highest anywhere, one expects many fatal accidents—but, the British safety record with Diesels was a stunning surprise to many mining professionals, especially in the USA.^[14]

The safety record was spelled out in June of 1974 when Mr. S. Gilbert of the British National Coal Board wrote the following in a major British technical journal about the British experience going back 35 years to 1939:^[15]

"Although it is accepted that there are potential hazards arising from the emission of noxious gases in the exhaust gases of diesel engines, the degree to which these are controlled in British coal mines has proved to be very effective.. An examination of ALL safety records has revealed that no person has suffered any harmful effects either temporarily or permanently as a direct result of breathing any toxic gas emitted from any vehicle powered by a diesel engine."

Does this prove that Diesels cannot be used to commit mass murder? Of course, not—but, it is good reason to believe that killing people with diesel exhaust is far from easy—and yet, because of the smell, there is the widely held belief that just the opposite must be true. That false belief has been used in Holocaust propaganda as early as 1943.

One more quote from the technical literature summarizes much of what can be found there. This is from an American essay by a Mr. Dennis S. Lachtman—Director for Health Engineering for the EIMCO Mining Machinery company. In the *Mining Congress Journal* for January of 1981 on page 40, we have his four-page essay entitled "Diesel Exhaust—Health Effects." One section of his essay is entitled: "NO significant human hazard seen in over 20 studies":^[16]

"A number of studies evaluating human response to exposure of diesel have included experience among diesel bus workers, diesel railroad workers, and metal and non-metal miners working with diesel production equipment and underground. There are more than 20 human health studies involving working populations exposed to diesel exhaust emissions. As can be seen from a careful review of these studies, NO SIGNIFICANT health hazards have been associated with exposures to diesel exhaust emissions.

More recently, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) has reported on epidemiological studies it has performed in underground mines. One of these studies included an MSHA^[17] and NIOSH joint study of the relationship between the underground environments in 22 metal and non-metal mines looking at the health of more than 5000 miners. This comprehensive study focuses on the health effects of both silica dust and other substances including those found in diesel exhaust. [...] The researchers reported that the data showed an absence of harmful effects from diesel exhaust."

In other words, there was not even one fatality or even one injury from Diesel exhaust. No doubt there must be some occasional deaths somewhere in the world—but they are few and far between—and that should give everyone a good idea as to how relatively harmless diesel exhaust truly is—and how absurd the holocaust story is. If the holocaust by

diesel allegation is to be believed—there should be strong, overwhelming and clear evidence—but the best the exterminationists have ever found is the Gerstein statement which is absurd and unbelievable for countless reasons aside from the diesel claim.[18]

Every year, many thousands of deaths occur worldwide due to carbon monoxide poisoning from gasoline engines. Suicides in cars from gasoline engine exhaust are common also and are well documented in public health reports. The most common death from carbon monoxide occurs, however, when people simply run their car or truck engines to keep warm in winter—or cool in summer by means of an automotive air conditioner. Approximately a thousand accidental deaths occur in this way every year today in the US alone even though the cars in the US are routinely equipped with catalytic converters and emission control devices—but not with Diesel engines. There are no known Diesel suicides either.

Every night across the world, tens of thousands of truck drivers sleep inside their truck cabs with their Diesel engines running throughout the night—to keep warm in winter or cool in summer. Although there are always some exhaust leaks into a van compartment of a truck, there is no evidence that I have ever found of even one trucker dying or even being injured in such circumstances from Diesel exhaust. It never happens.

Diesel exhaust is inherently safe—and that is a major reason for why the Holocaust story is a hoax.

Internal speed governor

In some of my previous essay, I stressed the fact that relatively high CO emission rates are related primarily to high fuel/air ratios. One might think that all one has to do to get high fuel/air ratios is to press the fuel pedal to the floor—without any load being coupled to the engine. What happens then is quite interesting. Without any load, the engine speed will rapidly increase and the fuel/air ratio will indeed go to the maximum—but within a few seconds also, the engine speed will reach the maximum safe engine speed set by the manufacturer. Long before that speed is reached, however, an internal speed governor in the fuel injection pump assembly will cut back on the fuel—and quite severely—to make certain that the maximum safe speed or “redline” speed is never exceeded. After a few seconds, the actual fuel/air ratio at high speed idle stabilized to nearly the same fuel/air ratio as at low speed idle.

Even though the driver might want the fuel/air ratio to remain at high levels—the speed governor will override his demand as expressed through the fuel pedal. There are only two realistic ways to get the engine to run at high fuel/air ratios for more than just a few seconds: either by coupling some kind of load such as a pump or generator or some other kind of device to the engine to force the engine to work against some heavy resistance, or by reducing the air intake of the engine to a minimum, hence almost suffocating the engine. Otherwise the engine will race—and the governor will cut the fuel/air ratio.

As a practical matter, coupling a loading device to an engine in a truck or tank is far from easy—and thoroughly impractical. Nothing like that is even remotely suggested in any of the anecdotes in the Holocaust accounts.

Reducing the air intake, however, is quite easy, but experiments of British researchers during the 1950s have shown that the resulting maximum carbon monoxide concentration is still so low that it took more than three hours to kill all animals exposed to these exhaust gases.[19]

Combined Effects of Carbon Monoxide and reduced Oxygen

One objection to my 1984 essay was that I had not properly considered the combined effects of carbon monoxide and reduced oxygen. If one uses a multiplier to determine an effective carbon monoxide level, one will see that there is no significant difference due to reduced oxygen until one gets the engine running under heavy loads which is exactly what I claimed in 1984.

The effective carbon monoxide level is determined by dividing the concentration of oxygen in normal air—which is 21%—by the reduced oxygen concentration. Until one has reduced the oxygen level to about 8-10% (heavy engine load), the symptoms upon any intended victims are not significantly different from those at a normal oxygen concentration. Serious symptoms only begin when oxygen is reduced to below 8% and that only occurs when the diesel engine is running against a heavy load.[20]

Exhaust Gas Recirculation for Mass Murder

A diesel gas chamber might have worked by recirculating the exhaust gas from a diesel engine. This is actually a well-known problem with diesel exhaust going back to at

least the 1920's in Germany. The idea is that the diesel engine air intake for the engine is connected directly to the same enclosed space to which the engine discharges its exhaust. The exhaust goes around and around through the engine and the enclosed space—and eventually so much oxygen is consumed by combustion and so much carbon monoxide is produced that together these changes kill anyone within the enclosed space. But the engine eventually shuts itself down when there is no longer enough oxygen to sustain combustion and then ceases producing anymore carbon monoxide also.[21]

One should remember that nearly all of the carbon monoxide which is recirculated will be consumed in the engine if sufficient oxygen is available—and so, any additive increase in carbon monoxide levels which one might at first expect, will in fact not occur at all. Carbon monoxide gas is an excellent fuel and actually burns far more easily than diesel fuel or even gasoline. If the CO level is initially only 0.05% after the first pass through the engine, one might—wrongly—expect it to double to 0.10% after second pass and then on to 0.15% after the third pass and so on and on. In reality, however, the carbon monoxide concentration in the exhaust gas basically depends on the oxygen concentration in the air only. Hence, there is not likely to be any significant change in the CO concentration until much later when the oxygen level has been so reduced that complete combustion is no longer possible and the engine shuts down.

Recirculating exhaust gases basically has the same, but extremely delayed effect as reducing the air intake of the engine. Whereas the oxygen content decreases only slowly when applying exhaust gas recirculation, it is immediately minimized when restricting the engine's air intake. Therefore, an attempt of murder with exhaust gas recirculation would take even longer than the minimum of over three hours as established in the above mentioned experiments with air intake restriction. Finally, a combination of both methods, i.e., restricting the air intake *and* recirculating the exhaust gases, would eventually suffocate the engine.

The important question is whether any deaths can occur before the engine actually shuts itself down. There is no mention in the Gerstein statement or anywhere else of the engine shutting down during the half-hour needed to kill the 700-800 Jews trapped inside—there is only mention of Mr. Heckenholt needing more than two hours to get it started. And so, it seems about as reasonable as anything else one can conclude from the Gerstein statement that the engine must have been operating throughout this period without any serious operating problem from lack of oxygen or for any other reason. In other words, even the recirculation argument fails to fit any of the Diesel gas chamber scenarios from Gerstein or anyone else.

The Gas Vans

When I first saw this picture in 1983, my last doubt about the revisionist position ended. This picture represents a kind of epiphany for me—because the existence of vehicles like this totally undermines the holocaust story for two important reasons. First of all, this type of vehicle makes the use of diesel exhaust as a source of lethal concentrations of carbon monoxide even more absurd than it would otherwise be—and second of all, it helps to explain and undermine the allegation that the 'Nazis' used gas vans to murder some of their victims.

This vehicle was a real gas van, which used poison gas as its fuel. That poisonous fuel was primarily carbon monoxide and was generated on the vehicle as well.

There were, however, accidental gassings arising from the use of a different kind of gas van which is enormously important to unraveling the Holocaust gassing legend. Those "vans" were the producer gas wagons commonly used throughout all of Europe during this era—not just German-occupied Europe. Well over half-a-million of these vans or gas wagons had been built and used for transportation of almost everything by the end of the war and even for *many* years after the war.[22] They were ubiquitous.

When the war ended the use of these vehicles declined—but only gradually. In the early 1950's in West Germany, at least 20,000 were still in use and their safe operation was still of great concern to medical professionals.[23]

The Soviet Origin of the Gas Van Story

The earliest reference to mass murder in gas vans that I have ever found is in July of 1943 when *Pravda* reported on the show trials of a number of German prisoners who had supposedly murdered Soviet citizens in Krasnodar with diesel powered vans. English translations of the *Pravda* stories appeared in *The Trial* in Britain through Hutchinson & Co., and Foreign Languages Publishing House we have the following text:

"In the autumn of 1942, the Germans began to use specially equipped automobiles which the population called 'murder vans,' for the purpose of doing away with Soviet citizens.

These 'murder vans' were covered five-ton or seven-ton gray-painted motor trucks, driven by Diesel engines."

For a later trial in Kharkov in December of 1943 we have in a publication called "The People's Verdict" the following information on page 43:[24]

"The vans are lined inside with galvanized iron and have airtight folding doors at the back. The floor is equipped with a wooden grating under which passes a pipe with apertures. The pipe is connected to the exhaust pipe of the engine. The exhaust gases of the Diesel engine, containing highly concentrated carbon monoxide, enter the body of the van, causing rapid poisoning and asphyxiation of the people locked up in the van."

Of course, diesel exhaust never contains "highly concentrated carbon monoxide."

In a later publication entitled: "Soviet War Documents" from December 1943 and published by the Soviet Embassy in Washington, DC, we have a description of the gas van on page 172 which claims the engine was a "Sauer" engine and that the body of the van was constructed in Berlin. There is no Sauer engine manufacturer but there is a famous company called "Saurer." The connection that is made here to a company called "Sauer" is significant because it reappears in the infamous fake letter from Becker to Rauff in Nuremberg File PS-501.[25] By their common errors one can often recognize the work of a forger. There is never any mention anywhere of the engines having been gasoline engines although that would have certainly made sense technically—nor is there any mention of producer gas wagons which would have made all the sense in the world.

Accidental Gassings in Gas Vans

Although there is no credible evidence of any deliberate gassings with gas vans, there were no doubt many fatal accidents. These accidents arose almost inevitably from the nature of the producer gas vans which made and used poison gas in highly concentrated form to drive the vehicles. Fatal accidents were inevitable from the earliest uses of these vehicles—and no doubt increased with increasing use of this technology. However, this author has found no actual record of such accidents in the German wartime literature to date. The dangers involved are however clearly spelled out in the German literature which includes the various safety guidelines which were required reading of all drivers and operators of these dangerous vehicles.

It is in the post-war literature of Scandinavia that one can find the most startling detailed information as to the many medical problems arising from producer vehicles.[26]

Bombshelter Doors and baskets for Zyklon B

Many photographs and illustrations from Germany's wartime civil defense literature show that the Germans used well-designed, steel, gas-tight doors with peepholes for their bombshelters. All German bombshelters had to be gas-tight and that also meant annual testing with a blower and pressure gauge.

The important point I want to make is that each bombshelter could with hardly any modification have also served as a highly effective gas chamber. All that one needed to do to kill any group of intended victims was to lock them in and cut off any fresh air from either the supply or exhaust air ductwork. That this was easy enough to do is proven by the fact that it occurred often enough during actual bombing attacks when the air supply was effected either through structural failures or when the outside air was poisonous as well. If anyone had wanted to introduce a poison gas such as cyanide, all that would have been needed would have been to slightly alter some of the sheetmetal supply ductwork with a hinged cover section so that a basket of Zyklon-B could be dropped-in—and, then as supply air passed through, preferably warmed air, the cyanide would be driven from the granules and into the bombshelter.

German Zyklon B delousing chambers of World War II which were based on these principles. The standard, 10-cubic-meter delousing chambers could have been used to kill prisoners just as easily and safely as American execution gas chambers, one or a few prisoners at a time. The only important feature they lacked was a big window to allow witnesses to see what was going on.

Zyklon B granules will hold onto the liquid hydrogen cyanide within the granules rather tenaciously—until the cyanide is forced out of the granules by a blast of warm air passing through the granules. That's the way the delousing chambers worked—and everyone working with them understood that. If the air passing through the granules was too cool or not moving fast enough, that would adversely effect the rate at which the cyanide would escape from the granules into the chamber—and that in turn would slow the entire delousing process down rather dramatically and reduce the effectiveness of the delousing process. Baskets were necessary to hold the Zyklon granules while still allowing warm air from a blower or forced air duct to pass through the basket and granules.

By contrast, the story we are given about Zyklon B for mass murder in Auschwitz is absurd. According to some Holocaust tales, the poison gas product Zyklon B was allegedly simply poured out onto the floor of the gas chambers. A somewhat more complicated version exists regarding the morgues of crematoria II and III of Auschwitz-Birkenau, which were allegedly converted into homicidal gas chambers. In those locations, wire mesh baskets full of Zyklon B were allegedly lowered through holes chiseled through the reinforced concrete ceiling into introduction columns which were supposedly also made of wire mesh. This was supposedly done to allow recovery of the Zyklon B granules after the cyanide gas had escaped and killed the people trapped inside the alleged homicidal gas chambers. Without any real forced ventilation through the granules, it would have taken hours before all hydrogen cyanide would have evaporated.

The alleged homicidal gas chambers were supposedly equipped with a room ventilation system as it is to be expected for morgues. Only minor modifications to the ventilation shafts outside of the alleged gas chamber would have solved the problem, but nothing even remotely close to what would have been needed is described anywhere in the Holocaust literature.

Functioning Mass Homicidal Gas Chambers

The Zyklon B railroad delousing tunnels which were operated in many places of German occupied Europe would have actually been perfect for mass murder but, ironically, they have never been implicated anywhere in the Holocaust claims. Even more important is the fact that the railroad delousing tunnels already existed in key locations such as Budapest and other major cities throughout Eastern Europe. What one really needed was already in place, but rather than having used any of that superbly designed available technology, the 'Nazis', who were supposed to have been so fiendishly clever in so many other respects, used some basement cellars with little holes in the ceilings instead in Auschwitz far away from Budapest. It is just too cookoo to be believed. It never happened!

Conclusion

I will conclude by giving an answer to a question that was put to me just recently by a journalist. What is driving you and other revisionists? The answer is the evidence—it is as simple as that. It is the evidence that drives us. If one looks at the Holocaust story with some healthy curiosity and some perfectly normal skepticism, **the evidence cries out for conclusions** that are totally different from what we are required to believe. But instead of truly coming to grips with our past, true *Vergangenheitsbewältigung* and recognizing who the bad guys really were, we may be entering a new kind of Dark Age where repression and terror far more subtle than anything Orwell imagined become normal. Big Brother is preaching democracy, freedom, and tolerance as he practices the exact opposite. We are clever creatures indeed—but, if we continue to blindly believe in the hoax which is so central to the madness around us, then we are retarded as a species—and a menace to ourselves as well.

Notes

First published in *Vierteljahreshefte für freie Geschichtsforschung* 6(4) (2002), pp. 436-446.

[1] Cf. e.g.: <http://fcit.coedu.usf.edu/holocaust/people/survivor.htm>

[2] Cf. in the regard G. Rudolf, "Statistische Erhebungen zu 'Holocaust'-Überlebenden", *VffG* 2(3) (1998), pp. 223f.; *VffG* 1(2) (1997), pp. 69f.

[3] Rube Goldberg was a well-known American newspaper cartoonist after World War 2, probably Jewish from his name, who made a specialty of cartoons showing bizarre mechanical contraptions, generally with many pulleys, belts and strings to achieve mundane tasks such as breaking eggs in a frying pan shortly after a chicken laid them. The often hilarious, mechanical arrangements were superficially plausible but thoroughly impractical in reality and readers recognized the inherent absurdity of Goldberg's complex arrangements.

- [4] Vgl. z. B.: "Typhus Causes a Truce," *The Journal of the American Medical Association* (19.5.1945), p. 220.
- [5] Munich/Eßlingen 1962.
- [6] Cf. the table compiled by J. Graf at the end of R. Faurisson, "Witnesses to the Gas Chambers of Auschwitz," in: E. Gauss (ed.), *Dissecting the Holocaust*, Theses & Dissertations Press, Capshaw, AL, 2000, p. 145.
- [7] E. Wiesel, *Night*, Bantam paperback edition, 1960, p. 78
- [8] <http://www.avert.org/pictures/>
- [9] Dr. Jack Kervorkian is an American doctor who became well-known in the 1990s for assisting more than 130 people to commit suicide. In 1999 he was imprisoned for second-degree murder. See: <http://www.finalexit.org/drkframe.html>. Although Kervorkian developed a suicide machine he called "Mercitron" which some patients used to inject themselves—first with saline solution, then sedative thiopental, and finally potassium chloride with a timer—in actual practice, Kervorkian generally used and preferred canisters of carbon monoxide. See: George J. Annas, "Physician-assisted Suicide—Michigan's Temporary Solution," *New England Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 328, No. 21, pp. 1573-76, May 27, 1993. See also: Jack Kervorkian, *Prescription Medicide*, Prometheus Books, New York 1991. On pages 59-60 he argues that CO should have been used for executions of criminals over cyanide.
- [10] E. Gauss (ed.), *op. cit.* (note 6), pp. 435-465.
- [11] Eran Sher (ed.), *Handbook of Air Pollution from Internal Combustion Engines: Pollution Formation and Control*, Boston, San Diego, New York, London, Sydney, Tokyo, Toronto: Academic Press, 1998, p. 288.
- [12] See for this Arnulf Neumaier, "The Treblinka Holocaust", in E. Gauss (ed.), *op. cit.* (note 6), pp. 467-495; cf. also Yoram Sheftel, *The Demjanjuk Affair. The Rise and Fall of the Show Trial*, Victor Gollancz, London 1994.
- [13] H.H. Müller-Neuglück, H. Werkmeister, "Grubensicherheit der Diesellokomotiven", *Glückauf*, Aug. 23, 1930, p. 1145.
- [14] S.O. Ogden, "The war over diesels", *Coal Mining & Processing*, June 1978, p. 102. See also Frank E Scott, "Diesel underground: Overcoming a bad image," *Coal Mining & Processing*, August 1982, p. 45.
- [15] S. Gilbert, "The Use of Diesel Engines Underground in British Coal Mines", *The Mining Engineer* (GB), June 1974, p. 403.
- [16] Dennis S. Lachtman, "Diesel Exhaust—Health Effects", *Mining Congress Journal*, January 1981, p. 40.
- [17] Mine Safety & Health Administration.
- [18] Cf. André Chelain, *La Thèse de Nantes et l'affaire Roques*, Ogmios Diffusion, Paris 1989.
- [19] R.E. Pattle, H. Stretch, F. Burgess, K. Sinclair, J.A.G. Edginton, *Brit. J. industr. Med.* 14 (1957) pp. 47-55; I referred to this possibility and to this source in 1994 for the first time: F.P. Berg, "Die Diesel-Gaskammern: Mythos im Mythos", in Ernst Gauss (ed.), *Grundlagen zur Zeitgeschichte*, Grabert, Tübingen 1994, pp. 321-345.
- [20] Cf. for this my first reflections in "Holocaust: Dieselmotorabgase töten langsam", *VffG*, 1(3) (1997), pp. 134-137.
- [21] W.F. Marshall, R.W. Hurn "Hazard from Engines Rebreathing Exhaust in Confined Space", U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, Report of Investigations 7757, 1973, pp. 7-10.
- [22] E. Eckermann, *Alte Technik mit Zukunft: Die Entwicklung des Imbert-Generators*, Oldenbourg, Munich 1986.
- [23] E. W. Baader, *Gewerbekrankheiten*, Munich/Berlin 1954, pp. 178-184.
- [24] *The People's Verdict*, Hutchinson & Co., London 1944.
- [25] Nürnberger Dokument PS-501; cf. I. Weckert, "The Gas Vans: A Critical Assessment of the Evidence" in E. Gauss (ed.), *op. cit.* (note 6), pp. 217-243.
- [26] Poisonings by generator gas were so common in Sweden that two special clinics were erected to treat the victims: Aage Grut, *Chronic Carbon Monoxide Poisoning*, Ejnar Munksgaard, Copenhagen 1949, p. 69. See also; Leo Noro, "Über die durch Motorabgase verursachten Kohlenoxydvergiftungen bei der Mannschaft von Panzerformationen", *Acta Medica Scandinavica*, CXXI(IV) (1945); K. v. Bagh, "Neurologisch-psychiatrische Gesichtspunkte zur Diagnostik und Behandlung der chronischen Generatorgasvergiftungen", *Annales Medicinæ Internæ Fenniae*, Vol. 35, 1946.

<<http://www.nazigassings.com/poisoning.html>>

THE FIRST ATTEMPT TO REACH OUT TO THE MIDDLE EAST (1980)

THE WEST, WAR, AND ISLAM

By Ernst ZUNDEL

A message to the Islamic World: The Battle for Freedom Is Won Only in the Minds of Men: The West's distorted viewpoint of what is loosely called "the Arab world" is Danger Nr.1 for all the Islamic Nations.

If the typical Islamic person could perceive what the typical Westerner thinks about him - his traditions, culture and religion - he would be amused, shocked, or perhaps even frightened. To the Western mind, the Islamic world is inscrutably bemusing - an exotic enigma, part fairy tale, part attitudes formed in colonial times in which, frequently, perfectly normal and logical acts are construed with misunderstandings and misgivings.

To the Westerner, the Islamic world is a lurid hodge-podge of exotic imagery - a myth adapted from 1001 Nights - comprised of huge harems, wild sex orgies, opulent palaces, flying carpets, incredible wealth, fierce warriors, hordes of thieves, shrewd and dishonest traders, cruelty and religious fanaticism. Take away the flying carpets, and the West could be described in similar terms - but neither description would be accurate.

Not only do we need to consider this distorted Western viewpoint - which by itself, and minus its negative aspects, would be mere ignorance and relatively harmless - but we all must consider that these distorted viewpoints are deliberately being used and often magnified to goad the West into a future criminal war against the Islamic world. These unjust views, already previously held and reinforced since the Gulf War, are now the standard thinking by many Westerners - and are being used to JUSTIFY such wars!

The West has at its disposal tremendous media facilities for the education of the public, but until now, these facilities of information, education and entertainment have been largely used purposely to misinform, to miseducate, and to instill hatred against the Islamic peoples. Just as certainly, this situation has not come about by accident.

The West's mainstream media are controlled by a small group of unscrupulous men who know exactly what they are doing, and who will stop at nothing in order to attain their often criminal and murderous ends. This same group of media manipulators and its predecessors broadcast and published anti-German propaganda in both world wars and included the Japanese as victims and targets of their hate campaigns in the Second World War. These men are brainwashing experts. On their account, millions of Westerners, who had never met a German or Japanese, were inflamed with murderous hatred - sufficiently so that they killed millions of persons who might otherwise have been their customers and friends.

If it can be done against Germans and Japanese, it can be done against the Islamic peoples - and it is being done since 1947 against the Palestinians and Israel's neighbors.

We Germans have learned through our own sad experience since World War I and II that a nation's salvation lies in its reputation, and a nation's reputation depends upon truthful, unbiased information - not deliberate misinformation and misrepresentation! The same principle is true for the Islamic nations.

Let us now look upon the face of the enemy - your enemy and ours - who is responsible for endangering world peace, international understanding and, in consequence, your lives and ours.

THE INTERNATIONAL ZIONISTS

The leadership of the West is heavily influenced and often dominated by a small minority of this alien political persuasion. Political and religious Zionism plays a disproportionately large role in the West because of the Zionists' domination of the mass media of information, education and entertainment, the banking system, the secret societies and a globalist One World conspiracy by an out-of-touch financial and political, societal oligarchy of accumulated wealth and power.

Worse yet, these Zionist elements are aided and abetted by millions of brainwashed Christians and non-Christians who suffer from the previously mentioned media-created distortions about the Islamic world and its peoples, whom they believe mistakenly to be "bad", based on the stereotypical propaganda image.

Just as mistakenly, the brainwashed Christians of the West have been led to believe that some of these frequently quite vicious, greedy and often militant people who call themselves "Jews" are "good" - simply because the Christian Bible mentions "Jews" as "God's Chosen People". Ever since the beginning of World War II, the Zionists and their dupes and hirelings have used this self-serving myth as a tool for the deception of the Western Christian masses.

I as a German should know, for in Germany a person who questions any aspect of the Zionist version of history as expressed in the so-called "Holocaust" faces prison for up to five years! In Germany alone, over 5,800 people have been prosecuted and fined in the last few years. Hundreds have been jailed for expressing doubt about what even Jewish Professor Norman correctly refers to as "...this Zionist version of the history of World War II"!

We see the results of this massive deceit - that is, that Zionist interests are identical to Western interests - in the many publications and pronouncements of organizations such as the "Councils of Christians and Jews", so-called "Brotherhood Weeks", "Interfaith Services", conventions, ecumenical workshops, and the like. Western Christians have become circumscribed mentally by this incessant yet false propaganda!

Many Western people, and unfortunately even leaders of governments, have come to falsely identify "Jewish" - that is, frequently Zionist - interests with their own country's interests, which is exactly like a hypnotized person mistaking a deadly poison for nourishing food. We have even heard the famous singer and media personality, Pat Boone, a Christian, say that there are really only three kinds of Judaism: Orthodox, Reformed, and Christian - saying that Christianity is just another form of Judaism!

THE INTERNATIONAL SECRET SOCIETIES

Unknown to most people of the Islamic world is the tremendous power and influence exercised in the Western world by some branches of a politicized Freemasonry and all its cover organizations, such as the Kiwanis, Rotary, Lions, etc. Many important Western decision makers, politicians, economists, and military officers are either members of a secret society or are influenced and often dominated by such members. Any educated Muslim can recognize immediately the identity of Freemasonry with that of its secretive organizational predecessors in Arabic history. This is the same globalist satanic structure which we detect in some lodges of Freemasonry, such as the PII Lodge involved in the Calvi Affair in Italy in the early seventies - secrecy, duplicity, the exercise of undue influence, infiltration, corruption of officials and, where necessary, it seems, even assassination. That most Freemasons are often unaware of the directors and real directions of their secret organization or brotherhood does not, it seems, preclude their blind, uncritical support of this organization and their obedience to its directives.

The orientation and many of the rituals, emblems and graphic images of these secret societies of the West came directly from the Kabbala of the Babylonian Talmud. Their content is of allegedly ancient Egyptian-Jewish origin; many of their goals today are serving Jewish ends; and many of their lodge members and high-ranking Masons have been, and are, Jewish. This explains the consistent support of Freemasonry for the goals and machinations of International Zionism in its many forms. It also explains the politically involved Freemasons' consistent role in directing the advance of world crime, corruption, vice, poverty, war, revolution, collapse and chaos. All this is frequently directed from their high-ranking positions in the Western political, economic and, unfortunately, law enforcement and military establishments.

On behalf of the Zionists, the Gentile members of these secret societies have spread these plagues not only in the West, but throughout the entire world in which Western influence today has become all-pervasive. Many members, who become aware of their organizations' role in causing world suffering, leave disenchanted. Others obviously rationalize these horrors as mere desirable "change" and as necessary steps in the creation of a utopian world government, thus helping to fulfill their Zionist masters' messianic dreams.

President John F. Kennedy stated before his assassination that there were 17 million members of various quasi-Freemasonic secret societies in the U.S. alone. The most famous one of recent times is George Bush, who was a member of "Skulls and Bones" - some weird occult outfit. Most U.S. Presidents have been members of such secret societies - two

notable exceptions being John F. Kennedy, who was removed from office by assassination, and, apparently, the non-Mason Nixon, who was replaced by 33rd degree Freemason, Gerald Ford.

In one's travels through America and other "Anglo-Saxon" countries, one frequently notices that the local Freemasonic temple is usually in close proximity to the seat of federal, state or local government - usually within easy walking distance of the various state capitals. So deeply accustomed are Westerners to the acceptance of these secret societies in their midst that they have no misgivings in electing or appointing known Freemasons to public office, even clearly though it will not always be in the public interest how these public officials act. Their acts will, of necessity, sometimes be in the interest of their secret society - otherwise, why become a member? And why all the secrecy - the secret passwords, the secret handshakes etc., which in itself makes the institution anti-democratic in that it gives its members a secret edge or "in"?

One has a right to suspect that such public officials' public policies will not be made in the broad, open, well-lit public offices, but often in the narrow, smoke-filled confines of secret conclaves in the company of their equally secret "lodge brothers". No wonder U.S. policy always differs in practice from the public pre-election promises made by many of these hypocrites!

Even a child could readily perceive the simple and obvious truth: no organization which claims to do only good, as claim the various Freemasonic organizations, need be secret. But brainwashed children become brainwashed adults, and the thorough Zionist brainwashing has made the Western majority blind to the enemy of Western traditions that works in their very midst. America as a nation is thoroughly brainwashed. But Americans are slowly waking up, thanks to the as yet uncensored information previously suppressed, but now ever more widely available - on the Internet!

But if many Westerners are blind to the dangers of secret societies, there is no need for the Islamic peoples to be equally blind!

THE INTERNATIONAL BANKERS

These men are the focal point for the dark forces arrayed against all mankind, for their control of the world's wealth directs and determines largely what is done or not done in the real world of commerce, industry and government, or whether what is done is for the greater public good or will produce evil for those who engage in evil schemes.

While the world bankers, it seems, act in perfect harmony with the Talmud, they act in perfect defiance of the Koran, for their wealth is based on the evil and sin of usury and thereby their wealth is acquired without honest, productive work. Judging from the state of the world today and the fruits of the bankers' policies, there can be no doubt about what these evil men are doing with the vast wealth we in America and Germany and the Arab nations have put at their disposal!

It is rarely, in the end, to our benefit. More often than not, it has led to hardships, wars, and the destruction of morals and ethics in our societies.

The Islamic nations are vulnerable to blackmail by the bankers of the world who can devalue the currencies of the Arab countries and thus, with the stroke of a pen, debauch their money derived from oil earnings. They can bribe and browbeat Western governments into freezing or seizing Islamic assets - as has happened to Iran, Iraq, and Libya, just to name a few.

But the foremost evil concocted and directed by the International Bankers was, and to some degree still is, International Communism!

INTERNATIONAL COMMUNISM

It is fashionable to say that "Communism is dead!" Nothing could be farther from the truth! Communism is not dead in the heads of tens of millions of brainwashed individual intellectuals, teachers, professors, influential individuals in the media and in education, both in the East and West. Communism has merely been repackaged and recycled - Red China is still Communist with its 1 billion people. Although the "threat of Communism" has been removed from Western thinking, the fear of Communism is deep. That's why it is still being used to smear the image of some of the Arab countries.

We of the West are constantly exposed to Zionist propaganda which claims that most Islamic peoples are pro-Communist, and that "little Israel" is our only "democratic", anti-Communist ally and bastion in the Middle East. This is an outright deception. The Laws of Islam forbid atheism!

Much is made by Zionist propagandists of the Islamic world's supposed connections with the former Soviet Union, and unfortunately all too little is known about the Islamic people's constant antagonism and often heroic resistance against the dark forces of atheistic, Soviet-style Communism. Few Westerners are aware of the contradictions in terms of a so-called "Islamic-Communist Revolution" - for that would be as absurd as to believe in "idealistic materialism" or "divine godlessness".

Even though "official Communism" is now supposedly dead, Communists of greater or lesser degrees still permeate the entire Western political, economic, industrial, mass media, and educational establishments. So "Red" have many Western government policies become that their own people no longer discern them as objectives of a Communist world domination by convinced Marxists who pursue their goals as ever. Its ways and means still are class struggle and revolution - by more clever means! That is the reason why we see crippling, politically motivated, recurring waves of strikes, inflation, deflation, and more strikes, combined with the systematic de-capitalization of the Western industrial economies.

Communism has always fed off and continues to feed off crisis situations - therefore, its agents of every hue from pallid pink to flaming red act to create as many crises as possible within the economic, cultural and social fabric of the West - in order to divide, conquer and yet rule the world. Their tactics have changed - not their goals! There has only been a change in the tactical applications.

The degree to which the West has been infiltrated and dominated and largely destroyed by Communists and their sympathizers for about 100 years is often unknown even to Westerners and most definitely to non-Westerners alike. What remains of the West is the glittering facade of an internally dilapidated edifice which has been so weakened and robbed of spirit by the many internal parasites and traitors, who have worked as diligently as termites, that the entire structure of the West is threatened with a Soviet Union-like collapse or implosion.

It has happened before to great nations. If it could happen to ancient Egypt, Greece, Persia and Rome, it certainly can also happen to the West, which each day more resembles these ancient civilizations in its abundance of material possessions and in its poverty of spirit, courage, and wisdom - especially in its will to defend itself in order to survive.

What can be done to arrest and, finally, to reverse this dangerous decline? How and when can we begin to save ourselves from the international destroyers and enslavers of mankind?

**SALVATION MUST COME THROUGH INFORMATION:
OUR PROPOSAL FOR TODAY - TOMORROW MAY BE TOO LATE!**

The ancient writings of many peoples have noted the fundamental importance of information in statements such as: "The Truth Shall Make You Free" and "Our people are destroyed for lack of knowledge". Resolute human action has always depended upon sound, solid information, and the best way of bringing truthful information to the people who need it is via the mass media of the press, radio, television, educational material, motion pictures, mainstream novels - and now the globe-girdling Internet!

The Islamic world has the financial means to publish, broadcast or otherwise disseminate the historical, factual data leading to the truth - which alone can halt the Zionist drive to new wars and more chaos - but because this information would come from and by means of "foreign sources", it would be subject to disbelief, censorship, interception, jamming and/or outright confiscation. Open or outright Arab ownership, like Iranian- or Syrian-owned newspapers or TV stations of any major Western newspaper, magazine, radio or TV station would also face swift government sanctions, including censorship and seizure.

A practical alternative would be the establishment of independently owned and operating channels of communication and information within the countries of the West, staffed by more fair-minded native Westerners. There are still many independent, well-informed, courageous and talented people with organizational ability here in the West. Even at this late hour, not all Western men of worth, intelligence and courage have sold themselves into Zionist control or mental slavery. Many are alert and wise to what has happened to Western society - and why.

Many more are waking up daily! Many millions are now ready to listen.

There are at this moment already in existence organizations which, if properly funded, could become the nucleus of an independent, worldwide information network capable of countering the now virtually unopposed Zionist disinformation and hate propaganda networks. One such example is the Zundelsite, a United States based website that has exposed the so-called "Holocaust" as an extortion tool used systematically against the two most ardent supporters of Israel - Germany and the United States.

No Internet website has been so attacked by German and Canadian government and private censorship forces as has the Zundelsite. This California-based website has, so far, withstood all attacks because it operates under the umbrella protection of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution guaranteeing freedom of speech, and it is flush with information supplied by independent Western thinkers who call the Zionists' bluff and the so-called "Holocaust" the "Hoax of the Century" that yields Israel the money, power and excuse to occupy the Palestinians and to intimidate its neighbors such as Syria, Lebanon, Iran and other Arab nations. Since its brief existence, more than 2.5 million Holocaust-related documents have been accessed by people the world over.

Take the Holocaust away, and you will have severed the financial water well that feeds an evil oligarchy and repressive system!

That is what we CAN do with carefully packaged and tailored information. We have already done some of this work in a limited fashion, but we lack the money to do so much more. The Nations of Islam are entitled to an adequate informational defense. Many people of the West are growing wary and weary of Zionists and their criminal claims and aims.

If the necessary funds were available to us and to our organization, we could begin such a public truth-in-history information campaign immediately.

Please consider seriously what we are proposing:

* For the cost of one airplane, a whole nation could be informed about the true aims of one or more Islamic countries.

* For the cost of one tank, a public information film could be produced and shown, over and over again, on Satellite television covering all of North America, to audiences eager to be informed.

* For the cost of a missile, a book could be published and sent free of charge to all the news media representatives of the West

* For the cost of one artillery shell, a well-trained speaker could present the Islamic cause at a university symposium or international gathering.

* For the cost of a few rifles, 500 information-packed video or audio tape cassettes could be sent to radio stations around the world.

* For the cost of one rifle bullet, stamps could be bought for 10 letters bearing the message of inter-ethnic harmony through truth and understanding to the remotest corners of the world.

Are expensive and rapidly obsolescent military weapons preferable to information about the harmful nature of the "Holocaust" - information which can bring better understanding and thus more balanced policies which will achieve lasting peace? Are weapons purchases preferable to the word of God? Are we just going to continue to enrich the capitalist and Zionist warmongers of East and West by continuing to buy their killing machines so as to destroy ourselves all the more efficiently? The Gulf War should have been a wake-up call for us all - East and West, North and South! First World, Second World, or Third World!

Surely, none of us want this! Not Muslims, not Westerners!

There is a better way - the way of truthful information. Our way.

Roger Garaudy, the French Revisionist and convert to Islam has already taken the Arab countries by storm. And why? It's very simple: The key is in the exposure of the so-called Holocaust or alleged genocide of the Jews. This evil hoax has made two of the

richest Western nations mentally subservient to the Zionists, helped them spread their misinformation, and is giving them practically unlimited financial means for their repressive and destructive policies and ends.

We are ready to help you today. Do you understand us? If yes, are you ready to help us? Tomorrow may be too late for both of us.

Ernst Zundel (revised in the 90's)

PUPPET THEATER

Holocaust query draws suspension A professor at a French university said gas chambers may not have been used to kill Jews

Lyon, France - A French university said Friday it would suspend a professor for five years after he questioned whether the Nazis used gas chambers in the Holocaust. Bruno Gollnisch, No. 2 in the National Front party of extreme-right leader Jean-Marie Le Pen, already faces a legal probe in France after he questioned how Nazis used the gas chambers and how many Jews were killed.

Lyon University, which is named after Jean Moulin, the hero of the French Resistance murdered by the Nazis in 1943, said Gollnisch would be suspended from his functions as professor of Japanese civilization for five years. Gollnisch told a news conference Oct. 11 that he recognized gas chambers existed but said he thought historians had yet to decide whether they were actually used to kill Jews. He called for an open debate about whether the total number of Jews killed in the Holocaust was 6 million as stated.

French Jewish organizations and anti-racism groups have condemned Gollnisch's remarks. Gollnisch told reporters Friday he was the victim of a "real witch hunt" and blamed pressure from the government for his suspension. French prosecutors have opened an investigation into Gollnisch, which focuses on "denying crimes against humanity." France's anti-racism laws have made denying the Holocaust a crime, punishable by fines and prison.

Reuters News Service / *HoustonChronicle.com* -- March 6, 2005
<<http://www.chron.com/cs/CDA/ssistory.mpl/world/3069>>

Historical Truth or Political Truth?

SERGE THION. HISTORICAL TRUTH OR. POLITICAL TRUTH? The **Faurisson** Affair. The Question of the Gas Chambers. **AAARGH**. 2. This text is the unpublished English translation of *Vérité historique ou vérité politique ? / Le dossier de l'affaire Faurisson / La question des chambres à gaz ...*

<<http://www.solargeneral.com/library/htpt.pdf> >

+++++

This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues, etc. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information go to:

<<http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml>>. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

OTHER AAARGH MONTHLY PUBLICATIONS

<<http://www.geocities.com/ilrestodelsiclo> >

El Paso del Ebro

Das kausale Nexusblatt

Il Resto del Siclo

Conseils de Révision

La Gazette du Golfe et des banlieues (multilingual)

<<http://ggb.0catch.com> >